

FLOWERING NEWSLETTER

My favourite flowering image: Maryland Mammoth tobacco

Richard M. Amasino*

Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706-1544, USA

*E-mail: amasino@biochem.wisc.edu

Abstract

Almost 100 years ago, the study of Maryland Mammoth tobacco by Garner and Allard was one in a long series of studies that have led to a better understanding of how plants “decide” when to flower. Deciphering how plants “decide” when to flower. The extreme phenotype of Maryland Mammoth tobacco, in which a single recessive mutation changes a day-neutral to a strictly photoperiod-requiring plant, impressively illustrates the action of the photoperiodic pathway of flowering.

The Maryland Mammoth mutant was instrumental in the discovery by W.W. Garner and H.A. Allard of photoperiodism in plants [which was independently discovered by Julien Tournais working with hops (*Humulus japonicus*) and hemp (*Cannabis sativa*)]. The mammoth mutant did not flower in the field during the growing season in Maryland where Garner and Allard worked as USDA scientists. It did, however, flower in the winter in the greenhouse. Garner and Allard tested many hypotheses as to why the mutant flowered in the winter, such as lower light, poor nutrition, or the shock of transplanting. Of course, changing daylength proved to be the correct hypothesis; covering field-grown mutant tobacco plants in the late afternoon during the summer with a light-tight structure which created short days caused the plants to flower and confirmed this hypothesis (Garner and Allard, 1920).

It is intriguing that in tobacco a single-gene mutation can convert a day-neutral variety into the photoperiod-requiring Maryland Mammoth variety (Allard, 1919). Although since the time of Garner and Allard great progress has been made in understanding the molecular basis of photoperiodism in *Arabidopsis*, rice, and some other species (e.g. Andrés and Coupland, 2012), the gene affected by the Maryland Mammoth mutation is not known. Given that the mutation is partially recessive (Allard, 1919), one simple model is that in the cultivated parental day-neutral tobacco the photoperiod response is suppressed by a dominant Maryland Mammoth gene, and that loss of this gene ‘unmasks’ an existing photoperiod pathway. Given the progress in the use of whole-genome sequencing to reveal the molecular basis of genetic changes (e.g. Worthey, 2011), the nature of the Maryland Mammoth gene may soon be revealed.



Figure 1. The huge plant is the ‘Maryland Mammoth’ mutant of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) grown in long days. Also shown is a typical cultivar of tobacco that flowers in long days after reaching a certain state of development, Fritz Schomburg (on the floor), and Scott Michaels (on Fritz’s shoulders). Photograph taken in 1997.

References

Allard HA. 1919. Gigantism in *Nicotiana tabacum* and its alternate inheritance. *American Naturalist* **53**, 218–233.

Andrés F, Coupland G. 2012. The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **13**, 627–639.

Garner WW, Allard HA. 1920. Effect of the relative length of day and night and other factors of the environment on growth and reproduction in plants. *Journal of Agricultural Research* **18**, 553–606.

Worthey EA. 2011. Making a definitive diagnosis: successful clinical application of whole exome sequencing in a child with intractable inflammatory bowel disease. *Genetics in Medicine* **13**, 255–262.