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The landscape of therapeutics, in particular cancer chemotherapeutics, is limited by ineffective cellular delivery. Controlled and efficient cellular drug delivery involves several stages: first, accumulation of active drug near the cell; second, association with the cell surface; third, internalization into the cell; and fourth, translocation into the cytosol. Not surprisingly, cellular delivery of small-molecule chemotherapeutics, and especially biologic chemotherapeutics, is challenging. Therefore, additional understanding of and strategies to improve chemotherapeutic delivery are desperately needed. This thesis aims to investigate the stages of cellular chemotherapeutic delivery with small molecules, all focused around a ribonuclease model system.

CHAPTER I serves as an introduction to cellular drug delivery, the pancreatic-type ribonuclease superfamily, and how members of this superfamily can act as a model system to study and improve drug delivery.
Seeking to optimize the first stage of cellular drug delivery, CHAPTER 2 reports on the attachment of a timed-release ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate pro-moiety to a chemotherapeutic to control accumulation of active drug near a cell. The human pancreatic ribonuclease was used to release an active chemotherapeutic, 4-hydroxytamoxifen, from a pro-moiety, uridine-3'-phosphate, over time. The timed-release of a chemotherapeutic could modulate the near-toxic spikes and near-ineffective troughs normally seen in active drug plasma concentration, leading to safer and more efficacious delivery of chemotherapeutics.

To facilitate the second and third stages of cellular drug delivery, CHAPTER 3 reports on the use of pendant boronic acids to mediate protein association with the cell surface and subsequent internalization into mammalian cells. Boronic acids readily form boronate esters with saccharides, including those on the cell surface. Boronic acids were attached to bovine pancreatic ribonuclease, endowing the ribonuclease with increased affinity for saccharides and enhanced cellular internalization. Notably, this method is distinct from previous methods for enhancing protein cellular delivery.

To enhance the fourth stage of cellular drug delivery, CHAPTER 4 discusses the use of a poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimer in trans to potentiate the cytotoxicity of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease variants by increasing their translocation into the cytosol after endocytosis. This method differs from previous studies by using the dendrimer as a co-treatment instead of covalently increasing ribonuclease cationicity, thereby lessening destabilizing and inactivating effects. Although this strategy is unlikely to be clinically
useful, it adds to the understanding of how to enhance this inefficient stage of cellular drug delivery.

CHAPTER 5 discusses future directions for additional research, all focused on the pancreatic-type ribonuclease model system. APPENDIX 1 discusses the identification of a small-molecule antagonist of the interaction between a bovine pancreatic ribonuclease variant and the human ribonuclease inhibitor protein. APPENDIX 2 discusses the creation of a ribonuclease zymogen activated by urokinase in efforts to endow this ribonuclease variant with augmented selective toxicity for cancer cells. Together, this thesis describes progress towards understanding and facilitating chemotherapeutic delivery through the use of small molecules.
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>sodium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>sodium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBS</td>
<td>non-binding surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neu5Ac</td>
<td>N-acetylneuraminic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>optical density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONC</td>
<td>Onconase®; ranpirnase; P-30; Pannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVS</td>
<td>oligo(vinylsulfonic acid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>probability value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMAM</td>
<td>poly(amidoamine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBA</td>
<td>phenylboronic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate-buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PDB  protein data bank
PEG  poly(ethylene glycol)
pl   isoelectric point
pKa  log of the acid dissociation constant
PMSF phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride
PPI  protein–protein interaction
pRI  porcine ribonuclease inhibitor
pro-uPa pro-urokinase-type plasminogen activator
PTD  protein transduction domains; cell-penetrating peptide
Q    ratio of fluorescence intensities of bound and free species
R9, Arg9 nonaarginine
RGD  arginine-glycine-aspartate tripeptide
Rh110 rhodamine 110
RI   ribonuclease inhibitor
RNA  ribonucleic acid
RNAi ribonucleic acid interference
RNase A bovine pancreatic ribonuclease
RNase I human pancreatic ribonuclease
RPMI Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium
rRNA ribosomal ribonucleic acid
S    saccharide
s    second
SDS-PAGE  sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
Ser or S   serine
SMSF   Small Molecule Screening Facility
STP  4-sulfo-2,3,5,6-tetrafluorophenol, sodium salt
\( t \)   time
TAT   residues 47–57 of the HIV-1 trans-activator of transcription
TB   terrific broth
\( T_m \)   temperature at the midpoint of the denaturation curve
TLC   thin-layer chromatography
THF   tetrahydrofuran
TML   trimethyl lock
TMS   tetramethyilsilane
TNB   2-nitro-5-thiobenzoate
Tris   2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol
U   uridine
U>p   uridine 2',3'-cyclic phosphate
Up   uridine 3'-phosphate
uPa, urokinase   urokinase-type plasmogen activator
UpHT   uridine 3'-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate)
UV   ultraviolet
UW   University of Wisconsin
UWCCC   University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center
v/v  volume per volume
w/v or wt/vol  weight per volume
Z  net molecular charge (Arg + Lys – Asp – Glu)
CHAPTER 1

Introduction:

Small Molecules to Facilitate Chemotherapeutic Delivery
1.1 Overview

Drug delivery is an essential element to the success of therapeutics, especially cancer therapeutics (Gennaro, 2000; Wang, et al., 2005a; Kratz, et al., 2008; Rautio, et al., 2008). Cancer therapeutics (i.e., chemotherapeutics) typically have narrow therapeutic indexes, or dosing windows between efficacy and toxic side effects, necessitating exquisite drug delivery (Gennaro, 2000; Wang, et al., 2005a; Kratz, et al., 2008; Rautio, et al., 2008). The body contains several mechanisms to resist exposure to xenobiotics, including biological barriers, which drugs must bypass to reach their site of action (Wang, et al., 2005a). Drug delivery will become even more crucial as new chemotherapeutics, including biologics, are progressively more complex and difficult to deliver (Wang, et al., 2005a; Bildstein, et al., 2011). Therefore, novel methods are desperately needed to enhance the delivery of current and future drugs.

Delivery of a systemically-administered drug initially depends on its absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) pharmacokinetic properties (Gennaro, 2000). The drug is absorbed into the circulatory system either through the gastrointestinal tract following enteral administration (particularly difficult for biologics) (Gennaro, 2000; Malik, et al., 2007) or directly absorbed following parenteral administration (Gennaro, 2000). After absorption, the plasma concentration of the drug is modulated by: its distribution throughout the circulatory system and other tissues (e.g., adipose); its metabolism (e.g., oxidation by cytochrome P450 enzymes); and its rate of excretion/clearance (Gennaro, 2000). Once systemically dispensed, an extracellular-acting drug may be directly accessible to its site of action.

Many drugs, however, require additional delivery and must be internalized into a cell (cellular delivery) for therapeutic efficacy (Torchilin, 2006). Examples include hormones such as
glucocorticoids for inflammation (Rawat, et al., 2007), lysosomal enzymes for lysosomal storage diseases (Parkinson-Lawrence, et al., 2010), proapoptotic and other chemotherapeutics for cancer, potential gene and RNAi therapies for multiple diseases, and many others (Torchilin, 2006; Rawat, et al., 2007). Cellular delivery of these drugs consists of several stages (Figure 1.1). First, the drugs must accumulate near the cell in an active form. Second, the drugs must associate with the eukaryotic cell surface, comprised of a 5 nm thick, amphipathic plasma membrane (Lu and Oie, 2004) and up to a 500 nm thick glycocalyx consisting of a dense forest of polysaccharides (Vink and Duling, 1996; Varki, et al., 2009). Association with the cell surface is non-trivial — the plasma membrane headgroups and the glycocalyx present a hydrophilic, anionic surface which may repel some drugs (Schultz, 2003; Varki, et al., 2009). Third, the drugs must diffuse through the plasma membrane, be transported by membrane protein pumps, or be internalized into endosomes (Conner and Schmid, 2003; Lu and Oie, 2004). The ability of a molecule to diffuse across the plasma membrane is tightly restricted by size, polarity, charge, and hydration (Lu and Oie, 2004; Rawat, et al., 2007), and membrane protein pumps are specific for essential small molecules (Conner and Schmid, 2003). Therefore, many drugs — especially biologics — must be transported into the cell by either general or receptor-mediated endocytosis (Conner and Schmid, 2003; Lu and Oie, 2004). This process involves the invagination and pinching-off of parts of the plasma membrane into vesicles called endosomes (Conner and Schmid, 2003). Fourth, drugs with cytosolic targets must be able to escape, or translocate, into the cytosol from the endosomes or from the lysosomes with which these endosomes fuse (Lu and Oie, 2004; Luzio, et al., 2007; Rawat, et al., 2007).
Not surprisingly, cytosolic delivery of a small-molecule drug is challenging, and with a biologic drug is formidably arduous. This is likely the reason that of the 41 large peptidic and protein biologics in the top 200 pharmaceutical products in 2009 by worldwide sales, only 1, Sandimmun (ciclosporin) acts cytosolically (3 short peptidic drugs — 2 HIV protease inhibitors and bortezomib — also work cytosolically) (Mack, et al., 2009). Given the plethora of cytosolic drug targets, and the advances of our understanding and engineering of small-molecule and biologic drugs, we now stand poised to develop a multitude of disease treatments if only we could hurdle this barrier of cellular drug delivery. Therefore, we set out to develop innovative methods towards this goal, all centered around a model protein system.

1.2 Pancreatic-type Ribonucleases as Model Proteins

Bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A, EC 3.1.27.5) is the prototypical enzyme of the pancreatic-type ribonuclease superfamily (Raines, 1998). After its discovery in 1920 (Jones, 1920), RNase A became one of the most investigated enzymes of the last century, largely due to a research group at Armour, Inc., purifying over 1 kg of RNase A from leftover bovine pancreata and distributing the enzyme freely to scientists throughout the world (Raines, 1998). RNase A was used as a model enzyme for groundbreaking studies: its was the first enzyme reaction mechanism described (Findlay, et al., 1961; Cuchillo, et al., 2011), it was the first enzyme to have a correct amino acid sequence determined (Hirs, et al., 1960; Smyth, et al., 1963), the third enzyme X-ray structure determined (Kartha, 1967), and the first enzyme total synthesis (Gutte and Merrifield, 1969; Merrifield, 1986). Researchers from several of these studies have been honored with a Nobel Prize, including Christian B. Anfinsen for studies on protein folding.

RNase A is a small (124 residues, 13.7 kDa), cationic (pI 9.3, Z +4) (Ui, 1971) enzyme that hydrolyzes RNA with incredible catalytic efficiency; at low salt, this catalytic efficiency approaches the diffusion limit (>10^9 M^-1 s^-1) (Park and Raines, 2000). RNase A cleaves the P-O^5' bond of RNA with an overall rate enhancement of 3 x 10^{11} over the uncatalyzed reaction (Thompson, et al., 1995; Raines, 1998). RNase A then hydrolyzes the P-O^2' bond of the resultant 2',3'-cyclic phosphodiester in a separate, slower reaction (Raines, 1998). For its dramatic catalytic efficiency, RNase A uses two active-site histidines (His12, His119) that act as a general acid/base for catalysis and one active-site lysine (Lys41) that stabilizes the transition state (Raines, 1998). For the cleavage of RNA, RNase A has well-established substrate specificity. RNase A is pyrimidine-specific for the nucleobase prior to the scissile bond; it catalyzes the cleavage of substrates in the following order: cytidine > uridine > adenosine > guanosine (Kelemen, et al., 2000). Conversely, RNase A is purine-specific for the nucleobase following the scissile bond, catalyzing the cleavage of substrates with leaving groups in the following order: adenosine > guanosine > cytidine > uridine (Raines, 1998). While RNase A is sequence-specific for RNA substrates, it will also cleave non-natural substrates; for example, RNase A will cleave several uridine 3'-phosphate aryl esters with rates dependant on the pK_a of the leaving group (Davis, et al., 1988), and it will cleave chromogenic substrates such as uridine 3'-(5-bromo-4-chloroindol-3-yl) phosphate (Wolf, et al., 1968; Witmer, et al., 1991; Raines, 1998).
In addition to its extreme catalytic efficiency, RNase A is also renowned for its significant stability, with a midpoint of the thermal denaturation curve ($T_m$) of 63 °C (Leland, et al., 1998). This is exemplified by its traditional purification from bovine pancreata, involving first 0.25 N sulfuric acid at 5 °C, then pH 3.0 at 95–100 °C (Kunitz and McDonald, 1953). RNase A is also resilient to proteolysis; incubation of a G88R variant of RNase A with proteinase K resulted in a half-life of over 5 hours, (Klink and Raines, 2000) and incubation of this variant in cellulo (K-562 cells) at 37 °C for 100 hours did not result in significant degradation in either cytosolic or lysosomal fractions (Leich, et al., 2007).

While RNase A has been exceedingly well studied, thirteen homologues of RNase A have been identified in humans (Cho, et al., 2005). These homologues (Table 1.1, Table 1.2) include: RNase 1 (human pancreatic ribonuclease), RNase 2 (eosinophil-derived neurotoxin or EDN), RNase 3 (eosinophil-cationic protein or ECP), RNase 4, RNase 5 (angiogenin or ANG), RNase 6, RNase 7, RNase 8, and RNases 9–13 which are less-well characterized (Cho, et al., 2005; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). RNase 1 is particularly similar to RNase A in terms of protein sequence identity (68%), size (128 residues, 14.6 kDa), charge (pI 9.7, Z +6) (Cho, et al., 2005), and overall tertiary structure (Johnson, et al., 2007b).

Surprisingly, many of these homologues have special biological roles (Figure 1.2) orthogonal to digestion (Raines, 1998; Sorrentino, 2010). RNase 1 is released by vascular endothelial cells into the plasma and is ubiquitously expressed in several tissues (Weickmann, et al., 1984; Su, et al., 2004; Potenza, et al., 2006; Sorrentino, 2010; Fischer, et al., 2011). The presence of RNase 1 in plasma, coupled with data that implicates extracellular RNA in contributing to blood coagulation, has led to speculation that RNase 1 plays a role in vascular homeostasis (Fischer, et
RNases 2 and 3 are released by eosinophils and are thought to have a role in host defense (Rothenberg and Hogan, 2006). This is supported by RNase 2 (EDN) having shown antiviral, alarmin (chemoattractant and activation of dendritic cells), and neurotoxic activities (Rosenberg, 2008), and RNase 3 (ECP) having shown bactericidal, helminthotoxic, antiviral, neurotoxic, and cytotoxic activities (Navarro, et al., 2008; Chang, et al., 2010). RNase 5 (ANG) is a very potent inducer of angiogenesis, and this is dependent on its ribonucleolytic activity (Shapiro, et al., 1986; Tsuji, et al., 2005). Additionally, RNase 5 has been implicated in familiar amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), as RNase 5 gene mutations have been found in several patients (Greenway, et al., 2006). Further, RNase 7 and RNase 8 have demonstrated antimicrobial activities (Zhang, et al., 2003; Rudolph, et al., 2006). Roles for the remaining ribonucleases (RNases 4, 6, 9–13) have yet to be elucidated (Sorrentino, 2010). The wealth of knowledge on RNase A and human pancreatic-type ribonucleases make these enzymes attractive model proteins for studying biologic processes such as drug delivery.

1.3 Pancreatic-type Ribonucleases as Model Biologic Chemotherapeutics

Ribonucleases cleave RNA, molecules not only crucial for the transduction of genetic information as decreed in the central dogma (Voet and Voet, 2004), but also for protein/RNA structural complexes (e.g. ribosomes and splicesomes) (Korostelev, et al., 2006; Sashital, et al., 2007) and regulatory processes (e.g. microRNAs) (Lagos-Quintana, et al., 2001). Therefore, in the right context, ribonucleases can be extremely cytotoxic: RNase A microinjected into Xenopus oocytes is toxic at picomolar concentrations (Saxena, et al., 1991). This toxicity has been exploited in the attempt to develop treatments for cancer since the 1950s, though only
recently has this started to show promise in clinical trials. The first attempts to use ribonucleases as chemotherapeutics demonstrated that wild-type RNase A could be toxic to tumors, but only when injected in milligram quantities directly into the tumor (Ledoux and Baltus, 1954; Ledoux, 1955b; Ledoux, 1955a; De Lamirande, 1961; Raines, 1998). A revival of interest in ribonucleases as chemotherapeutics came with the discovery of the anticancer activity of bovine-seminal ribonuclease (for information on bovine-seminal ribonuclease, see (D'Alessio, et al., 1991; Kim, et al., 1995a; Kim, et al., 1995b; Matousek, et al., 2003)) and of amphibian RNase A homologues, in particular one from the Northern leopard frog *Rana pipiens*, hence named Onconase® (ONC) (Shogen and Yoan, 1973; Ardelt, et al., 1991; Lee and Raines, 2008).

RNase A and RNase 1 are similar to ONC (Table 1.1, Table 1.2, Figure 1.2), which has comparable size (104 residues, 11.8 kDa), charge (pI > 9.5, Z +5) (Ardelt, et al., 1991), and tertiary structure (Mosimann, et al., 1994). ONC is considerably more cytostatic and cytotoxic to cancer cell lines both *in vitro* and *in vivo* than RNase A or RNase 1 (Costanzi, et al., 2005; Ardelt, et al., 2008; Beck, et al., 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2009), and has been investigated in clinical trials for the treatment of unresectable malignant mesothelioma with mixed results (Alfacell, 2009). If ONC and both RNase A and RNase 1 are structurally similar, then why is ONC so dramatically more cytotoxic? ONC is able to significantly evade a cellular ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI) that binds to and inhibits RNase A and RNase 1 with femtomolar affinity (RI has only micromolar affinity for ONC) (Boix, et al., 1996; Cho, et al., 2005; Dickson, et al., 2005; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Turcotte and Raines, 2008b). This results in the ability of ONC to be active, and toxic, in cells (D'Alessio and Riordan, 1997).
While ONC is highly cytotoxic, it has met with limited clinical success partly due to reversible dose-limiting renal toxicity and low catalytic activity (Mikulski, et al., 1993; Costanzi, et al., 2005; Pavlakis and Vogelzang, 2006; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2008; Beck, et al., 2008). RNase A and RNase 1 accumulate 50–100 times less than ONC in the kidneys, portending less renal toxicity for mammalian ribonucleases (Vasandani, et al., 1996). RNase A and RNase 1 also have much higher catalytic efficiency for degrading RNA substrates ($10^2$–$10^5$ fold higher) (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2008). Therefore, RNase A and RNase 1 would potentially be better chemotherapeutics if they gained cytotoxic abilities comparable to ONC.

To endow cytotoxic abilities to RNase A and RNase 1, variants of these ribonucleases were engineered to evade RI. G88R RNase A demonstrated moderate evasion of RI ($K_d$ for RI = 1.2 nM) and moderate toxicity to the human erythroleukemia cell line K-562 ($IC_{50} = 4.6–7.0 \mu M$) (Leland, et al., 1998; Rutkoski, et al., 2005). A RNase A variant with additional mutations, D38R/R39D/N67R/G88RRNase A (DRNG RNase A), had higher evasion to RI ($K_d$ for RI = 510 nM) and consequently had higher toxicity to K-562 cells ($IC_{50} = 0.19 \mu M$) (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Both ribonuclease variants were also toxic to several other cancer cell lines, proportional to their RI evasion (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). RI-evasive variants of RNase 1 have also been constructed (Leland, et al., 2001; Johnson, et al., 2007b; Johnson, et al., 2007a), and one RNase 1 variant, QBI-139, is currently in a Phase I clinical trial for patients having advanced, refractory, solid tumors.

RI evasion is not the sole determinant of ribonuclease cytotoxicity. The putative mechanism of ribonuclease cytotoxicity (Figure 1.3) indicates dependence on the efficiency of ribonuclease
association with the cell membrane, internalization into cells, subsequent translocation into the cytosol, ability to evade RI, conformational stability, and ability to catalyze the degradation of cellular RNA (Leland, et al., 1998; Futami, et al., 2001; Leland, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2002; Haigis and Raines, 2003; Haigis, et al., 2003; Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Futami, et al., 2005; Benito, et al., 2005; Johnson, et al., 2007b; Johnson, et al., 2007a; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Chao, et al., 2010; Chao and Raines, 2011). Importantly, cytotoxic ribonucleases represent some of the first biologic chemotherapeutics that must prevail against the bottleneck of cytosolic delivery for therapeutic action.

1.4 Cellular Delivery is Essential for the Model Ribonuclease Chemotherapeutic System

The landscape of drugs, in particular macromolecular biologics, is limited by inefficient delivery into cells (Patil, et al., 2005; Malik, et al., 2007; Shim and Kwon, 2010); ribonucleases are no different. For example, RNase A had much higher cytotoxicity (> 10^6-fold) when injected directly into Xenopus oocytes versus applied extracellularly (Saxena, et al., 1991). As an intercellular-acting drug, ribonucleases must undergo several stages for cellular delivery (see section 1.1, Figure 1.1). Ribonucleases are used as active enzymes, thereby completing the first stage for cellular delivery — accumulation of active drug near the cell. The second stage of cellular delivery for RNase A and RNase 1 — association with the cell surface — is non-saturable, indicating that association with the cell surface is also non-receptor-mediated (Haigis and Raines, 2003; Johnson, et al., 2007a; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). The cationicity of RNase A and RNase 1 is thought to promote non-specific binding to the anionic cell surface through electrostatic interactions (Johnson, et al., 2007a; Chao, et al., 2010; Chao and Raines, 2011). For
RNase A (and presumably RNase 1), these interactions are mediated by heparin sulfate proteoglycans (Fredens, et al., 1991; Soncin, et al., 1997; Fuchs and Raines, 2006; Chao, et al., 2010), sialic acid-containing glycoproteins (Chao, et al., 2010), and/or phospholipid head groups (Notomista, et al., 2006; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). Similarly, heparin sulfate proteoglycans mediate the binding of cell-penetrating peptides, such as Arg9 and HIV TAT peptide, to the cell surface (Fuchs and Raines, 2004; Richard, et al., 2005; Chao, et al., 2010; Chao and Raines, 2011). Conversely, cell-surface binding of some ribonuclease amphibian sialic acid-binding lectins is mediated specifically by sialic acid groups, and cell-surface binding of ONC and RNase 5 is thought to be receptor-mediated, though these protein receptors for ONC and RNase 5 are controversial and ill-defined (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).

Upon binding to the cell surface, ribonucleases undergo the third stage of cellular delivery — endocytosis. RNase A (and presumably RNase 1) is endocytosed in a multi-pathway mechanism (Figure 1.1, insert), including macropinocytosis and cathepin-mediated endocytosis (Chao and Raines, 2011) (phagocytosis is not considered here since it is primarily done by specialized cells) (Conner and Schmid, 2003). Macropinocytosis often utilizes actin-dependant membrane ruffling but not dynamin (Cao, et al., 2007; Chao and Raines, 2011). Dextran, horseradish peroxidase, and some cell-penetrating peptides are also known to use this route for cellular entry (Nakase, et al., 2004; Jones, 2007; Cao, et al., 2007; Chao and Raines, 2011). Cathepin-mediated endocytosis occurs constitutively in all mammalian cells (Conner and Schmid, 2003; Chao and Raines, 2011) and uses recruitment of cargo by adaptor protein complex AP2 to clathrin-coated pits followed by fission of these vesicles by the GTPase dynamin (Mukherjee, et al., 1997; Chao and Raines, 2011). Transferrin is known to enter cells by this route (Conner and Schmid, 2003; Chao and
Regardless of which pathway is employed, endocytosis has been shown to be a crucial factor for RNase A and RNase 1 cytotoxicity (Johnson, et al., 2007a; Leich, et al., 2007).

Following endocytosis, ribonucleases are still excluded from the cytosol; they have not completed the fourth stage of cellular delivery — translocation from the endosomes into the cytosol. This translocation step is particularly difficult to analyze, and the mechanism is still unknown. However, it is thought that RNase A (and presumably RNase 1) translocation occurs prior to the trans-Golgi network (Haigis and Raines, 2003). Ribonuclease destabilization of membrane vesicles (representing endosomes) correlates with the cationicity of the ribonuclease, indicating the possible importance of charge in this stage (though this is convoluted by the use of dimeric ribonucleases in the analysis) (Notomista, et al., 2006). Supporting this, immunoblot analysis of fractionated K-562 cells showed that more G88R RNase A (Z +5) was delivered to the cytosol versus wild-type RNase A (Z +4) (Leich, et al., 2007). Finally, ribonuclease translocation is thought to be very inefficient, as only approximately 5% of the ribonuclease escapes into the cytosol, with 95% remaining in the endosomes/lysosomes (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).

Given this major bottleneck of cellular delivery in ribonuclease cytotoxicity, which can be considered a model for future intercellular biologic chemotherapeutics, several methods have been employed to increase ribonuclease cellular delivery (Table 1.3). One method includes increasing ribonuclease cationization for enhanced binding to the anionic cell surface and subsequent internalization/translocation. This has been engineered chemically (Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2005), through mutagenesis (Fuchs, et al., 2007; Johnson, et al., 2007a), and with tags (Fuchs and Raines, 2005). However, these methods tend to decrease the conformational
stability and/or activity of the ribonuclease, abrogating some of the benefit from the increased cytosolic delivery (Futami, et al., 2001; Fuchs and Raines, 2005; Fuchs, et al., 2007). Another method to increase ribonuclease internalization is to conjugate ribonuclease to ligands or antibodies which bind to cell-surface receptors overexpressed in cancer cells (for lists of several conjugates, see (Benito, et al., 2008; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Krauss, et al., 2008)). This can result in very potent cytotoxic ribonucleases. However, these constructs only target cells with the corresponding receptors up-regulated, potentially leading to easy mechanisms for cancer cell resistance (by down-regulation of these receptors) or off-target toxicity to normal cells that overexpress these receptors. Further, receptor-mediated endocytosis can lead ribonucleases down less-productive pathways for translocation or even down pathways to the lysosome (Erickson, et al., 2006; Benito, et al., 2008). Finally, if the ribonuclease–ligand or ribonuclease–antibody conjugate binds too tightly to its receptor, after endocytosis it may not be able to “let go” in order to translocate into the cytosol and/or the extra size of the ligand/antibody may hinder translocation (Borra, M. and Raines, R.T., personal communication). Therefore, additional cellular delivery strategies are still desperately needed.

For studies seeking to improve cytosolic delivery of biologic chemotherapeutics, RNase A and RNase 1 have proven to be robust protein models. Given their high conformational stability, RNase A and RNase 1 are readily chemically conjugated or mutated. While these changes may hinder their stability and cytotoxicity (see above), the constructs typically retain enough stability for cellular delivery analysis. Further, using these ribonucleases makes delivery analysis relatively facile. By attachment of a fluorophore and subsequent flow cytometry experiments, RNase A and RNase 1 can report on the efficiency of their internalization (Johnson, et al.,
RI-evasive variants of RNase A and RNase 1 can also report on the efficiency of their translocation by monitoring their cytotoxic potency, which correlates with their ability to reach the cytosol and degrade cellular RNA (Johnson, et al., 2007a; Chao, et al., 2010). Consequently, RNase A and RNase 1 are ideal models for drug delivery.

1.5 Small Molecules to Facilitate Chemotherapeutic Delivery

This thesis aims to investigate and facilitate the stages of chemotherapeutic cellular delivery with small molecules, all focused around the ribonuclease model system.

1.5.1. Modification of drug for timed-release drug delivery

Modulation of the first stage of cellular delivery, accumulation of active drug near the cell, is crucial for cancer chemotherapeutic drugs, since these drugs often have narrow therapeutic indexes that make maintaining a safe and effective dose challenging (Gennaro, 2000; Kratz, et al., 2008). Using a timed-release prodrug strategy, where pro-moieties inactivate a drug until it is released enzymatically or chemically over time, consistent plasma concentrations of the drug can be obtained. This modulates the near-toxic spikes or near-ineffective troughs in active drug plasma concentration (Langer, 1990; Gennaro, 2000; Testa and Mayer, 2003; Brown, 2004; Wang, et al., 2005a). While many pro-moieties exist, the current landscape of timed-release pro-moieties is limited, particularly ones with tunable release kinetics for precise regulation of active drug plasma concentration (Langer, 1990; Gennaro, 2000; Testa and Mayer, 2003; Brown, 2004; Wang, et al., 2005a; Kratz, et al., 2008; Rautio, et al., 2008). The discovery of additional pro-moieties would enhance the ability to optimize the accumulation of active drug near the cell.
An ideal timed-release pro-moiety would not only inactivate the parent drug, but also be released by an endogenous plasma enzyme with promiscuous substrate tolerability. Fulfilling these criteria is non-trivial: most enzymes are by necessity very substrate specific, or are not found in abundant concentration in the plasma. Even if an enzyme is mildly promiscuous, that does not guarantee it will act on a non-natural substrate. Human pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase 1) is an attractive prodrug-activating enzyme as it is expressed in many tissues and circulates in the plasma at approximately 0.4 mg/L (Weickmann, et al., 1984; Su, et al., 2004; Potenza, et al., 2006; Sorrentino, 2010). While RNase 1 is an extremely efficient catalyst for RNA cleavage (Johnson, et al., 2007b), it, along with its more studied bovine homolog RNase A, are also known to promiscuously catalyze the hydrolysis of other phosphate aryl esters attached to the 3'-end of ribonucleotides (Taylorson, et al.; Davis, et al., 1988; Witmer, et al., 1991; Thompson and Raines, 1994; delCardayré, et al., 1995). In fact, this was the basis for a patent describing tumor-targeted activation of a phenolic nitrogen mustard from a ribonuclease 3'-phosphate pro-moiety using an antibody–RNase 1 variant in an antibody-directed enzyme prodrug therapy (ADEPT) strategy (Taylorson, et al.).

In CHAPTER 2 we hypothesized that a ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate pro-moiety attached to a chemotherapeutic drug would be released by RNase 1 as a novel timed-release prodrug strategy. For our proof-of-concept studies, we chose the model parent drug 4-hydroxytamoxifen (HT). HT is the activated form of tamoxifen (oxidized by cytochrome P450 enzymes) (Desta, et al., 2004) and is significantly more potent than tamoxifen as an anti-proliferative agent against breast cancer cells (Coezy, et al., 1982). We attached HT to the uridine 3'-phosphate to synthesize uridine 3'-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) (UpHT). Given the hydrophilicity of uridine-
phosphate, we computationally analyzed UpHT to determine if the prodrug had increased hydrophilicity, which may improve its pharmacokinetics (Stella and Nti-Addae, 2007). The ability of RNase 1 to cleave UpHT was analyzed in vitro to determine if the prodrug had timed-release kinetics. Finally, a breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) was co-treated with UpHT and RNase 1 to determine if RNase 1 could release active HT to accumulate near the cell and ultimately decrease cell proliferation.

1.5.2. Increased cell association and internalization of a model biologic chemotherapeutic

The utility of many biologic drugs is limited by inefficient cellular delivery (Patil, et al., 2005; Malik, et al., 2007; Shim and Kwon, 2010). Previous methods to improve the second and third stages of cellular delivery, association with the cell surface and subsequent internalization into the cell, of RNase A and RNase 1 have focused on increasing cell-surface binding by the use of cationic domains or conjugating these enzymes with antibodies and natural ligands (see above and Table 1.3). While these methods have had moderate success, additional delivery strategies are urgently needed for biologics with intractable delivery.

In CHAPTER 3, we investigated the use of boronic acids for boronate-mediated drug delivery. The cell surface is coated with a dense forest of polysaccharides known as the glycocalyx (Varki, et al., 2009). We anticipated that targeting therapeutic agents to these abundant saccharides would enhance their cellular delivery. Boronic acids readily form boronate esters with the 1,2- and 1,3-diols found in saccharides (James, et al., 2006), including the polysaccharides found in the glycocalyx (Vandenburg, et al., 2000; Yang, et al., 2004; Polsky, et al., 2008; Matsumoto, et al., 2009; Zhong, et al., 2010; Matsumoto, et al., 2010). In addition,
boronic acids are biologically compatible as they are used as therapeutic agents and for other biomedical applications (Westmark and Smith, 1996; Jay, et al., 2009; Barth, 2009; Wu, et al., 2010; Peng, et al., 2010; Kumar, et al., 2011). Further, pendant boronic acids conjugated to polyethylenimine have been shown to enhance gene transfection (Peng, et al., 2010). Hence, we reasoned that boronic acids would enhance drug delivery through boronate–glycocalyx complexation. A boronic acid was chosen through small molecule NMR analysis and coupled to RNase A as a biologic chemotherapeutic model. This conjugate was analyzed for its affinity for saccharides in vitro. Importantly, the ability for the boronic acid to facilitate internalization into a cell was then measured. The cytotoxicity of this conjugate was also measured, thereby indicating whether it was still able to translocate to the cytosol to degrade cellular RNA. A competing saccharide, fructose, was used to indicate that the increased saccharide affinity of the conjugate was due, at least in part, to boron–saccharide complexation. Demonstration that boronates not only facilitate the cellular uptake of proteins, but also allow for protein cytosolic delivery and maintenance of therapeutic activity, opens new doors in biologic drug delivery.

1.5.3. Enhanced cytotoxicity of a model biologic chemotherapeutic

RNase A is very inefficient at the fourth stage of cellular delivery, translocating from the endosomes into the cytosol, limiting its cytotoxic potential (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). To improve the translocation (and internalization) of RNase A, the Yamada lab increased its cationicity chemically by condensing the side chains of aspartate and glutamate residues with either ethylenediamine (Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2002) or with cationic polymers of poly(ethyleneimine) (Futami, et al., 2005). While these covalent modifications increased
cytotoxicity, they also diminished catalytic activity (Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2005). The Raines lab increased the cationicity of proteins by using 'arginine-grafting', where specific anionic residues where mutated to cationic residues. This technique increased the internalization of both GFP (Fuchs and Raines, 2007) and RNase A (Fuchs, et al., 2007) and led to an increase in cytotoxicity of a RI-evasive variant of RNase A, but at the cost of conformational stability (Fuchs, et al., 2007). A genetically-encoded nonaarginine tag (Arg9) attached to RNase A increased internalization but also had detrimental effects on stability (Fuchs and Raines, 2005).

In CHAPTER 4, we investigated the potentiation of ribonuclease cytotoxicity by a poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimer in trans, likely by improved translocation into the cytosol after endocytosis (Ellis, et al., 2011). This was supported by recent observations that co-treatment with a cationic peptide or PEI could enhance the cellular uptake of proteins (Didenko, et al., 2005; Loudet, et al., 2008) and by recent work from the Pellois laboratory (Lee, et al., 2010; Angeles-Boza, et al., 2010). Notably, this work differed from previous methods of directly increasing RNase A cationicity in that the dendrimer was added as a co-treatment, or in trans, and not directly conjugated to the ribonuclease. This was hypothesized to lessen any destabilizing and inactivating effects. PAMAM dendrimers are monodisperse, branched polymers with a high density of cationic charge (Tomalia, et al., 1985; Esfand and Tomalia, 2001). They are commercially available and have been employed as drug and gene delivery vehicles, as they have the ability to transport encapsulated small molecules and enwrapped DNA into cells (Cloninger, 2002; Guillot-Nieckowski, et al., 2007; Navarro, et al., 2010). Specifically, the relatively small generation 2 dendrimer was investigated due to its low inherent cytotoxicity compared to higher generation dendrimers and other cationic polymers such as polyethyleneimine.
(Roberts, *et al.*, 1996; Malik, *et al.*, 2000; Fischer, *et al.*, 2003). Not only were the effects of dendrimers on internalization and cytotoxicity of ribonucleases measured, but also the effects of dendrimers on ribonuclease thermostability and catalytic activity. Although it is unlikely that this dendrimer strategy could be used in a clinical setting, its investigation encourages further exploration of cationic dendrimers as co-treatments with chemotherapeutic agents and their mechanism of action.

### 1.6 Additional Delivery Methods

In addition to the investigations mentioned above, further investigations have been conducted that are specific to RNase A.

#### 1.6.1 Small-molecule inhibitors of RI—ribonuclease interaction

Human ribonuclease inhibitor (RI) is found at a concentration of 4 μM in the cytosol of all mammalian cells studied (Dickson, *et al.*, 2005). Remarkably, RI binds to and inhibits several mammalian ribonucleases in 1:1 stoichiometric interactions with femtomolar affinity by burying approximately 2900 Å$^2$ of surface area. These ribonucleases include RNase A, RNase 1, RNase 2 (EDN), RNase 4, and RNase 5 (ANG), even though these proteins only share 20–68% protein sequence identity (RNases 3, 6, and 7 have also been demonstrated to be sensitive to RI) (Cho, *et al.*, 2005; Dickson, *et al.*, 2005; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). Variants of RNase A and RNase 1 have been engineered to evade RI and are toxic to several cancer cell lines proportional to their ability to evade RI (Leland, *et al.*, 1998; Leland, *et al.*, 2001; Rutkoski, *et al.*, 2005; Johnson, *et
In this way, RI acts to inhibit delivery, albeit intracellular delivery, of ribonucleases to their site of action, cellular RNA.

In APPENDIX I, it was hypothesized that small-molecule antagonists of the RI–ribonuclease interaction, identified through a high-throughput screen (HTS), would potentiate the cytotoxicity of modestly RI-evasive ribonucleases. These small-molecule RI antagonists could augment the cytotoxicity of RNase A or RNase 1 variants which are hindered by weak RI-evasiveness but possess other desirable attributes for ribonuclease cytotoxicity, such as high stability (Klink and Raines, 2000) or enhanced ability to internalize into cells (Johnson, et al., 2007a). In addition to cytotoxicity, RNase A homologues have been implicated in other important biological processes, including neovascularization (ANG) and host defense (EDN) (D’Alessio and Riordan, 1997; Rosenberg, 1998; Dickson, et al., 2005). Inhibitors of the RI–ribonuclease interaction could be used to probe the biological activities of these ribonucleases, and the role that RI plays in these processes. Therefore, we optimized a HTS assay for identifying inhibitors of the interaction between RI and a RNase A variant interaction and screened two compound libraries (4,160 compound Known Bioactives Library; 16,000 compound Chembridge Library). Initial hits were analyzed and screened in a secondary assay. While these compounds did not show utility in cellulo, future development of these hits could lead to more potent antagonists.

1.6.2. Engineering a ribonuclease zymogen activated by urokinase for selective toxicity

A crucial issue for the body in the design of proteases is how to produce them without significant off-target activity and consequent toxicity. One evolved solution is the use of zymogens: precursor enzymes that are produced to be inactive until a region, such as a domain
blocking the active site, is cleaved by another protease, thereby activating the enzyme at its needed site of action (Khan and James, 1998). The ability of zymogens to regulate enzyme activity was used as a model to engineer three previously published RNase A zymogens (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). These zymogens were engineered using circular permutation, where new N- and C- termini were produced and a peptide linker spanned the old N- and C- terminus, blocking the active site. The RNase A zymogens were relatively inactive until the linker regions were cleaved by disease-associated proteases: plasmepsin II from *Plasmodium falciparum*, the organism responsible for most cases of malaria; NS3 protease from the hepatitis C virus; or the HIV-1 protease (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). Hypothetically, by RNase A only becoming activated when in the presence of these proteases, only infected cells would undergo cell death. Unfortunately, no previously published RNase A zymogen has been effective *in cellulo*.

In an attempt to produce a novel RNase A zymogen, in APPENDIX 2 a ribonuclease zymogen activated by urokinase is investigated. Urokinase-type plasminogen activator (urokinase) is a serine protease overexpressed in many tumors, and is part of a cascade towards matrix-metalloprotease activation (Andreasen, et al., 1997; Sidenius and Blasi, 2003). A RI-evasive RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase could therefore have an increased therapeutic index compared to normal RNase A variants, if it were preferentially activated near tumors overexpressing urokinase. Using urokinase to activate cytotoxins is predicated by work from Leppla, Bugge, and coworkers using an urokinase-activated cytotoxin based on anthrax toxin (Liu, et al., 2001). A RNase A zymogen was produced using circular permutation with a new
urokinase-cleavable linker over the active site, and it was analyzed both *in vitro* and *in cellulo*. While the zymogen was activated by urokinase *in vitro*, it did not demonstrate *in cellulo* toxicity.

Two other novel engineered RNase A zymogen methods were also attempted, but did not produce any usable protein. Additional engineering of RNase A zymogens activated by urokinase may lead to viable *in cellulo* zymogens that can be analyzed biologically.

### 1.7 Prospectus

Ribonucleases show promise as a rare class of biologic chemotherapeutics; a biologic that works in the cytosol, not in lysosomes or outside of a cell. While these enzymes may help patients clinically, perhaps just as important will be their role to serve as models. The potential for intercellular-acting biologic therapeutics is enormous if the barriers to delivery can be surmounted. Hopefully, scientists will reference studies on the delivery of ribonuclease chemotherapeutics and apply the lessons learned from this system to other biologic chemotherapeutics.
Table 1.1  Amino-acid sequence alignment of selected pancreatic-type ribonuclease superfamily members. The alignment is modified from the Raines Lab Archive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>KETAAKFHRE</td>
<td>MDSTSASSNSNQ</td>
<td>MMKKSRNLTKDR</td>
<td>PVYNTFVHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onclease</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>KESRAKFHRE</td>
<td>MDSTSASSNSNQ</td>
<td>MMKKSRNLTKDR</td>
<td>PVYNTFVHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>KPFQFTWAQWFETQ</td>
<td>INMTSQQ</td>
<td>CNTANQV1NNRQ</td>
<td>NQNTFLLT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 2</td>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>RNase 2</td>
<td>RNase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 3</td>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>RNase 2</td>
<td>RNase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 4</td>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>RNase 2</td>
<td>RNase 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>RPEPVKAIC- KGIASKNVLT- T - - - - - -</td>
<td>SFYLSNVT- -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onclease</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>- -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>PLVDVNQ5CFQEKVTCKNGQG- NCK- - - - - -</td>
<td>SNSSMHTDCRLNTNG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 2</td>
<td>TFANVNYCGPNMTCPSNKRKNCHH- - - -</td>
<td>SGCSVPLIHCNLTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 3</td>
<td>TFANVNYCGPNMTCPSNKRKNCHH- - - -</td>
<td>SGCSVPLIHCNLTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 4</td>
<td>DIVERSICSTNIOCKNGMK- NCH- - - -</td>
<td>G- YVVKTDREDTGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>NKSISAIC- F- - - - - -</td>
<td>NPHRERISKSSFQVTGLLHGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>90</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>SK- - YPNCAYKTQANKH1VA CEGN- - - - - -</td>
<td>PYVPV FDASV- - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onclease</td>
<td>SK- - YPNCAYKTQANKH1VA CEGN- - - - - -</td>
<td>PYVPV FDASV- - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>SK- - YPNCAYKTQANKH1VA CEGN- - - - - -</td>
<td>PYVPV FDASV- - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 2</td>
<td>SPON1NRQAYQTPANFYVA CDRBDURPDPYPPYVPV</td>
<td>LDR1- - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 3</td>
<td>GAQ1NSNCRADPRGRFRYVVACDRB- PRDSEPYPYPYVPV</td>
<td>LDD1- - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 4</td>
<td>SR- - APHCRYAIASTRXVIA CEGN- - - - - -</td>
<td>PYVPV FDG- - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 5</td>
<td>SR- - APHCRYAIASTRXVIA CEGN- - - - - -</td>
<td>PYVPV FDG- - - -</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cysteine Residue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue Type</th>
<th>Active Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5' Phosphate Binding Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>helix, sheet, turn in RNase A</td>
<td>P0 5' Phosphate Binding Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-1</td>
<td>P2 3' Phosphate Binding Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic Binding Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>Ado Binding Site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.2  Sequence identity/similarity of selected pancreatic-type ribonuclease superfamily members. Sequence identities (%) are to the left of the diagonal and sequence similarities (%) are to the right of the diagonal. These were calculated with MacVector v9.5 (MacVector, Inc., Cary, NC) in a previous publication from which the table was modified (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RNase A</th>
<th>RNase 1</th>
<th>RNase 2 (EDN)</th>
<th>RNase 3 (ECP)</th>
<th>RNase 4</th>
<th>RNase 5 (ANG)</th>
<th>RNase 6</th>
<th>RNase 7</th>
<th>RNase 8</th>
<th>ONC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 2 (EDN)</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 3 (ECP)</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 5 (ANG)</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 7</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 8</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONC</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1.3  Selected conjugations to enhance RNase A and RNase 1 cellular delivery.

Methods above the double line use cationization; methods below the double line use targeting of cell-surface receptors. The table is partially adapted from previous publications (Benito, et al., 2008; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ribonuclease</th>
<th>Conjugate</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Cytotoxicity/IC50 (cell line)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Ethylenediamine</td>
<td>Carboxyl group amidation using EDC</td>
<td>0.17 nM (3T3-SV40); 3.6 nM with Endoporter (HeLa)</td>
<td>(Futami, et al., 2001; Futami and Yamada, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Ethylenediamine</td>
<td>Limited carboxyl group amidation using EDC</td>
<td>0.085 nM (MCF-7); 0.075 nM (3T3-SV40)</td>
<td>(Futami, et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Polyethyleneimine (PEI), 250–1800 Da</td>
<td>Carboxyl group amidation using EDC</td>
<td>0.33–3.3 nM (3T3-SV40)</td>
<td>(Futami, et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>Ethylenediamine</td>
<td>Carboxyl group amidation using EDC</td>
<td>0.11 nM (3T3-SV40)</td>
<td>(Futami, et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Nonarginine tag</td>
<td>Genetic fusion with tag to RNase</td>
<td>2.0 nM (K-562)</td>
<td>(Fuchs and Raines, 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Arginine</td>
<td>Mutating selected Asp/Glu with Arg(arginine grafting) to RNase</td>
<td>1.9 nM, 0.58 nM with Arg tag (K-562)</td>
<td>(Fuchs and Raines, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>Arginine/Lysine</td>
<td>Site-directed mutagenesis for R1 evasion and charge</td>
<td>5.7 nM (K-562)</td>
<td>(Johnson, et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>Transferrin (Tf) or anti-human Tf receptor</td>
<td>Site-specific attachment to free cysteine variant</td>
<td>1–2 nM (human glioma)</td>
<td>(Suzuki, et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>C-terminus of Human anti-ErbB-2 receptor scFv</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to N-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>12.5–60 nM (cell lines displaying ErbB-2)</td>
<td>(De Lorenzo, et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>C-terminus of Human Ber-H2 scFv (binds to CD30)</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to C-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>Cytotoxic (CD30+ cell lines)</td>
<td>(Brachons, et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>N-terminus of EGF</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to C-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>0.35 nM (A431)</td>
<td>(Hoshimoto, et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>N-terminus of FGF</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to C-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>ca. 2 nM (B16/B1.6)</td>
<td>(Futami, et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>C-terminus of human bFGF</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to N-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>1.6 nM (B16/B1.6)</td>
<td>(Tada, et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>β-trefoil core region (residues 19–146) of bFGF</td>
<td>Genetic insertion into a RNase</td>
<td>0.23 μM (Mice with human A431 SCC tumors)</td>
<td>(Tada, et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>N-terminus of human IL2</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to C-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>20 nM (T-cells infected to overproduce II.2 receptor)</td>
<td>(Psarras, et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Anti-human α-IL2 receptor</td>
<td>Chemical conjugation</td>
<td>50 nM (T-cells infected to overproduce II.2 receptor)</td>
<td>(Yamamura, et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase 1</td>
<td>N-terminus of scFv for CD7</td>
<td>Genetic fusion to C-terminus of RNase</td>
<td>Not toxic to CD7+ T cells</td>
<td>(Erickson, et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase A</td>
<td>Folate</td>
<td>Chemical conjugation to R1-evasive RNase</td>
<td>9–11 nM (K-562)</td>
<td>(Smith, et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.1  Stages of cellular drug delivery. For therapeutic action, intercellular-acting drugs must (1) accumulate near the cell in an active form, (2) associate with the cell surface, and (3) internalize by endocytosis or other mechanisms. If the drug is internalized by endocytosis and must reach the cytosol for therapeutic action, it must also (4) undergo translocation. The figure is modified from previous publications (Conner and Schmid, 2003; Bildstein, et al., 2011).
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Figure 1.2  Selected members of the pancreatic-type ribonuclease superfamily. Six selected ribonucleases are aligned to RNase 1 (top) to emphasize similarities in tertiary structure. The ribonucleases are RNase A (red): PDB entry 7rsa (Wlodawer, et al., 1988); Onconase or ONC (orange): JONC (Mosimann, et al., 1994); RNase 1 (yellow): chain Z of 1z7x (Johnson, et al., 2007b); RNase 2 or EDN (blue): chain C of 2bex (Iyer, et al., 2005); RNase 3 or ECP (green): 1qmt (Boix, et al., 1999); RNase 4 (cyan): 1rnf (Terzyan, et al., 1999); and RNase 5 or ANG (magenta): chain B of 1a4y (Papageorgiou, et al., 1997). Organism source and selected putative biological roles are given for each ribonuclease (bottom, excludes neurotoxicity for EDN and ECP). The figure is adapted from a previous publication (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).
RNase A
(*Bo* *taurus*)
Digestion and unknown

Onconase (ONC)
(*Rana pipiens*)
Cytotoxic

RNase 1
(*Homo sapiens*)
Unknown

RNase 2 (EDN)
(*Homo sapiens*)
Antibacterial, antiviral, helminthotoxic, and cytotoxic

RNase 3 (ECP)
(*Homo sapiens*)
Antiviral and alarmin

RNase 4
(*Homo sapiens*)
Unknown

RNase 5 (ANG)
(*Homo sapiens*)
Angiogenesis
Figure 1.3 Putative mechanism of ribonuclease cytotoxicity. (A) Ribonucleases (blue) reversibly bind to the anionic cell surface. This binding is needed to facilitate (B) endocytosis. Following endocytosis, ribonucleases (C) translocate from endosomes into the cytosol where they (D) encounter RI (red). Ribonucleases are either (E) bound and inhibited by RI, maintaining cell status, or (F) able to evade RI and degrade cellular RNA, causing cell death. The figure is adapted from a previous publication (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).
CHAPTER 2

Ribonuclease-Activated Cancer Prodrug

This chapter is in preparation for publication as:

2.1 Abstract

Cancer chemotherapeutic agents often have a narrow therapeutic index that challenges the maintenance of a safe and effective dose. Consistent plasma concentrations of the drug can be obtained by using a prodrug strategy. We reasoned that a ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate could serve as a timed-release pro-moiety that also serves to increase the hydrophilicity of a cancer chemotherapeutic agent. Herein, we report an efficient route for the synthesis of the prodrug uridine 3’-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) (UpHT). UpHT demonstrates timed-released activation kinetics with a half-life of approximately 4 h near the approximate plasma concentration of human pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase 1). MCF-7 breast cancer cells treated with UpHT showed decreased proliferation when co-incubated with RNase 1, consistent with their lease of the active drug 4-hydroxytamoxifen. These data demonstrate the utility of plasma ribonuclease as a useful activator of a prodrug.

2.2 Introduction

Many drug candidates have demonstrable therapeutic potential in vitro but fail in vivo due to poor pharmacokinetic behavior (Wang, et al., 2005a; Rautio, et al., 2008). Dosing of cancer chemotherapeutic agents, in particular, is made difficult by narrow therapeutic indices (Gennaro, 2000; Kratz, et al., 2008). Following parenteral administration of a drug, there is a spike in drug plasma concentration, followed by a slow decline in concentration as the drug is eliminated or metabolized, complicating the maintenance of the drug concentration within its therapeutic index (Langer, 1990; Gennaro, 2000). Timed-release prodrug technology provides one potential means to overcome this problem. A pro-moiety renders the drug inactive until liberation by an enzyme-
catalyzed or non-enzymic process. Ideally, such timed-release modulates near-toxic peaks or near-ineffective troughs in the concentration of active drug in plasma (Langer, 1990; Gennaro, 2000; Testa and Mayer, 2003; Brown, 2004; Wang, et al., 2005a). Although many pro-moieties exist, few provide timed-release in plasma, particularly with tunable release kinetics (Langer, 1990; Gennaro, 2000; Testa and Mayer, 2003; Brown, 2004; Wang, et al., 2005a; Kratz, et al., 2008; Rautio, et al., 2008).

We sought a pro-moiety that would not only inactivate the parent drug, but also be released by an endogenous plasma enzyme. Fulfilling these criteria is difficult, as few enzymes have high plasma concentrations and many are highly specific to their native substrate. Human pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase 1) is an exception. Contrary to its name, RNase 1 is expressed in tissues other than pancreas, and circulates in human plasma at a concentration of ~0.4 mg/L (Weickmann, et al., 1984; Su, et al., 2004; Potenza, et al., 2006; Sorrentino, 2010). Although RNase 1 is an extremely efficient catalyst of RNA cleavage (Johnson, et al., 2007b), it, like its renowned homolog bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A), is a promiscuous enzyme that can also catalyze the cleavage of other aryl phosphate esters attached to the 3'-end of ribonucleotides (Taylorson, et al.; Davis, et al., 1988; Witmer, et al., 1991; Thompson and Raines, 1994; delCardayré, et al., 1995). This promiscuity is the basis for the tumor-targeted activation of a phenolic nitrogen mustard from a ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate pro-moiety using an antibody–RNase 1 variant in an antibody-directed enzyme prodrug therapy (ADEPT) strategy (Taylorson, et al.).

Due to the promiscuous activity of ribonucleases, we reasoned that a chemotherapeutic drug condensed with a ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate pro-moiety would be released upon catalysis by
RNase 1. We were aware that the use of a ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate as a pro-moiety would be facilitated by highly optimized phosphoramidite chemistry, making the prodrug readily accessible on a laboratory or industrial scale (Beaucage and Caruthers, 1981). A pendant ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate could inactivate a small-molecule drug by hindering the interaction with its target. The hydrophilicity of a ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate could impart improved pharmacokinetics to hydrophobic drugs (Stella and Nti-Addae, 2007). Additionally, the anionic phosphoryl group could deter cellular uptake prior to activation (Schultz, 2003).

For our proof-of-concept studies, we chose the model parent drug 4-hydroxytamoxifen (HT). HT is the activated form of tamoxifen (oxidized by cytochrome P450 enzymes) (Desta, et al., 2004) and is significantly more potent than tamoxifen as an anti-proliferative agent against breast cancer cells (Coezy, et al., 1982). Tamoxifen acts as an anti-estrogen and is one of the most commonly used hormonal drugs for the prevention and treatment of breast cancer (O'Regan and Jordan, 2002; Powles, 2003). Unfortunately, tamoxifen can have off-target effects and is linked to an increased risk of endometrial carcinoma and pulmonary embolism (~2–3% risk) (Fisher, et al., 2005). Presumably, some of tamoxifen’s side effects might be attenuated by delivering tamoxifen at a consistent, low dose over time (Bergman, et al., 2000; Decensi, et al., 2003; Vitseva, et al., 2005; Veronesi, et al., 2007). A system using tamoxifen-encapsulated liposomes has been developed for this purpose, (Layek and Mukherjee, 2010) but liposomal delivery systems have demonstrated only modest efficacy in the clinic (Andresen, et al., 2005). Hence, we elected to attach HT to uridine 3'-phosphate and analyze the activation of this model prodrug by RNase 1 (Figure 2.1).
2.3 Results and Discussion

Uridine 3'-\((4\text{-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate})\) (UpHT) was synthesized from commercially available HT (\(\geq70\% \text{ Z isomer, which is the more active form}\)) (Murphy, et al., 1990) and uridine phosphoramidite. HT was coupled to uridine phosphoramidite by standard coupling chemistry using methylbenzimidazolium triflate as a catalyst (Hayakawa, et al., 2001). The coupled product was oxidized with iodine and deprotected stepwise, and the final product was purified by reverse-phase HPLC on C18 resin to provide UpHT with an overall yield of 58\% (Scheme 2.1).

We expected the uridine 3'-phosphate moiety to endow the prodrug with greater hydrophilicity than the parent drug, which could improve pharmacokinetic behavior. To investigate this issue, we calculated the partition (logP) and distribution (logD) coefficients of UpHT and HT with the program MarvinView (ChemAxon, Budapest, Hungary) (ChemAxon, 2011). The calculated logP and logD values of UpHT were significantly lower than those of the parent drug HT (Table 2.1), indicative of increased hydrophilicity.

To be the basis for an effective timed-release prodrug strategy, the pro-moiety needs to be released by the activating enzyme over time. Hence, we used an HPLC assay to determine the rate of HT release from UpHT by RNase 1 at 37 °C (Figure 2.2). UpHT (90.45 \(\mu\text{M}\)) was dissolved in 100 mM MES–NaOH buffer, pH 6.0, containing NaCl (100 mM) (Kelemen, et al., 1999; Smith, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al., 2007b). The concentration of RNase 1 (~0.15 mg/L) was similar to its plasma concentration (~0.4mg/L). The half-life of HT release by RNase 1 was determined to be ~4 h (Figure 2.3). Importantly, UpHT was stable in the absence of RNase 1; after ~11 h at 37 °C, <6\% of UpHT had degraded to HT.
To demonstrate the efficacy of UpHT *in cellulo*, we used a cell-proliferation assay with a MCF-7 breast cancer cell line, which are known to be vulnerable to HT (Coezy, et al., 1982). UpHT was made ~3-fold more anti-proliferative by the presence of added RNase 1, indicating that HT was released and UpHT was acting as a prodrug (Figure 2.4).

### 2.4 Conclusions

Thus, we have demonstrated proof-of-concept for a prodrug strategy that employs RNase 1 to release a cancer chemotherapeutic agent in a timed-release manner (Figure 2.1). UpHT is more hydrophilic than the parent HT due to its ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate moiety (Table 2.1). UpHT was activated by RNase 1 over time *in vitro* (Figure 2.3), and was activated *in cellulo* as indicated by decreased proliferation of MCF-7 cells when co-incubated with RNase 1 (Figure 2.4).

In addition to the features demonstrated with UpHT, the RNase 1/ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate prodrug system has versatile modularity. For example, the leaving group need not be an aryloxy group. Pancreatic-type ribonucleases cleave the P-O bonds to alkoxy groups, which could include a self-immolative linker to an amino group (Kratz, et al., 2008). RNase 1 is known to cleave poly(cytidine) faster than poly(uridine), which is cleaved faster than poly(adenosine) (Sorrentino and Libonati, 1994). Hence, cytidine- and uridine-masked drugs are likely to be activated more rapidly than adenosine- and guanosine-masked drugs, leading to potential tunable kinetics of pro-moiety release. In addition, synergistic drugs could be conjugated to different ribonucleoside 3'-phosphates to achieve simultaneous release of drugs at desired concentrations. These same effects could be used to optimize simultaneous plasma concentrations of
chemoprotective drugs and chemotherapeutic drugs. The pharmacokinetics of the drug could be tuned by modification of the ribose 5'-hydroxyl group. For instance, this hydroxyl group could be PEGylated to enhance serum half-life, extended with additional nucleoside 3'-phosphates to increase hydrophilicity, or alkylated to increase hydrophobicity.

Finally, we note that nucleoside 3'-phosphate pro-moieties could impart selective activation of chemotherapeutic agents near tumor sites. Although RNase 1 was employed herein due to its abundance in plasma, (Weickmann, et al., 1984; Su, et al., 2004; Potenza, et al., 2006; Sorrentino, 2010) RNase 1 homologues are also likely to activate prodrugs like UpHT (Sorrentino, 2010). One such homologue is eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (RNase 2), which is carried and released by eosinophils (Sorrentino, 2010) (another eosinophil ribonuclease, eosinophil cationic protein or RNase 3, was not shown to activate UpHT, data not shown). These cells are known to accumulate and degranulate at tumor sites (Munitz and Levi-Schaffer, 2004; Cormier, et al., 2006; Lotfi, et al., 2007). We anticipate that, akin to prodrug monotherapy (PMT) in which prodrugs are activated by endogenous enzymes found in abundance specifically near tumors (Bosslet, et al., 1998), a prodrug strategy reliant on RNase 2 could be used to generate active drugs at adventitious sites. This would only work, however, if significant amounts of RNase 2 are released near tumors relative to RNase 1 serum levels.
2.5 Experimental Procedures

2.5.1 Materials

Uridine phosphoramidite and iodine oxidizing solution were purchased from Glen Research (Sterling, VA). Minimum 70% Z isomer of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (remainder primarily E-isomer), 3 Å molecular sieves, tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF), methylbenzimidazole, and all other commercial reagents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Methylbenzimidazole triflate was made according to literature precedent from methylbenzimidazole (Hayakawa, et al., 2001). Spectra/Par® dialysis bags (3500 MWCO) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Walham, MA). *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells were from Novagen (Madison, WI). Cell culture medium and supplements, as well as Delbecco’s PBS (DPBS) were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). [methyl-³H]Thymidine (6.7 Ci/mmol) was from Perkin-Elmer (Boston, MA). HiTrap columns were from GE Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). MES buffer was from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and purified by anion-exchange chromatography to remove trace amounts of oligomeric vinylsulfonic acid (Smith, et al., 2003). Ribonuclease substrate 6-FAM-dArUdAdA-6-TAMRA was from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Terrific Broth (TB) was from Research Products International Corp (Mt. Prospect, IL). SDS-PAGE gels were from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA).
2.5.2 Instrumentation and statistical calculations

$^1$H NMRs were acquired at the National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison (NMRFAM) at 298 °K with a Bruker DMX-400 Avance spectrometer (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, $^1$H, 400 MHz; $^{13}$C, 101 MHz; $^{31}$P, 162 MHz). $^{13}$C and $^{31}$P spectra were proton decoupled. All $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were referenced to TMS. All $^{31}$P NMR spectra were referenced to an internal insert standard of H$_3$PO$_4$. Preparatory HPLC was performed on a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with two LC-20AP pumps, a SPD-M20A photodiode array detector, and a CTO-20A column oven. Analytical HPLC was performed on a Waters HPLC (Milford, MA) equipped with two 515 pumps, a 717 plus autosampler, and a 996 photodiode array detector. Protein absorbance values were measured on a Varian Cary 50 UV-Vis Spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). $[^{methyl-3}H]$Thymidine incorporation into K-562 genomic DNA was quantified by scintillation counting using a Microbeta TriLux liquid scintillation and luminescence counter (Perkin-Elmer, Wellesley, MA). Fluorescence measurements were made on a QuantaMaster1 photon counting cuvette fluorometer from Photon Technology Internalization (South Brunswick, NJ). Calculations for cell-proliferation assays were performed using GraphPad Prism version 5.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA).

2.5.3 Determination of partition and distribution coefficients

LogP and LogD values were calculated using calculator plugins in the program MartinView 5.4.1.1, 2011 (ChemAxon Ltd., Bedapést, Hungary) (ChemAxon, 2011). All values were set at default: calculations used equal weights (1) of VG, KLOP, and PHYS methods and the electrolyte concentrations (Cl$^-$) and (Na$^+$, K$^+$) were set to 0.1 mol/dm$^3$. Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.
2.5.4  Cell cultures

The MCF-7 cell line was a generous gift from the laboratory of Prof. Wes Pike at the University of Wisconsin–Madison. Cells were grown in a cell culture incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in flat-bottomed culture flasks. Cell media for growth and initial plating in assays was Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing phenol red and supplemented with 10% (v/v) GIBCO fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, 10 μg/mL human recombinant insulin, and 100 μM MEM non-essential amino acids solution (medium A). Cell media for cell proliferation experiments was DMEM without phenol red and without FBS, supplemented with 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, 10 μg/mL human recombinant insulin, 100 μM MEM non-essential amino acids solution, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (medium B). Values do not account for dilution.

2.5.5  Recombinant protein production

Human pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase 1) was produced similar to previously described methods, (Johnson, et al., 2007b) except that after purification over two chromatographic steps, the protein was re-purified over both steps to ensure purity. Following purification, purity and apparent molecular mass of RNase 1 was verified with SDS-PAGE.

2.5.6  Fluorescent assay for ribonuclease activity

To ensure RNase 1 was active, the ribonucleolytic activity of RNase 1 was determined by quantitating its ability to cleave 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–6-TAMRA as described previously (Kelemen, et al., 1999; Johnson, et al., 2007b). Assays were carried out at ambient temperature
in 2 mL of 0.1 M MES–NaOH buffer containing 0.1 M NaCl, pH 6.0. Fluorescence data were fitted to equation 2.1, in which \( \Delta I/\Delta t \) is the initial reaction velocity, \( I_0 \) is the fluorescence intensity before addition of ribonuclease, \( I_t \) is the fluorescence intensity after complete substrate hydrolysis, and \([E]\) is the total ribonuclease concentration. Data were the average of three experiments. The activity of RNase I was \((6.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\). This is similar to previous values (29% of reference (Johnson, et al., 2007b), though this reference lacked residue 128; 21% of reference (Rutkoski, et al., 2010), though this reference used DPBS and 0.1 mg/mL BSA).

\[
k_{cat}/K_M = \frac{\Delta I/\Delta t}{(I_t - I_0)[E]}
\]  

(2.1)

2.5.7 HPLC assay for ribonuclease activity on uridine-3'-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate)

Uridine 3'- (4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) (UpHT) was dissolved in standard ribonuclease activity buffer, 0.1 M MES-NaOH buffer, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 6.0, (Kelemen, et al., 1999; Johnson, et al., 2007b) plus 0.19% methanol (for solubility) to a final concentration of 90.45 \( \mu \text{M}\). One aliquot (900 \( \mu \text{L}\)) of this solution was placed in HPLC vial 1, and another aliquot (300 \( \mu \text{L}\)) was placed in HPLC vial 2. Vials with UpHT were pre-incubated at 37 °C in the HPLC autosampler. Buffer A was 0.1 M triethylammonium acetate (TEAA) diluted from 2 M TEAA stock (5% of vol final) in H\(_2\)O (95% of vol final). Buffer B was 0.1 M TEAA diluted from 2 M TEAA stock (5% of vol final) in acetonitrile (95% of vol final). RNase I was diluted in 0.1 M MES-NaOH buffer, pH 6.0, to a concentration of 8.526 \( \mu \text{M}\) (~125 mg/L). A Varian 150/4.6 Microsorb-MV 100-5 C18 reverse-phase column was equilibrated with 30% Buffer A and 70% Buffer B. All
assays were performed isocratically with the same solution, 30% Buffer A and 70% Buffer B, at 1 mL/min for 5 min. First, 50 µL from vial 1 was analyzed to obtain a baseline reading. Then, an aliquot (1 µL) of the RNase 1 solution was added to the remaining 850 µL of vial 1 (final RNase 1 concentration: 10 nM, ~0.15 mg/L). Twelve assays of 50 µL each were then performed at specified times. After a blank sample of 50 µL of Buffer B was assayed, an aliquot (50 µL) of vial 2 was assayed to assess the amount of UpHT activated under the same experimental conditions but in the absence of RNase 1. An aliquot (9 µL) of an excess RNase 1 solution (143.89 µM RNase) was then added to the remaining 250 µL in vial 1 for a final RNase 1 concentration of 5 µM (~74 mg/L) to cleave >95% of the UpHT. After ~1.5 h, 50 µL was assayed and set as 100% UpHT activated (adjusted for dilution by excess RNase 1; <5% of the original prodrug peak remained). The entire experiment was run in triplicate. Overlaid HPLC traces are from one experiment (Figure 2.2). Baseline readings (the UpHT sample ran prior to RNAse 1 addition) were subtracted from peaks at approximately the same elution time to obtain HT concentrations at each timepoint (<2% of 100% UpHT activated HT peak areas were seen in baseline readings). Any other small peaks that overlapped with the elution times of the HT peaks in the baseline reading (UpHT sample prior to RNase 1 addition) were subtracted from HT peaks to obtain HT concentrations at each timepoint. To determine the amount of free 4-hydroxytamoxifen (HT) released, the area for the two HT peaks for each assay was divided by the 100% UpHT activated area of the two HT peaks (adjusted for dilution) and multiplied by the concentration of UpHT used (90.45 µM). The kinetic graph data points represent the mean ± standard error for each timepoint. All data accounted for the dilution that accompanies the addition of RNase 1.
2.5.8 Cell-proliferation assays

The effect of UpHT and HT on the proliferation of MCF-7 cells was assayed using a \([\text{methyl-}^3\text{H}]\)thymidine incorporation assay, similar to a \([\text{methyl-}^3\text{H}]\)thymidine incorporation assay described previously (Leland, et al., 1998). The assay was also modified from a previous publication that studied rapid death of MCF-7 cells by tamoxifen (Zheng, et al., 2007). For assays, MCF-7 cells (100 µL of a solution of 5.0x10^4 cells/mL) in medium A were plated in each well of 96-well plates and incubated for 22 h to allow for cell adherence. Medium was removed, cells were washed with DPBS, and medium B (50 µL) was then added. UpHT and HT were first dissolved in DMSO, and then diluted 1000-fold with medium B, resulting in a final DMSO concentration of 0.1% v/v. This was serial diluted with medium B with an equal percentage of final DMSO (0.1%). The highest concentration HT dilutions appeared to be cloudy, indicating that these concentrations may be reaching the solubility limit of HT in medium. Aliquots (50 µL) of these serial dilutions were added to wells (final DMSO concentration: 0.05% v/v). Cell growth control wells received medium B and 0.1% DMSO but no drug (final DMSO concentration: 0.05% v/v). RNase 1 was diluted in medium B, and wells either received 1 µL of stock RNase 1 for a final concentration of 0.42 µM (~6.2 mg/L, ~15.5x plasma concentration of ~0.4 mg/L) or 1 µL of medium B, and the analyzed drug concentrations take into account this dilution. RNase 1 control wells received no drug but did receive RNase 1. After a 2 h incubation with treatments, MCF-7 cells were treated with \([\text{methyl-}^3\text{H}]\)thymidine for 4 h, and the incorporation of radioactive thymidine into cellular DNA was then quantitated by liquid scintillation counting. The results are shown as the percentage of \([\text{methyl-}^3\text{H}]\)-thymidine incorporated relative to control cells treated with medium B and 0.1% DMSO (final DMSO concentration: 0.05% v/v). Data are the average
of three measurements for each concentration, excluding those measurements that were determined to be outliers by the Grubb's test for outliers with \( p \) value = 0.05 (Grubbs, 1969). The entire experiment was repeated in triplicate. Values for IC\(_{50}\) were calculated by fitting the curves by nonlinear regression with equation 2.2, in which \( y \) is the total DNA synthesis following the [methyl\(^3\)H]thymidine pulse, and \( h \) is the slope of the curve.

\[
y = \frac{100\%}{1 + 10^{(\log(\text{IC}_{50}) - \log(\text{ribonuclease})) \cdot h}}
\]

(2.2)

### 2.5.9 Syntheses

#### 2.5.9.1 Protected uridine 3'- (4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate)

A 20 mL scintillation vial was flame-dried under vacuum and to this was added 3 Å molecular sieves (10 beads) followed by 4-hydroxytamoxifen (0.100 g, 0.258 mmol), phosphoramidite (0.222 g, 0.258 mmol), and anhydrous acetonitrile (2.58 mL, 0.1 M). After stirring at room temperature 5 minutes, methylbenzimidazole triflate (0.073 g, 0.258 mmol) was added and the reaction was allowed to stir for 2.5 h at room temperature. The solution was then decanted from the sieves into a new scintillation vial, concentrated to remove the acetonitrile, and treated with a 0.02 M I\(_2\) solution (12.6 mL, 2.52 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran, pyridine, and water (Glen Research Oxidizing Solution) for 1 h. The reaction was then concentrated under vacuum and purified by flash silica gel chromatography (10% MeOH, 90% DCM) to give protected uridine 3'- (4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) as a mixture of isomers (0.279 g, 93%).
\[ 1^H \text{NMR (400 MHz, CD}_3\text{OD)} (\text{Mixture of isomers}) \delta= \]
\[ 7.91-7.83 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 7.43-7.35 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.34-7.20 \text{ (m, 8H)}, 7.19-7.01 \text{ (m, 8H)}, 6.91-6.82 \text{ (m, 4H)}, 6.80-6.73 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 6.65-6.56 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 6.00-5.85 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 5.43-5.31 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 5.08-4.96 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.64-4.50 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.48-4.36 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 4.34-4.21 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 4.15-4.01 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 3.76 \text{ (as, 6H)}, 3.65-3.45 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 3.42-3.30 \text{ (m, 1H)}, 2.95-2.85 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 2.74 \text{ (as, 6H)}, 2.51-2.30 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 0.95-0.82 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 0.20-0.06 \text{ (m, 6H)} \].

\[ ^{13}C \text{NMR (101 MHz, CD}_3\text{OD)} (\text{Major Isomer}) \delta= 165.6, 160.3, 157.2, 152.1, 150.0, 145.8, 143.8, 143.3, 142.7, 141.7, 138.2, 137.6, 136.3, 133.2, 132.2, 131.5, 130.8, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 128.3, 127.4, 121.2, 118.2, 115.6, 114.7, 114.5, 103.3, 88.8, 83.4, 79.4, 75.7, 65.3, 63.7, 63.3, 57.3, 55.9, 44.3, 30.0, 26.2, 20.2, 19.0, 13.8, -4.7. \]

\[ ^{31}P \text{NMR (162 MHz, CD}_3\text{OD)} (\text{Mixture of isomers}) \delta= -8.57, -8.82, -9.02. \]

HRMS (ESI) \text{m/z} 1163.4998 [calc’d for C}_{65}\text{H}_{76}\text{N}_4\text{O}_{12}\text{PSi (M+H)} 1163.4962].

2.5.9.2  \text{DMT-free, semi-protected uridine 3’-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate)}

An acetic acid : distilled water mixture (60 : 40, 4.6 mL, 0.05 M) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial containing 4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate (0.270 g, 0.232 mmol) and the reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 h until starting material was consumed as determined by TLC (10\% MeOH, 90\% DCM). The reaction was then quenched by adding saturated sodium bicarbonate (5 mL), extracted with ethyl acetate (4 x 5 mL), dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was purified using flash silica gel chromatography (10\% MeOH, 90\% DCM) to give DMT-free, semi-protected uridine 3’-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) (0.180 g, 90\%).
$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) (Mixture of isomers) $\delta= 8.17-7.95$ (m, 1H), 7.35-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.20-7.05 (m, 6H), 6.81 (d, $J= 8.6$, 2H), 6.64 (d, $J= 8.6$, 2H), 6.09-5.89 (m, 1H), 5.82-5.66 (m, 1H), 4.63-4.25 (m, 4H), 4.20-4.07 (m, 2H), 3.75-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.43-3.33 (m, 1H), 2.97-2.90 (m, 2H), 2.87-2.74 (m, 8H), 2.50-2.40 (m, 2H), 0.97-0.79 (m, 12H), 0.15-0.01 (m, 6H). $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD) (Major Isomer) $\delta = 164.4, 156.1, 151.0, 148.7, 141.9, 140.7, 137.0, 136.1, 130.8, 130.3, 129.4, 127.6, 125.9, 119.9, 118.8, 116.8, 114.1, 113.3, 102.1, 87.2, 83.7, 78.9, 74.3, 63.9, 62.3, 60.7, 56.5, 42.9, 28.5, 24.8, 18.8, 17.6, 12.4, $-6.0$. $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CD$_3$OD) (Mixture of Isomers) $\delta = -8.53$, $-8.64$, $-8.71$, $-8.81$. HRMS (ESI) $m/z$ 861.3652 [calc'd for C$_{44}$H$_{58}$N$_4$O$_{10}$PSi (M+H) 861.3655].

2.5.9.3 Uridine 3'-(4-Hydroxytamoxifen phosphate)

Anhydrous ethanol (1.2 mL, 0.05 M) was added to a 20 mL scintillation vial containing DMT-free 4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate (0.051 g, 0.059 mmol) at room temperature followed by ammonium hydroxide (0.04 mL, 1.185 mmol) and the reaction was allowed to stir 3 h before concentrating under vacuum to give a crude residue (0.047 g, 98%) that was used directly in the next step. A portion of the resulting crude residue (0.030 g, 0.037 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (4.3 mL, 0.009 M) at 0 °C and tetrabutylammonium fluoride solution (0.04 mL, 0.040 mmol, 1 M) was added in one portion and the reaction was warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir for a total of 5 h. The reaction was then diluted with 4.3 mL of distilled water and stored in the freezer for HPLC purification. After thawing, the sample was loaded onto a Macherey-Nagel VP 250/21 Nucleosil 100-5 C18 reverse-phase column incubated at 35 °C (also
for duration of run) and pre-equilibrated in 25% acetonitrile in H₂O. Sample was washed with the same solution, 25% acetonitrile in H₂O, for 13 min. Product was then eluted with a linear gradient from 25% to 100% acetonitrile in H₂O for 68 minutes and then concentrated under vacuum, providing the uridine 3’-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) (0.020 g, 77%, 69% corrected for Bu₄N⁺ salt).

**¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD)** (Both Olefin Isomers) δ = 8.03 (d, J = 8.1, 0.77H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.2, 0.23H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.2, 1.54H), 7.17–7.03 (m, 7.00H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.5, 0.46H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.5, 0.46H), 6.77 (at, J = 8.6, 2.00H), 6.61 (d, J = 8.6, 1.54H), 5.96 (d, J = 5.5, 0.77H), 5.90 (d, J = 5.5, 0.23H), 5.69 (at, J = 6.8, 1.00H), 4.77–4.66 (m, 0.77H), 4.64–4.56 (m, 0.23H), 4.35–4.05 (m, 4.00H), 3.80–3.66 (m, 2.00H), 3.31–3.17 (m, 2.00H), 2.80 (s, 1.38H), 2.74 (s, 4.62H), 2.46 (q, J = 7.3, 2.00H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.3, 3.00H). (Bu₄N⁺ Signals) δ = 3.31–3.17 (m, 2.42H), 1.70–1.60 (m, 2.53H), 1.47–1.37 (m, 2.60H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.3, 3.62H).

**¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₃OD)** (Major Isomer Peaks + 4 Bu₄N⁺ Salt Signals) δ = 164.7, 155.9, 151.4, 151.0, 142.3, 141.6, 141.1, 138.8, 137.7, 136.5, 131.7, 130.0, 129.5, 127.5, 125.8, 119.8, 113.1, 101.4, 88.5, 84.0, 74.6, 73.9, 62.4, 60.8, 58.1, 56.6, 42.9, 28.5, 2.34, 19.3, 12.5, 12.4.

**³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CD₃OD)** (Both Olefin Isomers) δ = -5.90, -6.09.**HRMS (ESI) m/z 716.2324** [calc’d for C₃₅H₄₀N₄O₁₀PNa (M+Na) 716.2344].

2.5.10 NMR spectra
2.5.10.1 400 MHz $^1$H NMR spectrum of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen phosphate in CD$_3$OD
2.5.10.2 101 MHz $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen phosphate in CD$_3$OD
2.5.10.3 162 MHz $^{31}$P NMR spectrum of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen phosphate in CD$_3$OD
2.5.10.4 400 MHz $^1H$ NMR spectrum of DMT-free 4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate in $CD_2OD$
2.5.10.5 $101$ MHz $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of DMT-free 4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate in $CD_3OD$
2.5.10.6 162 MHz $^{31}$P NMR spectrum of DMT-free 4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate in $CD_2OD$
2.5.10.7 400 MHz $^1H$ NMR spectrum of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen prodrug in CD$_3$OD
2.5.10.8

101 MHz $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectrum of 4-hydroxytamoxifen prodrug in CD$_3$OD
2.5.10.9  \( 162 \text{ MHz}^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectrum of 4-hydroxytamoxifen prodrug in CD\(_2\)OD
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2.7 Contributions

Original idea for using ribonucleoside 3’-phosphate pro-moieties for timed-release was from M.J. Palte. Attempted syntheses of other drugs attached to uridine 3’-phosphate were first done by M.J. Palte and G.A. Ellis, then by N.A. McGrath and G.A. Ellis. First attempted synthesis of UpHT was done by G.A. Ellis; N.A. McGrath optimized conditions and synthesized UpHT synthesis in good yield. Final product was HPLC purified by G.A. Ellis. Full characterization of UpHT and intermediates was done by N.A. McGrath. Protein purification and characterization, LogP and LogD calculations, HPLC kinetic assays, and cytotoxicity experiments were done by G.A. Ellis.
Table 2.1  Partition and distribution coefficients of HT and UpHT. These were calculated with the program MartinView (ChemAxon, Budapest, Hungary) (ChemAxon, 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>HT</th>
<th>UpHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>logP, non-ionized species</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logP, ionized species</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logD (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>logD (pH = pI(^a))</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) HT, pI 9.01; UpHT, pI 5.00
Scheme 2.1  Synthesis of UpHT

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{HT} & \xrightarrow{\text{1. DMTO} \cdot \text{OTBDMMS}\text{CNH}_2\text{N}} \xrightarrow{\text{2. I₂, H₂O, THF, rt}} \text{UpHT}
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 2.1 Activation of prodrug by RNase 1. Prodrug (UpHT: uridine-3’-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) activation by RNase 1 should result in active drug (HT: 4-hydroxytamoxifen) and modified, inert pro-moiety (U>p: uridine-2’,3’-cyclic phosphate ester).
Figure 2.2  Compilation of HPLC traces from one UpHT activation experiment. Release of free HT by RNase 1 at 37 °C was monitored over time at 245 nm by the appearance of HT peaks at 3.3 and 3.9 min (presumably Z and E isomers). The baseline trace is prior to addition of RNase 1 and the final blue trace is after addition of excess RNase 1.
Figure 2.3  Progress curve of UpHT activation by RNase 1. The half-life of the release of HT from UpHT (90.45 μM) by RNase 1 was approximately 4 h in the buffer 100 mM MES–NaOH, pH 6.0, containing NaCl (100 mM).
Figure 2.4  MCF-7 cell proliferation upon UpHT activation by RNase 1. The anti-proliferative activity of UpHT (open circles, dashed line; IC\textsubscript{50} = 16.7 ± 0.8 μM) is increased significantly upon incubation with added RNase 1 (closed circles, solid line; IC\textsubscript{50} = 5.2 ± 0.2 μM). The anti-proliferative activity of control HT does not change significantly in the absence (open squares, dashed line; IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.7 ± 0.1 μM) or presence (closed squares, solid line, IC\textsubscript{50} = 2.7 ± 0.4 μM) of added RNase 1. Control RNase 1 treatment alone is represented as closed triangles. Error is calculated as standard error of the mean.
CHAPTER 3

Boronate-mediated Drug Delivery

This chapter was submitted for publication as:

3.1 Abstract

Inefficient cellular delivery limits the landscape of macromolecular drugs. Boronic acids readily form boronate esters with the 1,2- and 1,3-diols of saccharides, such as those that coat the surface of cells. Here, pendant boronic acids are shown to enhance the delivery of a protein into cells (Figure 3.1).

3.2 Introduction

The utility of many biologic drugs is limited by inefficient cellular delivery (Patil, et al., 2005; Malik, et al., 2007; Shim and Kwon, 2010). Previous efforts to overcome this limitation have focused largely on the use of cationic domains—peptidic (e.g., HIV-TAT, penetratin, and nonaarginine) or non-peptidic (e.g., PAMAM dendrimers and polyethylimine)—to enhance the attraction between a chemotherapeutic agent and the anionic cell surface (Gao, et al., 2008; Rapoport and Lorberboum-Galski, 2009; Sun and Zhang, 2010; Schmidt, et al., 2010). Natural ligands (e.g., folic acid, substance P, and the RGD tripeptide) and antibodies have also been used to facilitate cellular delivery by targeting agents to specific cell-surface receptors (Zhao, et al., 2008; Rizk, et al., 2009; Mohanty, et al., 2011). Although some of these methods have had some success, additional delivery strategies are desirable.

The cell surface is coated with a dense forest of polysaccharides known as the glycocalyx (Varki, et al., 2009). We anticipated that targeting therapeutic agents to the glycocalyx would enhance their cellular delivery, as has been demonstrated with lectin conjugates (Ishiguro, et al., 2002). Boronic acids readily form boronate esters with the 1,2- and 1,3-diols of saccharides (James, et al., 2006), including those in the glycocalyx (Vandenburg, et al., 2000; Yang, et al.,
In addition, boronate groups are compatible with human physiology, appearing in chemotherapeutic agents and other biological tools (Westmark and Smith, 1996; Jay, et al., 2009; Barth, 2009; Wu, et al., 2010; Peng, et al., 2010; Kumar, et al., 2011). Further, pendant boronic acids conjugated to polyethyleneimine have been shown to enhance gene transfection (Peng, et al., 2010). Herein, we demonstrate the first use of pendant boronic acids to mediate the delivery of a protein into mammalian cells.

Bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A) is a small, well-characterized enzyme that has been the object of much seminal work in protein chemistry (D'Alessio and Riordan, 1997; Raines, 1998; Marshall, et al., 2008; Cuchillo, et al., 2011). If this ribonuclease can gain access to the RNA that resides in the cytosol, then its prodigious catalytic activity can lead to cell death (Leland and Raines, 2001; Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2002; Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Futami, et al., 2005; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). Hence, RNase A can serve as an ideal model for assessing the delivery of a protein into the cytosol (rather than an endosome) because success can be discerned with assays of cytotoxic activity.

3.3 Results and Discussion

Initially, we quantified the affinity of simple boronic acids to relevant saccharides. Sialic acid is of particular interest because of its abundance in the glycocalyx of cancerous cells (Dube and Bertozzi, 2005). Phenylboronic acid (PBA) binds with higher affinity to sialic acid than to other pyranose saccharides (Springsteen and Wang, 2002; Otsuka, et al., 2003; Djanashvili, et al., 2005), suggesting that simple boronic acids could target chemotherapeutic agents selectively to
tumors. 2-Hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid (benzoboroxole) has among the highest reported affinities for boron binding to pyranose saccharides (Springsteen and Wang, 2002; Otsuka, et al., 2003; Djanashvili, et al., 2005; Dowlut and Dennis, 2006; Bérubé, et al., 2008), which are abundant in the glycocalyx; hence, we reasoned that benzoboroxole could be an ideal boronate for drug delivery. We used $^1$H NMR spectroscopy to evaluate the affinity of PBA and benzoboroxole for fructose, glucose, and N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac), which contains a sialic acid moiety, under physiological conditions. Our $K_a$ values (Table 3.1) are in gratifying agreement with values determined by other workers using alternative assays (Table 3.2) (Springsteen and Wang, 2002; Djanashvili, et al., 2005; Dowlut and Dennis, 2006; Bérubé, et al., 2008). We found that both benzoboroxole and PBA have greater affinity for Neu5Ac than for glucose and that benzoboroxole has greater affinity than PBA for each saccharide in our panel. Accordingly, we chose benzoboroxole for our boronate-mediated delivery studies.

To display benzoboroxole moieties on RNase A, we conjugated 5-amino-2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid (Scheme 3.1, compound 1) to protein carboxyl groups by condensation using a carbodiimide (Scheme 3.1). Of the 11 carboxyl groups of RNase A, 7.5 ± 2.0 were condensed with boronate (Scheme 3.1, compound 1), as determined by mass spectrometry (Figure 3.2).

Boronation should increase the affinity of a protein for oligosaccharides. To test this hypothesis qualitatively, we measured the retention of boronated and unmodified RNase A on a column of heparin, a common physiological polysaccharide. Boronated RNase A was indeed retained longer on the column (Figure 3.3). If the prolonged retention were due to boron-saccharide complexation, then fructose in the buffer should compete with immobilized heparin.
for boron complexation. When these conditions were employed, the retention of boronated RNase A was indeed diminished (Figure 3.3).

To evaluate the enhanced affinity of boronated RNase A for oligosaccharides, we measured its affinity for ganglioside GD3 within a 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) liposome. This ganglioside has two sialic acid residues and is overexpressed on the surface of cancer cells (Malisan and Testi, 2005). By using fluorescence polarization/anisotropy to analyze binding of fluorescently-labeled proteins, we found that boronation increased the affinity of the protein for the ganglioside, an effect that was decreased by fructose (Figure 3.4). The $K_d$ value of boronated protein for GD3 ganglioside liposomes was $(53 \pm 11) \mu M$ as determined by fluorescence polarization and $(28 \pm 8) \mu M$ as determined by fluorescence anisotropy (Figure 3.5). This affinity is ~439–831-fold greater than that for the binding of a single benzoboroxole to Neu5Ac (Table 3.1), consistent with a multivalent interaction between the boronated protein and the ganglioside.

Encouraged by the enhanced in vitro affinity of the boronated protein for oligosaccharides, we sought to test our hypothesis that boronate conjugation would increase its cellular uptake. To quantify cellular internalization, we used fluorophore-labeled protein and flow cytometry. To determine concurrently if the pendant boronates elicited selectivity for cells with higher quantities of cell-surface sialic acid, we employed a line of Chinese hamster ovary cells (Lec-2) that have lower levels of sialic acid in their glycocalyx than their progenitor line (Pro-5) (Stanley, et al., 1975; Deutscher, et al., 1984). We found that boronation of RNase A increased its cellular uptake by 4- to 5-fold (Figure 3.6). This enhancement was decreased by fructose. Cell-surface sialic acid-content did not affect uptake significantly, consistent with the modest
increase in the $K_a$ value for benzoboroxole with sialic acid versus glucose (Table 3.1). Confocal microscopy of boronated protein demonstrated punctate staining (Figure 3.6, insert), which is consistent with uptake by endocytosis following complexation with cell-surface saccharides.

Although flow cytometry can quantify protein internalization into a cell, it does not differentiate between proteins in endosomes versus those in the cytosol. Delivery into the cytosol is essential for the efficacy of numerous putative chemotherapeutic agents. Boronated RNase A retained ($17 \pm 3$)% of its ribonucleolytic activity (the lost activity may be attributable in part to modification of aspartic acid 121 (Schultz, et al., 1998)) and was modestly evasive to the ribonuclease inhibitor protein (Figure 3.7). Accordingly, boronated RNase A has the potential to be cytotoxic if it can enter the cytosol. We found that boronated RNase A inhibited the proliferation of a line of human erythroleukemia cells, with an IC$_{50}$ value of $(4.1 \pm 0.4) \mu$M (K-562; Figure 3.8). The addition of fructose diminished cytotoxic activity, presumably by decreasing overall internalization. Chemically inactivated, boronated RNase A was much less cytotoxic, indicating that ribonucleolytic activity induced toxicity, not the pendant boronates. We conclude that boronation not only facilitates cellular uptake of an enzyme, but also allows for its delivery to the cytosol and the maintenance of its catalytic activity.

3.4 Conclusions

Boronates have attributes that make them attractive as mediators of drug delivery. First, endosomes become more acidic as they mature. In synergy, the affinity of boronates for saccharides typically decreases with decreasing pH (Springsteen and Wang, 2002). Moreover, the ensuing loss of complexation causes boronates to become more hydrophobic (Mothana, et
al., 2010). These attributes could facilitate translocation to the cytosol. Second, boronates are not cationic (the HOB of benzoboroxole has $pK_a$ 7.2 (Bérubé, et al., 2008), preserving most of the anionicity of a carboxyl group at pH 7.4), averting the non-specific Coulombic interactions elicited by cationic domains (Gao, et al., 2008; Rapoport and Lorberboum-Galski, 2009; Sun and Zhang, 2010; Schmidt, et al., 2010), which can lead to high rates of glomerular filtration and opsonization (Venturoli and Rippe, 2005; Owens and Peppas, 2006). Finally, we note that numerous diseases are associated with changes in cell-surface glycosylation (Dube and Bertozzi, 2005; Cheng, et al., 2010), and we anticipate that boronic acids with specificity for particular glycans could serve as the basis for targeted delivery strategies (Pal, et al., 2010).

### 3.5 Experimental Procedures

#### 3.5.1 Materials

*N*-Acetylneuraminic acid was from Carbosynth (Berkshire, UK). Phenylboronic acid, 2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid, and 5-amino-2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid were from Combi-Blocks (San Diego, CA). BODIPY FL, STP ester was from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). *N*-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-*N*-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and wild-type RNase A (Type III-A) were from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) and used without further purification. MES buffer was from Sigma–Aldrich and purified by anion-exchange chromatography to remove trace amounts of oligomeric vinylsulfonic acid (Smith, et al., 2003). Spectra/Par dialysis bags (3500 MWCO) were from Fisher Scientific (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Walham, MA). *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells were from Novagen (Madison, WI). [methyl-
3H]Thymidine (6.7 Ci/mmol) was from Perkin–Elmer (Boston, MA). HiTrap Heparin HP columns for protein purification and analytical columns were from GE Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). Ribonuclease substrate 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–6-TAMRA was from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Non-binding surface (NBS) 96-well plates were from Corning (Corning, NY). Terrific Broth (TB) was from Research Products International Corp (Mt. Prospect, IL). Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) was from Thermo Scientific (Rockfield, IL). SDS–PAGE gels were from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA). GD3 Ganglioside (bovine milk; ammonium salt), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC), and an extruder were from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, Alabama).16S- and 23S-Ribosomal (rRNA) from E. coli MRE600 was from Roche Applied Science (Mannheim, Germany). Cell culture medium and supplements were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Phosphate-buffered saline was either Dulbecco’s PBS (DPBS) from Invitrogen or the same solution made in the laboratory (PBS), containing (in 1.0 L): 0.2 g KCl, 0.2g KH2PO4, 8 g NaCl, and 2.16 g Na2HPO4·7H2O at pH 7.4. Terrific Broth (TB) was from Research Products International (Mt. Prospect, IL), or was made by dissolving tryptone (12 g), yeast extract (24 g), glycerol (4 mL), KH2PO4 (2.31 g), and K2HPO4 (12.54 g) in H2O (1.00 L). All other chemicals used were of commercial reagent grade or better, and were used without further purification.

3.5.2 Analytical instruments and statistical calculations

1H NMR spectra were acquired at the National Magnetic Resonance Facility at Madison at 298 K on an Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer with a TCI 500 H-C/N-D cryogenic probe from Bruker AXS (Madison, WI, 1H, 500 MHz). Protein absorbance values were measured on a
Varian Cary 50 UV–Vis Spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and/or a NanoVue spectrometer (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Confocal microscopy was carried out using an Eclipse C1 laser scanning confocal microscope from Nikon (Melville, NY). Flow cytometry was done using a LSRII (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) at the University of Wisconsin–Madison Carbone Cancer Center Flow Cytometry Facility. The mass of boronated RNase A conjugates were confirmed at the University of Wisconsin–Madison Biophysics Instrumentation Facility by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI–TOF) mass spectrometry with a Voyager-DE-PRO Biospectrometry Workstation from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA). \([\text{methyl}^-\text{H}]\)Thymidine incorporation into K-562 genomic DNA was quantified by scintillation counting using a Microbeta TriLux liquid scintillation and luminescence counter from Perkin-Elmer. Fluorescence measurements were made with an infinite M1000 plate reader from Tecan (Männedorf, Switzerland). Calculations for statistical significance were performed with GraphPad Prism version 5.02 software from GraphPad Software (La Jolla, CA), and a value of \(p < 0.05\) was considered to be significant.

3.5.3 Determination of \(K_a\) values by \(^1\text{H} NMR\) spectroscopy

Methodology to determine the values of \(K_a\) values for boronic acids and saccharides was adapted from work by Hall and coworkers (Dowlut and Dennis, 2006; Bérubé, et al., 2008). A boronic acid (B) and a saccharide (S) were assumed to bind in one modality, B·S:
\[ B + S \rightleftharpoons B \cdot S \]

\[ K_a = \frac{[B \cdot S]}{[B][S]} \]

The \([B \cdot S]/[B]\) ratio was determined by the integration of aryl protons of the boronic acid-saccharide complex and the free boronic acid. The individual \([B]\), \([B \cdot S]\), and \([S]\) can be calculated from equations 3.1–3.3.
\[ [B \cdot S] + [B] = [B_T] \]

\[ \frac{[B \cdot S]}{[B]} \cdot 1 = \frac{[B_T]}{[B]} \]

(3.1)

\[ [B] = \frac{[B_T]}{[B \cdot S] + 1} \]

(3.2)

\[ [B \cdot S] = \frac{[B \cdot S]}{[B]} [B] \text{, where } [B] \text{ is calculated from equation 3.1} \]

\[ [B \cdot S] + [S] = [S_T] \]

(3.3)

\[ [S] = [S_T] - [B \cdot S] \text{, where } -[B \cdot S] \text{ is calculated from equation 3.2} \]

Each value of \( K_a \) arose from at least two independent experiments with freshly prepared solutions, and each experiment consisted of a titration with 6–9 different concentrations. All NMR spectra were analyzed with Topspin 3.0 software from Bruker AXS. NMR experiments
were done in a 0.10 M NaH₂PO₄ buffer, pH 7.4, containing D₂O (2% v/v). ¹H NMR experiments consisted of the first increment of a 2D NOESY with gradients for improved water suppression.

3.5.3.1 Representative procedure for making a boronic acid solution

NaH₂PO₄ (3.0g, 25mmol) and PBA (458mg, 3.75mmol) were dissolved in distilled, deionized water in a volumetric flask (~200 mL H₂O, 5 mL D₂O). The pH was adjusted carefully to 7.4 using 10 M NaOH, and additional water was added for a final volume of 250 mL. Final solutions were 15 mM boronic acid (PBA = solution 1; benzoboroxole = solution 2) in 0.10 M sodium phosphate monobasic buffer, pH 7.4, containing D₂O (2% v/v).

3.5.3.2 Determination of the value of Kₐ for PBA and fructose

To a 25-mL volumetric flask, D-fructose (674 mg, 3.75mmol) and ~20 mL of solution 1 was added. The solution was adjusted carefully to pH 7.4 by the addition of 10 M NaOH. (The volume of added NaOH was used in the calculation of the boronic acid concentration.) The volume was then increased to 25 mL by adding solution 1. This procedure resulted in a pH 7.4 solution of PBA (15 mM), D-fructose (150 mM), NaH₂PO₄ (0.10 M), and D₂O (2% v/v) (solution A). Mixing various volumes of solution 1 and solution A generated fructose concentrations in the range of 4–14 mM. The [B·S]/[B] ratio was determined as depicted in Figure 3.9D.

3.5.3.3 Representative procedure for determining the chemical shifts of aryl protons in bound and free boronic acids
A $^1$H NMR spectra of solution 1 (Figure 3.9A) and solution A (Figure 3.9B) were acquired. The two spectra were overlaid to determine which peaks belonged to the bound boronic acid and free boronic acid (Figure 3.9C). This analysis was used to interpret the spectra from the titrations with sugars (Figure 3.9D).

3.5.3.4 Determination of the value of $K_a$ for benzoboroxole and fructose

To a 25-mL volumetric flask, D-fructose (674 mg, 3.75 mmol) and ~20 mL of solution 2 was added. The solution was adjusted carefully to pH 7.4 by the addition of 10 M NaOH. (The volume of added NaOH was used in the calculation of the boronic acid concentration.) The volume was then increased to 25 mL by adding solution 2. This procedure resulted in a pH 7.4 solution of benzoboroxazole (15 mM), D-fructose (150 mM), NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (0.10 M), and D$_2$O (2% v/v) (solution B). Mixing various volumes of solution 2 and solution B generated fructose concentrations in the range of 4–14 mM. The [B·S]/[B] ratio was determined as depicted in Figure 3.10. A value for $K_a$ was calculated for every concentration as described above.

3.5.3.5 Determination of the value of $K_a$ for PBA and glucose

To a 25-mL volumetric flask, D-glucose (2.25 g, 12.5 mmol) and ~20 mL of solution 1 was added. The solution was adjusted carefully to pH 7.4 by the addition of 10 M NaOH. (The volume of added NaOH was used in the calculation of the boronic acid concentration.) The volume was then increased to 25 mL by adding solution 1. This procedure resulted in pH 7.4 solution of PBA (15 mM), D-glucose (500 mM), 0.1M NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (0.10 M), and D$_2$O (2% v/v) (solution C). Mixing various volumes of solution 1 and solution C generated glucose
concentrations in the range of 20–70 mM. The \([B\cdot S]/[B]\) ratio was determined as depicted in Figure 3.11. A value for \(K_a\) was calculated for every concentration as described above.

### 3.5.3.6 Determination of the value of \(K_a\) for benzoboroxole and glucose

To a 25-mL volumetric flask, D-glucose (2.25 g, 12.5 mmol) and \(\sim\)20 mL of solution 2 was added. The solution was adjusted carefully to pH 7.4 by the addition of 10 M NaOH. (The volume of added NaOH was used in the calculation of the boronic acid concentration.) The volume was then increased to 25 mL by adding solution 2. This procedure resulted in a pH 7.4 solution of benzoboroxazole (15 mM), D-glucose (500 mM), NaH₂PO₄ (0.10 M), and D₂O (2% v/v) (solution D). Mixing various volumes of solution 2 and solution D generated glucose concentrations in the range of 20–70 mM. The \([B\cdot S]/[B]\) ratio was determined as depicted in Figure 3.12. A value for \(K_a\) was calculated for every concentration as described above.

### 3.5.3.7 Determination of the value of \(K_a\) for PBA and Neu⁵Ac

To a 10-mL volumetric flask, Neu⁵Ac (1.53 g, 5.0 mmol) and \(\sim\)20 mL of solution 1 was added. The solution was adjusted carefully to pH 7.4 by the addition of 10 M NaOH. (The volume of added NaOH was used in the calculation of the boronic acid concentration.) The volume was then increased to 25 mL by adding solution 1. This procedure resulted in a pH 7.4 solution of PBA (14.2 mM), Neu⁵Ac (500 mM), NaH₂PO₄ (0.10 M), and D₂O (2% v/v) (solution E). Mixing various volumes of solution 1 and solution E, generated Neu⁵Ac concentrations in the range of 7–65 mM. The \([B\cdot S]/[B]\) ratio was determined as depicted in Figure 3.13. A value for \(K_a\) was calculated for every concentration as described above.
3.5.3.8 Determination of the value of $K_a$ for benzoboroxole and Neu5Ac

To a 10-mL volumetric flask, Neu5Ac (1.56 g, 5.0 mM) and ~20 mL of solution 2 was added. The solution was adjusted carefully to pH 7.4 by the addition of 10 M NaOH. (The volume of added NaOH was used in the calculation of the boronic acid concentration.) The volume was then increased to 25 mL by adding solution 2. This procedure resulted in a pH 7.4 solution of benzoboroxazole (14.2 mM), Neu5Ac (500 mM), NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (0.10 M), and D$_2$O (2% v/v) (solution F). Mixing various volumes of solution 2 and solution F generated Neu5Ac concentrations in the range of 7–65 mM. The $K_a$ value was calculated for every concentration as previously described. The [B·S]/[B] ratio was determined as depicted in Figures 3.14 and 3.15. A value for $K_a$ was calculated for every concentration as described above.

3.5.4 Preparation of boronated RNase A

5-Amino-2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid (320 mg, 1.70 mmol) was added to 30 mL of distilled, deionized H$_2$O, and the resulting solution was adjusted to pH 5.0 with NaOH. To this solution was added RNase A (200 mg, 15 µmol), followed by EDC (640 mg, 3.30 mmol), and the pH was adjusted again to 5.0 with NaOH. The reaction mixture was incubated at ambient temperature overnight on a nutating mixer by BD (Franklin Lakes, NJ). Additional EDC (360 mg, 1.9 mmol) was added, and the solution was incubated at the same conditions for 3.5 h (24 h total). The solution was then subjected to centrifugation (5 min at 1000 rpm, and 5 min at 5000 rpm) to remove insoluble boronic acid, and dialyzed (3500 molecular weight cutoff) against distilled, deionized H$_2$O for 3 d at 4 °C, with daily water exchanges. The solution was
then passed through a 0.45-μm filter and loaded onto a 5-mL HiTrap Heparin HP column. To prepare a high-salt buffer, NaCl (58.4 g, 1.00 mol) was added to 100 mL of a 10× stock solution of PBS. This solution was diluted with distilled, deionized H₂O to a final volume of 1 L, and adjusted to pH 7.4, making a buffer of approximately PBS plus an additional 1 M NaCl. The column was washed with 75 mL of PBS buffer, and protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 225 mL of additional NaCl (0.0–1.0 M) in PBS buffer. Fractions were collected, pooled, concentrated, stored at 4 °C, and analyzed by MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry. The mass spectrum between 13–16 kDa was fitted to a Gaussian curve with GraphPad Prism version 5.02 software to determine the average mass (Figure 3.2). Analysis of boronation of ribonuclease was also done in two additional ways. First, two samples (10 μM) of unmodified and boronated ribonuclease was submitted for elemental analysis at the Soil and Plant Analysis Lab at the University of Wisconsin–Madison. Boron was at 1.40 and 0.79 ppm for unmodified and boronated ribonuclease, respectively. However, sulfur was at 1.99 and <1 ppm for unmodified and boronated ribonuclease, respectively; therefore, the relative amount of boron/protein (using sulfur as an internal control), could not be calculated. Second, unmodified and boronated ribonuclease were submitted to the Biotechnology Center at the University of Wisconsin–Madison for tryptic digest and MALDI spectroscopy (not 100% sequence coverage). Shifts corresponded to ~113.2 Da for some peptides. The expected shift was 148.954 Da (boronate) – 18.016 Da (water) = 130.938 Da. The difference in expected versus observed shift is 17.738 Da, close to hydroxyl (17.008 Da) or water (18.016 Da). We conclude that the boronate must lose a hydroxyl during mass spectrometry analysis from the analysis itself, or lose water by cyclizing onto other group in the peptide (e.g. a lysine amine or an aspartate/glutamate carboxylic acid).
Only very small peaks (or no peaks) were seen at a shift that would indicate an EDC by-product (O- or N-acylisourea). The activity of boronated RNase A was \((1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\), which was \(\sim 17\%\) of unmodified RNase A at \((9.6 \pm 0.7) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\). This value for unmodified RNase A is somewhat higher than reported previously, \((5.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\) (Rutkoski, et al., 2005).

3.5.5 Preparation of inactivated, boronated RNase A

RNase A (38 mg, 2.8 \(\mu\text{mol}\)) was dissolved in 575.5 \(\mu\text{L}\) of 0.10 M sodium acetate buffer, pH 4.9. In a separate solution, 2-bromoacetic acid (123 mg, 883 \(\mu\text{mol}\)) was dissolved in 9.2 mL of 0.10 M sodium acetate buffer, and the resulting solution was adjusted to pH 5.2. An aliquot (288 \(\mu\text{L}\)) of the 2-bromoacetic acid solution was added to the RNase A solution to generate a final concentration of 32 mM 2-bromoacetic acid and 3.2 mM RNase A. The reaction mixture was incubated at ambient temperature for 24 h on a nutating mixer, after which the reaction was dialyzed overnight against distilled, deionized \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\). The inactivated RNase A was then loaded onto a Mono S HR 16/10 cation exchange FPLC column from Pharmacia. The column was washed with a 40-mL linear gradient of NaCl (0.00–0.05 M) in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0, and eluted with a 603-mL linear gradient of NaCl (0.05–0.40 M) in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0. Fractions were collected, pooled, and dialyzed overnight at 4 °C against 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5. Inactivated RNase A was then loaded onto a 5-mL HiTrap Heparin HP column. The column was washed with 10 mL of 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.0, and eluted with a 200-mL linear gradient of NaCl (0.0–0.4 M) in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.0. Fractions were collected, and analyzed by MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry. Fractions with a molecular mass greater than that of wild-type RNase A were
pooled and dialyzed extensively with distilled, deionized H₂O at 4 °C. 5-Amino-2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid was then conjugated as described above. Briefly, to 0.5 mL of chemically inactivated RNase A (6 mg, 400 nmol) was added 5-amino-2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid (10 mg, 50 μmol), and adjusted to pH 5. EDC was then added (19 mg, 100 μmol), and the resulting solution was adjusted to pH 5. The reaction mixture was incubated at ambient temperature for 20.5 h on a nutating mixer before adding additional EDC (11 mg, 56 μmol), and then incubated for an additional 3.5 h. Inactivated, boronated RNase A was dialyzed against distilled, deionized H₂O and purified on a 1-mL HiTrap Heparin HP column similarly as described for boronated RNase A but approximately scaled for the 1-mL column (~15 mL PBS wash and eluted with a linear gradient of ~40–45 mL of additional NaCl (0.0–1.0 M) in PBS buffer. MALDI-TOF data was analyzed as for boronated RNase A, but with also taking into account the addition of BrAc–Br and unmodified RNase A standard. Specifically, the mass and standard deviation was (14576 ± 247.7) Da, minus bromoacetic acid and bromine to give (14517 ± 247.7) Da, which results in 6.4 ± 1.9 boronates/ribonuclease if all ribonuclease was inactivated once by bromoacetic acid. The activity of inactivated, boronated RNase A was (3.0 ± 0.2) x 10⁵ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, which was ~1.89% of boronated RNase A.

3.5.6 Preparation of BODIPY FL-labeled ribonucleases

Both unmodified and boronated RNase A were labeled with BODIPY FL. An aliquot (3.83 mL) of a solution of ribonuclease (120 μM) was adjusted to pH 8.3. BODIPY FL STP ester (5 mg; 9 μmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of DMF. To the solution of ribonuclease was added 125 μL of the BODIPY FL STP ester solution. The reaction mixture was incubated at ambient
temperature on a nutating mixer for 4–6 h, and then incubated at 4 °C on a nutating mixer overnight. Labeled ribonuclease was loaded onto a 1-mL HiTrap Heparin HP column. The column was washed with 30 mL of 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0. The protein was eluted with a 60-mL linear gradient of NaCl (0.0–1.5 M) and pH (6.0–7.4) in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Fractions were collected, pooled, concentrated, and analyzed by SDS–PAGE and MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry.

Labeled ribonucleases were dissolved in at least a 10× volume of DPBS, passed through a 0.45-µm syringe filter from Whatman (Piscataway, NJ), and re-concentrated before being used in assays. In this manner, the proteins were dissolved in solution that was largely DPBS. Concentrations of proteins were determined by UV spectroscopy (at A_{280 nm} to approximate A_{278 nm}) using the extinction coefficient of RNase A at 278 nm (ε = 0.72 (mg·mL^{-1})^{-1}·cm^{-1}) (Sela, et al., 1957). The absorbance of benzoboroxole was found to be negligible, contributing <5% to the A_{278 nm} of the boronated ribonuclease (the 5-amino-2-hydroxymethylboronic acid may have higher absorbance). The concentration of labeled ribonucleases was corrected for fluorophore absorbance by using the manufacturer’s protocol (http://tools.invitrogen.com/content/sfs/manuals/mp00143.pdf). Percent labeling was determined by UV spectrometry at 504 nm using the extinction coefficient of BODIPY FL (ε = 68,000 M^{-1}·cm^{-1}) given in the manufacturer’s protocol.

### 3.5.7 Heparin-affinity assays

The affinity of unmodified and boronated RNase A for heparin was assessed by retention on a 1-mL HiTrap Heparin HP column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). Unmodified and
boronated RNase A were mixed in a 1:1 ratio (2.0 mg each) in DPBS, and the resulting solution was loaded onto the column. Buffers were made as specified for the preparation of boronated RNase A. The column was washed with 5 mL of PBS, followed by elution with 45 mL of a linear gradient of NaCl (0.0–1.0 M) in PBS. Elution was monitored by absorbance, and eluted proteins were identified by mass spectrometry. A small amount of unmodified RNase A was apparent in peak B (Figure 3.3). We hypothesize that boronated RNase A was able to complex to a small amount of unmodified RNase A and extend its elution time. The same assay was then repeated with 100 mM fructose in both buffers. To make fructose-supplemented buffers, fructose (18 g, 100 mmol) was added to 100 mL of a 10× stock solution of PBS, either no additional NaCl or NaCl (58.4 g, 1.00 mol) was added, and both buffers were diluted to a final volume of 1 L and adjusted to pH 7.4.

3.5.8 Fluorescence polarization assays

Liposomes were formed by transferring DOPC (dissolved in chloroform solution) and GD3 gangliosides (dissolved in 63:35:5 chloroform/methanol/water) to glass tubes and drying them under Ar(g) and then under vacuum. Lipids were re-suspended in 25 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.0, containing NaCl (75 mM). The solution of lipids was mixed by vortexing for 2 min, and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. For DOPC liposomes, DOPC was resuspended at a concentration of 5 mM. For GD3 ganglioside-labeled liposomes, DOPC and GD3 gangliosides were mixed at 3 mM and 2 mM concentrations, respectively. Large unilammelar vesicles were formed by extrusion through a 0.1-μm polycarbonate filter from Whatman (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ). This process produces a population of vesicles of near uniform size (~100–150 nm diameter
as measured by dynamic light scattering). A portion of the DOPC lipids before extrusion were aliquoted as a control.

Fluorescence polarization assays were performed using 50 nM BODIPY FL-labeled unmodified and boronated RNase A in black NBS 96-well plates (Corning Costar, Lowell, MA). Ribonuclease were incubated with DOPC liposomes (625 μM total lipid) or GD3 ganglioside-labeled liposomes (375 μM DOPC, 250 μM GD3 ganglioside = 625 μM total lipid) in 25 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.0, containing NaCl (75 mM) in the absence or presence of fructose (10 mM). In control wells, ribonucleases were incubated with non-extruded DOPC lipids. Fluorescence polarization at 470/535 nm with a G-factor of 1.257 was recorded after shaking the plate briefly and incubating at ambient temperature for 1 h. Control well polarization was subtracted from experimental well polarization for each ribonuclease. The assay was performed in triplicate. Note that at a 2 h timepoint, the polarization of unmodified ribonuclease with DOPC liposomes was negative, and the difference between this and unmodified ribonuclease with DOPC ganglioside liposomes was significant ($p < 0.05$). The assay was also analyzed by anisotropy at the 2 h timepoint, and the control well used for subtraction was the protein free in solution, with no lipid added. For both polarization and anisotropy bar graphs, the total fluorescence intensity for boronated RNase A with fructose was lower, but this was not taken into account in analysis.

The affinity of boronated RNase A for GD3 ganglioside-labeled liposomes was assessed by using serially diluted liposomes. GD3 ganglioside-labeled liposomes were serially diluted in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0, containing 75 mM with dilutions of 62.5 nM–1250 μM total lipid. Because the composition of these liposomes was 3:2 DOPC/GD3 ganglioside, this dilution
resulted in solutions containing GD3 ganglioside at 25 nM–500 μM. Control DOPC liposomes (with no GD3 ganglioside) were likewise diluted in the same buffer, producing solutions of 62.5 nM–1250 μM total lipid. Liposomes were then incubated with 50 nM BODIPY FL-labeled boronated RNase A in the same buffer in a black NBS 96-well plate. Fluorescence polarization was recorded after shaking the plate briefly and incubating at ambient temperature for 35 min. Fluorescence polarization from GD3 ganglioside-labeled liposomes was subtracted from that of DOPC-only liposomes, thereby correcting for binding to DOPC and for changes in solution viscosity. The assay was performed in duplicate. The fraction of labeled ribonuclease bound for each sample well was calculated by dividing its polarization from the polarization of ribonucleases incubated with the highest concentration of GD3 ganglioside (set at 100% bound). The value of $K_d$ was calculated by plotting the fraction bound against the concentration of GD3 ganglioside and fitting the data to a binding isotherm (Roehrl, et al., 2004). The data was reanalyzed using fluorescence anisotropy, and determining the fraction bound ($F_{SB}$) using equation 3.4, where $A_{OBS}$ is the anisotropy observed, $A_f$ is the anisotropy of the protein free, $A_B$ is the anisotropy of the protein totally bound by liposome, and $Q$ is the fluorescence intensity of the bound species divided by the fluorescence intensity of the free species (Roehrl, et al., 2004). Note that the free species here was the labeled protein free in solution, with no lipids (even non-extruded lipids) added. Also, the values for binding to DOPC-only liposomes were not subtracted out in this analysis.

$$F_{SB} = \frac{A_{OBS} - A_f}{(A_B - A_{OBS})Q + A_{OBS} - A_f}$$

(3.4)
3.5.9  Cell culture

Cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and were maintained according to the recommended procedures. Cells were grown in a cell culture incubator at 37 °C under CO₂ (5% v/v) in flat-bottomed culture flasks. Cell medium was supplemented with GIBCO fetal bovine serum (FBS) (10% v/v), penicillin (100 units/mL), and streptomycin (100 μg/mL) in the appropriate cellular medium as follows: Pro-5, MEM α + ribonucleosides + deoxyribonucleosides; Lec-2, MEM α – ribonucleosides – deoxyribonucleosides; and K562, RPMI 1640. Cells were counted by hemocytometry for dispensing into 96-well plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark), 12-well plates (Corning Costar, Lowell, MA), or 8-well chambered coverglass slides (Nunc Lab-Tek II, Thermo Scientific).

3.5.10  Flow cytometry assays

BODIPY-FL was excited with a 488 nm solid-state laser and the emission was collected with a 530/30 bandpass filter. To collect the most reproducible data, for every flow cytometry experiment, the sensitivity (voltage) of the photomultiplier tube was set for all data collections using mid-range Rainbow beads from Spherotech (Lake Forest, IL) to a predetermined fluorescence target value. At least 10,000 cellular events were acquired for each sample. Data were analyzed using FlowJo 8.1.3 (Treestar, Ashland, Oregon).

The day prior to an experiment, Pro-5 and Lec-2 cells (Stanley, et al., 1975; Deutscher, et al., 1984) were plated in 12-well plates at 1 x 10⁵ cells/well. The day of the experiment, the appropriate amount of fructose was dissolved into the cellular medium to obtain a final fructose concentration of 250 mM, and the medium was passed through a 0.45-μm syringe filter from
Whatman. Non-fructose-containing medium was filtered likewise. Stock solutions of fluorescently-labeled ribonucleases were diluted into the cell culture to a final concentration of 5 μM. Ribonucleases were incubated with cells for 4 h. Then, the cells were rinsed with PBS (2 × 400 μL), removed from the cell culture plate with trypsin (400 μL, 0.05% (1×) with EDTA; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California), placed in flow cytometry tubes containing 80 μL of FBS, and incubated on ice until analyzed by flow cytometry. Final fluorescence values were divided by the percent fluorophore labeling of the ribonuclease to determine the corrected value of fluorescence. Experiments were run twice in triplicate.

3.5.11 Confocal microscopy

Pro-5 cells were plated on Nunc Lab-tek II 8-well chambered coverglass 24 h before use and grown to 80% confluency. Cells were incubated with 5 μM BODIPY FL-labeled ribonucleases for 4 h. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Invitrogen, 2 μg/mL) for the final 15 min of incubation. Cells were then washed twice with PBS, suspended in PBS, and examined using a Nikon Eclipse C1 laser scanning confocal microscope.

3.5.12 Ribonucleolytic activity assays

The ribonucleolytic activities of RNase A, boronated RNase A, and inactivated, boronated RNase A were determined by quantifying their ability to cleave 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–6-TAMRA, as described previously (Kelemen, et al., 1999). Assays were carried out at ambient temperature in 2 mL of 0.10 M MES–NaOH buffer, pH 6.0, containing NaCl (0.10 M). Fluorescence data were fitted to equation 3.5, in which ΔI/Δt is the initial reaction velocity, I₀ is
the fluorescence intensity before addition of ribonuclease, $I_0$ is the fluorescence intensity after complete substrate hydrolysis, and $[E]$ is the total ribonuclease concentration. The assay was performed in triplicate.

$$k_{cat} / K_M = \frac{\Delta I / \Delta t}{(I_f - I_0)[E]}$$

(3.5)

### 3.5.13 Recombinant protein production

RNase A variants (G88R, DRNG, and A19C G88R) were produced as described previously (Ellis, et al., 2011). A19C G88R RNase A was labeled with diethylfluorescein (DEF) iodoacetamide as described previously (Ellis, et al., 2011). Human ribonuclease inhibitor was prepared in *E. coli* as described previously (Johnson, et al., 2007b).

### 3.5.14 RI-RNase A competition assays

Competition assays were based on a previous RI-RNase competition assay (Lavis, et al., 2007). Serial dilutions (2x) of boronated RNase A and G88R RNase A (control) in DPBS were prepared in Eppendorf Protein LoBind Tubes (Fisher Scientific). A master mix of A19C-DEF G88R RNase A (100 nM; 2x), RI (100 nM; 2x), DTT (10 mM; 2x), and BSA (0.1 mg/mL; 2x) was made and an aliquot (50 μL) of this master mix was added to wells of a 96-well NBS plate. Aliquots of the serially-diluted ribonucleases (50 μL) were then added to wells. The negative control contained no ribonuclease (PBS), and the positive control contained excess RNase A (20 μM; 2x). The plate was shaken for 30 seconds and then incubated for 30 minutes at ambient
temperature, after which the fluorescence intensity was measured. The observed fluorescence intensity \((I)\) is described by equation 3.6:

\[
I = f_f I_f + f_b I_b
\]  

(3.6)

where \(f_f\) and \(f_b\) are the fractions of the free and RI-bound form of the fluorescence conjugate, respectively, and \(I_f\) and \(I_b\) are the fluorescent intensities of the free and RI-bound states, respectively. The value of \(f_b\) was determined by linear regression analysis using the intensities of the positive and negative controls, which represent 0 and 75.9\% bound, respectively, based on a \(K_d\) value of 1.4 nM for the fluorophore-labeled G88R variant of RNase A (Lavis, et al., 2007). The fraction bound \((f_b)\) was then calculated by using equation 3.7:

\[
f_b = \frac{I - I_f}{I_b - I_f}
\]  

(3.7)

The value of \(K_d\) was calculated by plotting \(f_b\) against the concentration of competing ribonuclease and fitting the data to the mathematical expression for complete competitive binding of two different ligands (Wang, 1995; Roehrl, et al., 2004). Boronated RNase A data are reported as the average of two measurements (G88R RNase A control data were a single measurement), and the entire experiment was repeated in triplicate.
3.5.15 **Ribonuclease evasion gel**

Inhibition of ribonucleases by R1 was visualized by using an agarose gel-based assay similar to previously described (Leland, et al., 1998). Each reaction mixture contained 730 nM ribonuclease (~10 ng) and a serial dilution of ribonuclease inhibitor (0-, 5x-, or 10x-fold concentration relative to ribonuclease) in DPBS with 5 mM DTT. Reaction mixtures were allowed to incubate at ambient temperature for 30 min, followed by addition of rRNA (4 µg/mL) and an additional incubation of 30 min at ambient temperature (11 µL final). 2 µL of 6x loading dye (Blue/Orange Loading Dye, Promega, Madison, WI) was added to assays and this was immediately loaded onto a 1.5% wt/vol agarose gel containing ethidium bromide pre-incubated at 4 °C, which was then run and visualized.

3.5.16 **Cell-proliferation assays**

The effect of unmodified and boronated RNase A on the proliferation of K-562 cells was assayed similar to previously described (Leland, et al., 1998). For assays, 5 µL of a solution of ribonuclease or PBS (control) was added to 95 µL of cells (5.0×10⁴ cells/mL). For co-treatment assays with fructose, ribonucleases were first serially diluted at 2x concentration, followed by addition of an equal volume of 2 M fructose in PBS to each ribonuclease dilution, resulting in a 1x ribonuclease dilution as before but now containing 1 M fructose. Then, 5 µL of each dilution was added to cells as above, including a control of PBS containing 1 M fructose. Because 5 µL of samples were added to 95 µL of cells, the final concentration of fructose in each well was 50 mM. After a 44-h incubation, K-562 cells were treated with [methyl-³H]thymidine for 4 h, and the incorporation of radioactive thymidine into cellular DNA was quantitated by liquid
scintillation counting. The results are shown as the percentage of $[methyl^{-3}\text{H}]$thymidine incorporated relative to control cells treated with PBS. Data are the average of three measurements for each concentration, and the entire experiment was repeated in triplicate. Values for IC$_{50}$ were calculated by fitting the curves by nonlinear regression to equation 3.8, in which $y$ is the total DNA synthesis following the $[methyl^{-3}\text{H}]$thymidine pulse and $h$ is the slope of the curve.

$$y = \frac{100\%}{1 + 10^{(\log IC_{50} - \log \text{ribonuclease})h}}$$

(3.8)
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Table 3.1  Values of $K_a (\text{M}^{-1})$ for boronic acids and saccharides.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HO$_2$B.OH</th>
<th>D-fructose</th>
<th>D-glucose</th>
<th>Neu5Ac</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128 ± 20</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>336 ± 43</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>43 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values are the mean (± SD) from ≥ 15 measurements in 0.10 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, containing D$_2$O (2% v/v).
Table 3.2  Literature values of $K_a (M^{-1})$ for boronic acids and saccharides. Reference A: (Springsteen and Wang, 2002). Reference B: (Dowlut and Dennis, 2006). Reference C: (Djanashvili, et al., 2005). Reference D: (Mahalingam, et al., 2011). Reference E: (Bérubé, et al., 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d-fructose</td>
<td>$^{1}H$ NMR in H$_2$O containing D$_2$O (2% v/v)[$^a$]</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128 ± 20</td>
<td>Competition with alizarin red S[$^a$]</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>$^{1}H$ NMR in D$_2$O (100% v/v)[$^a$]</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Competition with alizarin red S[$^a$]</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neu5Ac</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[$^a$] Values were determined in 0.10 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4.
Scheme 3.1  Boronation of RNase A and its putative mechanism for expediting cellular delivery. The location of each carboxyl group of RNase A is depicted in the ribbon diagram (PDB entry 7rsa (Wlodawer, et al., 1988)).
Figure 3.1  Boronate-mediated drug delivery. Pendant boronic acids are shown to enhance the delivery of a protein into the cytosol of cells.
Figure 3.2  MALDI-TOF spectrum of unmodified RNase A (top) and boronated RNase A (bottom) fit to a Gaussian curve (red line) with GraphPad Prism version 5.02. The mean molecular mass (14,641 Da) was corrected by adding the difference between the mean molecular mass of wild-type RNase A analyzed the same way (13,659 Da) and the expected mass of wild-type RNase A (13,682) (correction factor = 23, corrected molecular mass = 14664 Da). This was subtracted from the molecular mass of unmodified RNase A (13,682 Da) to give 982 Da. This value was divided by the molecular mass of 5-amino-2-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid (148.954 Da – 18.016 Da = 130.938 Da), corrected for the loss of water during conjugation, to give 7.5 ± 2.0 boronic acids conjugated to RNase A, where SD = 2.0 arises from the SD of the Gaussian fit, 265.2 Da, divided by 130.938 Da.
Unmodified RNase A:

Boronated RNase A:
Figure 3.3  Elution profile of a mixture of unmodified RNase A (eluting in region “A”) and boronated RNase A (eluting in region “B”) from a column of immobilized heparin in the absence (solid line) or presence (dashed line) of fructose (0.10 M).
Figure 3.4  Fluorescence polarization/anisotropy assay of ribonucleases binding ganglioside-labeled liposomes in the presence or absence of 10 mM fructose. Data was normalized to polarization of each ribonuclease incubated with non-extruded DOPC lipids. Data points represent the mean (± SD) of triplicate experiments. Asterisks indicate values with $p < 0.05$. The total fluorescence intensity lowered for boronated RNase A with fructose; this was not taken into account (double dagger).
Fluorescence polarization:

Fluorescence anisotropy:
Figure 3.5  Fluorescence polarization/anisotropy data for the binding of boronated RNase A to GD3 ganglioside in liposomes. BODIPY FL-labeled boronated RNase A was incubated with liposomes containing GD3 ganglioside in 25 mM HEPES buffer, pH 7.0, containing NaCl (75 mM). Data points represent the mean (± SE) of duplicate experiments. Polarization (top) and anisotropy (bottom) data were fitted to a binding isotherm (Roehrl, et al., 2004) to give $K_d = (53 \pm 11) \mu$M and $(28 \pm 8) \mu$M, respectively.
Fluorescence polarization:

Fluorescence anisotropy:
Figure 3.6  Internalization of unmodified and boronated RNase A into Pro-5 and Lec-2 cells in the absence or presence of fructose (0.25 M). Flow cytometry data were normalized to the internalization of unmodified RNase A into Pro-5 cells. Error bars represent the SD. Insert: Confocal microscopy image of live Pro-5 cells incubated for 4 h with boronated RNase A (5 μM) that had been labeled covalently with a green fluorophore. Nuclei were stained blue with Hoechst 33322 (2 μg/mL). Scale bar: ~10 μm.
Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.
Figure 3.7  Boronated RNase A evasion of ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI). (A) Ribonucleases were pre-incubated with 0 (-), 5-fold (+), or 10-fold (+++) concentrations of RI for 30 min then incubated with yeast ribosomal RNA for 30 min at room temperature. To samples was added loading dye (Promega, Madison, WI) and samples were subjected to 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. Less rRNA retention on the gel indicates degradation and therefore the lack of ribonuclease inhibition by RI. G88R RNase A was used as a RI-evasive positive control. (B) The $K_0$ value for the RI–boronated RNase A complex was approximately (4 ± 1) nM, determined by boronated RNase A (black) disrupting the interaction of RI with diethylfluorescein-labeled A19C G88R RNase A in PBS. G88R RNase A (gray) was used as a positive control. Error is calculated as standard error of the mean.
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Figure 3.8  Inhibition of K-562 cell proliferation by unmodified and boronated RNase A. Closed circles indicate unmodified RNase A (IC_{50} > 50 \mu M); closed diamonds indicate boronated RNase A (IC_{50} 4.1 \pm 0.4 \mu M); open diamonds indicate boronated RNase A in the presence of fructose (50 mM) (IC_{50} 9 \pm 3 \mu M; dashed line); open squares indicate boronated RNase A alkylated with 2-bromoacetic acid (to decrease ribonucleolytic activity) (IC_{50} > 50 \mu M). The proliferation of K-562 cells was measured by the incorporation of [methyl-^3H]thymidine. Data points represent the mean (± SEM) of three experiments containing triplicate measurements. Control DRNG RNase A (closed triangles) IC_{50} was (0.34 \pm 0.06) \mu M, slightly higher than reported previously (0.19 \pm 0.02) \mu M (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Addition of fructose increased DRNG RNase A IC_{50} to 0.43 \mu M (open triangles, dashed line), though this was only one replicate.
Figure 3.9  Determination of the peaks corresponding to the aryl protons in bound and free boronic acid and example of the $^1$H NMR spectrum that was used to determine the $K_a$ value for PBA and fructose. (A) $^1$H NMR spectrum of solution 1. (B) $^1$H NMR spectrum of solution A. (C) Overlay of aromatic region of spectra from panels A and B. (D) Example of a spectrum that was interpreted using the overlay from panel C, and used to determine the value of $K_a$ for fructose with PBA. The $[\text{B·S}]/[\text{B}]$ ratio was calculated from the isolated peaks for the complex (3H, 7.01–7.11 ppm) and the isolated peaks for the free boronic acid (2H, 7.54–7.58 ppm).
Figure 3.10 Example of a $^1$H NMR spectrum that was used to determine the $K_a$ value for benzoboroxole and fructose (10.3 mM). Peaks corresponding to the aryl protons in bound and free boronic acid were determined as described. The [B·S]/[B] ratio was calculated from the isolated peaks for the complex (1H, 7.31–7.38 ppm, a mixture of isomeric species) and the isolated peaks for the free boronic acid (1H, 7.43–7.53 ppm, a mixture of isomeric species). Additional saccharide decreased the integration of the small peak at 7.44 ppm equally with that at 7.49 ppm, which arise from free boronic acid; and the shoulder peak at 7.31 ppm increased equally with that at 7.35 ppm, which arises from the complex.
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Figure 3.11  Example of a $^1$H NMR spectrum that was used to determine the $K_a$ value for PBA and glucose (44.9 mM). Peaks corresponding to the aryl protons in bound and free boronic acid were determined as described. The [B-S]/[B] ratio was calculated from the isolated peaks for the complex (3H, 7.09–7.21 ppm) and the peaks for the free boronic acid (2H, 7.64–7.68 ppm).
Figure 3.12  Example of a $^{1}$H NMR spectrum that was used to determine the $K_a$ value for benzoboroxazole and glucose (32.9 mM). Peaks corresponding to the aryl protons in bound and free boronic acid were determined as described. The $[\text{B·S}]/[\text{B}]$ ratio was calculated from the isolated peaks for the complex ($^{1}$H, 7.29–7.34 ppm) and the isolated peaks for the free boronic acid ($^{1}$H, 7.45–7.51 ppm). Note the broadening of the aryl protons, which had been reported for NMR spectra of boronic acids in the presence of pyranose sugars (Bérubé, et al., 2008).
free boronic acid (3 H)

free boronic acid (1 H) complexed boronic acid (1 H) complexed boronic acid (3 H)

7.4 7.1 7.0 [ppm]
Figure 3.13  Example of a $^1$H NMR spectrum that was used to determine the $K_a$ value for PBA with Neu5Ac (35.4 mM). Peaks corresponding to the aryl protons in bound and free boronic acid were determined as described. The $[B\cdot S]/[B]$ ratio was calculated from the isolated peaks for the complex (3H, 7.15–7.26 ppm) and the isolated peaks for the free boronic acid (2H, 7.6–7.69 ppm). Note that the aryl peaks have been broadened by the addition of the saccharide.
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Figure 3.14  Apparent in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of Neu5Ac is a small peak that overlapped with the aromatic regions of the boronic acids. This peak was subtracted out of all NMR spectra used to evaluate the interaction of benzoboroxazole and Neu5Ac.
Figure 3.15  Example of a $^1$H NMR spectrum that was used to determine the $K_a$ value for benzoboroxazole with Neu5Ac (14.4 mM). Peaks corresponding to the aryl protons in bound and free boronic acid were determined as described. The $[B\cdot S]/[B]$ ratio was determined from the isolated peaks for the free boronic acid ($^1$H, 7.44–7.51 ppm) and the remainder of the aromatic region (7.15–7.36 ppm), which represented 3H from the free boronic acid and all 4 aromatic protons from the complex. Unlike fructose and glucose, the single isolated proton of the complexed species (7.33 ppm) was too broad to integrate accurately, and the entire region was used instead.
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CHAPTER 4

Potentiation of Ribonuclease Cytotoxicity

by a Poly(amidoamine) Dendrimer

This chapter was published as:

4.1 Abstract

Variants of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A) engineered to evade the endogenous ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI) are toxic to human cancer cells. Increasing the basicity of these variants facilitates their entry into the cytosol and thus increases their cytotoxicity. The installation of additional positive charge also has the deleterious consequence of decreasing ribonucleolytic activity or conformational stability. Here, we report that the same benefit can be availed by co-treating cells with a cationic dendrimer. We find that adding the generation 2 poly(amidoamine) dendrimer in trans increases the cytotoxicity of RI-evasive RNase A variants without decreasing wild-type RNase A thermostability and with only modest effects on its activity. The increased cytotoxicity is likely not due to increased RI-evasion or cellular internalization, but likely results from improved translocation into the cytosol after endocytosis. These data indicate that co-treatment with highly cationic molecules could enhance the efficacy of ribonucleases as chemotherapeutic agents (Figure 4.1).

4.2 Introduction

Pancreatic-type ribonucleases can be toxic to cancerous cells (Matousek, 2001; Leland and Raines, 2001; Makarov and Ilinskaya, 2003; Benito, et al., 2005; Arnold and Ulbrich-Hofmann, 2006). This cytotoxicity depends on the efficiency of their internalization into cells and subsequent translocation into the cytosol, their conformational stability, their ability to evade the endogenous ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI) (Dickson, et al., 2005), and their ability to catalyze the degradation of cellular RNA (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). Although Onconase® (Lee and Raines, 2008), a ribonuclease from the Northern Leopard frog that naturally evades RI,
was in a Phase IIIb clinical trial as a treatment for malignant mesothelioma, its therapeutic potential was diminished by dose-limiting renal toxicity (Mikulski, et al., 1993; Costanzi, et al., 2005; Pavlakis and Vogelzang, 2006; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2008; Beck, et al., 2008). Mammalian pancreatic ribonucleases accumulate to a much lesser extent in the kidneys, but are strongly inhibited by RI (Vasandani, et al., 1996; Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Johnson, et al., 2007b). Variants of both bovine (RNase A) (Raines, 1998) and human (RNase 1) pancreatic ribonuclease have been engineered to evade RI and are thereby endowed with cytotoxic activity (Leland, et al., 1998; Leland, et al., 2001; Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Johnson, et al., 2007b). An RNase 1 variant is in a Phase I clinical trial for patients having advanced, refractory, solid tumors.

Although ribonucleases show promise as cancer chemotherapeutic agents, their potency is limited by the bottleneck of cellular internalization and translocation into the cytosol. For example, RNase A has cytotoxicity at much lower (e.g., picomolar) concentrations when injected directly into cells (Saxena, et al., 1991; Smith, et al., 1999; Lotfi, et al., 2007). RNase A and its homologues are cationic proteins (Figure 4.2). Internalization has been shown to correlate with ribonuclease cationicity (Johnson, et al., 2007a), and efforts have been made to add positive charge and thereby enhance cytotoxicity. ‘Arginine-grafting’ and appending a nonaarginine tag do indeed increase the cytotoxicity of RI-evasive RNase A variants (Fuchs and Raines, 2005; Fuchs, et al., 2007). These alterations, however, tend to diminish the conformational stability of the ribonuclease, abrogating some of the benefit from increased internalization. RNase A has also been modified by condensing the side chains of aspartate and glutamate residues with ethylenediamine or cationic polymers of poly(ethyleneimine) (Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et
al., 2005). Although these covalent modifications increase cytotoxicity, they diminish catalytic activity. We sought a means to increase ribonuclease-mediated cytotoxicity without compromising other desirable attributes.

To avoid the deleterious consequences of adding cationic groups to a ribonuclease, we hypothesized that ribonuclease cytotoxicity could be enhanced by adding a highly cationic molecule as a co-treatment, that is, in trans. This strategy was supported by the recent observation that co-treatment with a cationic peptide or PEI enhances the cellular uptake of proteins (Didenko, et al., 2005; Loudet, et al., 2008). As our co-treatment, we chose to use the generation 2 poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimer, which has a high density of cationic charge (Figure 4.3). PAMAM dendrimers are monodisperse branched polymers that are available from numerous commercial vendors (Tomalia, et al., 1985; Esfand and Tomalia, 2001). PAMAM dendrimers have been employed as drug and gene delivery vehicles, as they have the ability to transport encapsulated small molecules and enwrapped DNA into cells (Esfand and Tomalia, 2001; Cloninger, 2002; Guillot-Nieckowski, et al., 2007; Navarro, et al., 2010). We chose the relatively small generation 2 dendrimer due to its low inherent cytotoxicity compared to higher generation dendrimers and other highly cationic macromolecules, such as polyethylenimine (Roberts, et al., 1996; Malik, et al., 2000; Fischer, et al., 2003). This dendrimer has 16 primary amino groups and 14 tertiary amino groups. Its net molecular charge is approximately \( Z = +16 \) (Cakara, et al., 2003), and could reach \( Z = +30 \) in an acidic environment. The dendrimer is known to enter cells through endocytosis and then appears to disrupt endosomes through the ‘proton sponge’ effect (Sonawane, et al., 2003). We reasoned that
dendrimers could elicit an adventitious increase in the translocation of ribonucleases into the
cytosol and, thus, in their cytotoxic activity.

4.3 Results and Discussion

Ribonucleases tend to lose conformational stability (Fuchs and Raines, 2005; Fuchs, et al., 2007) or catalytic activity (Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2005) when modified covalently
to be more cationic. Accordingly, we first sought to discern whether the dendrimer elicited any
effects on these important attributes in trans. We found that the dendrimer at concentrations of 1
or 10 μM has no discernable effect on the thermostability of RNase A (Table 4.1). Similarly,
these concentrations had an insignificant effect on ribonucleolytic activity. Accordingly, we
conclude that the dendrimer at a concentration of ≤ 10 μM has no deleterious consequences.

Large cationic molecules antagonize the RI–RNase A interaction (Moenner, et al., 1999). Hence, we next sought to determine whether the dendrimer is an antagonist of this interaction.
Serial dilutions of a solution of dendrimer were used to compete with a 2',7'-diethylfluorescein-
labeled variant of RNase A for binding to RI. Using this assay (Lavis, et al., 2007), we
determined that the RI·dendrimer complex in PBS has $K_d = (3.1 \pm 0.5) \mu$M (Figure 4.4), which is
significantly greater than RI-evasive variants of RNase A (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). This value,
along with the known concentration of RI in the cytosol (4 μM) (Haigis, et al., 2003), indicate
that the dendrimer is unlikely to act by antagonizing the RI–RNase A interaction.

Having established that the dendrimer is inert in vitro, we sought to test our hypothesis in
cellulo. Specifically, we determined the effect of the dendrimer on the cytotoxicity of wild-type
RNase A and two RI-evasive variants. We did these experiments with K-562 cells, which are
nonadherent cells from a human myelogenous leukemia line. We choose dendrimer concentrations of 1 and 10 μM because these concentrations did not compromise important attributes of the ribonucleases (Table 4.1) and allowed for the unrestricted proliferation of K-562 cells (97 ± 3% and 95 ± 3%, respectively).

We found that wild-type RNase A showed no measurable cytotoxicity with or without dendrimer (Figure 4.5), as expected from its high affinity for RI. In contrast, both 1 and 10 μM dendrimer potentiated the cytotoxicity of two RI-evasive ribonuclease variants, with 10 μM being slightly more effective. At 10 μM dendrimer, G88R RNase A, which is moderately evasive to RI, exhibited a decrease in IC₅₀ value of fivefold. D38R/R39D/N67R/G88R (DRNG) RNase A, which is highly evasive to RI and hence more cytotoxic than the G88R variant (Rutkoski, et al., 2005), likewise had its cytotoxicity potentiated by fivefold. Because the dendrimer evoked the same relative increase in cytotoxicity for both variants, we concluded that the dendrimer acts by enhancing either cellular internalization or translocation.

Ribonucleases enter the cytosol by first being internalized into endosomes and then translocating into the cytosol (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). To discern whether the dendrimer affected internalization, we attached a fluorogenic label to RNase A, as described previously (Lavis, et al., 2006). This label is not fluorescent until entering endosomes, which contain esterases that unmask its fluorescence. K-562 cells were incubated with or without dendrimer (1 or 10 μM), and ribonuclease internalization was measured by flow cytometry. Dendrimer did not increase internalization of the labeled ribonuclease into the cell (Table 4.1). Apparently, the dendrimer acts downstream of internalization, probably by increasing endosomal escape.
4.4 Conclusions

We conclude that a cationic dendrimer can potentiate the cytotoxicity of RI-evasive variants of RNase A in trans. This ability obviates the deleterious consequences that typically accompany chemical or mutagenic modification. Although it is unlikely that the particular strategy described here could be used in a clinical setting, our findings do encourage further exploration, both on the use of cationic dendrimers as co-treatments with chemotherapeutic agents and on the mechanism of their action in this context.

4.5 Experimental Procedures

4.5.1 Materials

*Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3) cells were from Novagen (Madison, WI). K-562 cells, which were derived from a continuous human chronic myelogenous leukemia line, were from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Cell culture medium and supplements, as well as Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). [methyl-³H]Thymidine (6.7 Ci/mmol) was from Perkin–Elmer (Boston, MA). Protein purification columns were from GE Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). Wild-type RNase A, PAMAM Generation 2 dendrimer, and 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) were from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Dendrimers in methanol were placed under reduced pressure to remove methanol, then dissolved in DPBS; the pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to ~7.4. MES buffer was purified by anion-exchange chromatography to remove trace amounts of oligomeric vinylsulfonic acid (Smith, *et al.*, 2003). Ribonuclease substrate 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–
6-TAMRA was from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Non-binding surface (NBS) 96-well plates were from Corning (Corning, NY). All other chemicals used were of commercial reagent grade or better, and were used without further purification. Terrific Broth (TB) was from Research Products International (Mt. Prospect, IL), or was made by dissolving tryptone (12 g), yeast extract (24 g), glycerol (4 mL), KH$_2$PO$_4$ (2.31 g), and K$_2$HPO$_4$ (12.54 g) in H$_2$O (1.00 L).

4.5.2 Analytical instruments and statistical calculations

The incorporation of [methyl-$^3$H]thymidine into the genomic DNA of K-562 cells was quantified by scintillation counting using a Microbeta TriLux liquid scintillation and luminescence counter (Perkin-Elmer, Wellesley, MA). Fluorescence measurements were made with an Infinite M1000 plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland). Thermal denaturation data were collected with a Cary 3 double-beam spectrophotometer equipped with a Cary temperature controller (Varian, Palo Alto, CA). Molecular mass was determined by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry using a Voyager-DE-PRO Biospectrometry Workstation (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at the campus Biophysics Instrumentation Facility. Flow cytometry data were collected by using a FACS Calibur flow cytometer equipped with a 488-nm argon-ion laser (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Calculations for statistical significance were performed using the Student’s $t$-test, and a value of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.
4.5.3 Protein production

RNase A variants were produced as described previously (Rutkoski, et al., 2005), but with the following exceptions. Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) was added during some preparations to minimize protein degradation. Ribonucleases were refolded for 24–72 h at room temperature or 4 °C. Following purification, proteins used in cytotoxicity assays were dialyzed against PBS. Protein concentration was determined by UV spectroscopy using an extinction coefficient at 278 nm of 0.72 (mg/ml)^{-1}.cm^{-1} for RNase A and its variants. Variants with a cysteine residue at position 19 were protected by reaction with 5,5′-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) to form a mixed disulfide (Riddles, et al., 1983; Lavis, et al., 2006). Immediately prior to conjugation with a latent fluorophore or 2′,7′-diethylfluorescein (DEF) (Lavis, et al., 2006; Lavis, et al., 2007), protected ribonucleases were treated with a 4-fold molar excess of dithiothreitol (DTT) and desalted by chromatography on a PD-10 desalting column (GE Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). The deprotected ribonucleases were reacted for 2–4 h at 25 °C with a 10-fold molar excess of a thiol-reactive fluorophore (Lavis, et al., 2006; Lavis, et al., 2007). Conjugates were purified by chromatography using a HiTrap SP HP column. The concentration of labeled proteins was determined by using a bicinchoninic acid assay kit from Pierce (Rockford, IL) with wild-type RNase A as a standard. Human ribonuclease inhibitor (RI) was prepared in *E. coli* as described previously (Johnson, et al., 2007b). Following their purification, the purity and molecular mass of the ribonucleases and RI were verified with SDS–PAGE.
4.5.4 Conformational stability assay

The conformational stability of wild-type RNase A was determined by following the change in UV absorbance at 287 nm with increasing temperature, as described previously (Klink and Raines, 2000). Briefly, the temperature of PBS containing RNase A (25 μM) with 1 or 10 μM dendrimer, or without dendrimer (control), was heated from 25–80 °C at 0.15 °C/min. The A_{287} was followed at 1-°C intervals, and the absorbance change was fitted to a two-state model of denaturation, wherein the temperature of the midpoint of the transition curve corresponds to the value of T_m. Data are the average of three experiments.

4.5.5 Ribonucleolytic activity assay

The ribonucleolytic activity of wild-type RNase A was determined by quantitating its ability to cleave 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–6-TAMRA, as described previously (Kelemen, et al., 1999). Briefly, assays were carried out at ambient temperature in 2.00 mL of 0.10 M MES–NaOH buffer (pH 6.0) containing NaCl (0.10 M). Fluorescence data were fitted to equation 4.1, in which ΔI/Δt is the initial reaction velocity, I_0 is the fluorescence intensity before addition of ribonuclease, I_t is the fluorescence intensity after complete substrate hydrolysis, and [E] is the total ribonuclease concentration. Activity was measured without dendrimer (control) or in the presence of 1 or 10 μM dendrimer. Data are the average of three experiments.

\[ k_{\text{cat}} / K_M = \frac{\Delta I / \Delta t}{(I_t - I_0)[E]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)
4.5.6  

**RI-binding assay**

Affinities for RI were determined by using a competition assay similar to one described previously (Lavis, *et al.*, 2007). Briefly, a serial dilution (2×) of the dendrimer in DPBS was prepared in Eppendorf Protein LoBind Tubes (Fisher Scientific). A stock solution of A19C-DEF G88R RNase A (20 nM; 2×), RI (24 nM; 2×), DTT (1 mM; 2×), and BSA (0.1 mg/mL; 2×) was made, and 50-μL aliquots of this stock solution were added to the wells of a 96-well NBS plate. Aliquots of the serially diluted solution of dendrimer were then added to the wells. The negative control contained no ribonuclease (PBS), and the positive control contained excess RNase A (0.2 μM; 2×). The plate was incubated for 30 min at ambient temperature, after which the fluorescence intensity was measured. The observed fluorescence intensity (*I*) is described by equation 4.2:

\[
I = f_f I_F + f_B I_B
\]  

(4.2)

where \(f_f\) and \(f_B\) are the fractions of the free and RI-bound form of the fluorescence conjugate, respectively, and \(I_F\) and \(I_B\) are the fluorescent intensities of the free and RI-bound states, respectively. The value of \(f_B\) was determined by linear regression analysis using the intensities of the positive and negative controls, which represent 0 and 75.9% bound, respectively, based on a \(K_d\) value of 1.4 nM for the fluorophore-labeled G88R variant of RNase A (Lavis, *et al.*, 2007). The fraction bound (\(f_B\)) was then calculated by using equation 4.3:

\[
f_B = \frac{I - I_F}{I_B - I_F}
\]  

(4.3)
The value of $K_d$ was calculated by plotting $f_B$ against the concentration of competing dendrimer and fitting the data to the mathematical expression for complete competitive binding of two different ligands (Wang, 1995; Roehrl, et al., 2004). Data are the average of two measurements, and the entire experiment was repeated in triplicate.

4.5.7 Cell-proliferation assay

The effect of RNase A, its variants, dendrimer, and co-treatment of dendrimer with ribonucleases on the proliferation of K-562 cells was assayed as described previously (Leland, et al., 1998). Briefly, for assays with a single sample, 5 μL of a serially diluted solution of ribonuclease, dendrimer, or PBS was added to 95 μL of DPBS containing K-562 cells ($5.0 \times 10^4$ cells/mL). For co-treatments, 10 μL of a serially diluted solution of ribonuclease (2×) was premixed with 10 μL of a solution of dendrimer (2×). Then, 5 μL of this solution was added to 95 μL of DPBS containing K-562 cells ($5.0 \times 10^4$ cells/mL). After 44 h, the cells were treated with $[^{3}H]$thymidine for 4 h, and the incorporation of radioactive thymidine into cellular DNA was quantified by liquid scintillation counting. Results were reported as the percentage of $[^{3}H]$thymidine incorporated relative to control cells that had been treated with PBS. Data are the average of three measurements for each concentration, excluding those measurements that were determined to be outliers by the Grubb’s test for outliers with $p$ value = 0.05 (Grubbs, 1969). The entire experiment was repeated in triplicate. Values for $IC_{50}$ were calculated by fitting the curves by nonlinear regression with equation 4.4, in which $y$ is the total DNA synthesis following the $[^{3}H]$thymidine pulse, and $h$ is the slope of the curve.
\[
\frac{100\%}{1 + 10^{(\log IC_{50} - \log [\text{ribonuclease}]_{0})}}
\]  
(4.4)

### 4.5.8 Flow cytometry assay

The internalization of ribonuclease variants with latent fluorophore attached was followed by monitoring the unmasking of fluorescence by intracellular esterases, as described previously (Johnson, et al., 2007a). Briefly, K-562 cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with PBS, and resuspended at a density of $1.25 \times 10^6$ cells/mL in fresh medium. Labeled ribonuclease (to $10 \mu M$) and dendrimer (to 0, 1, or $10 \mu M$) was added to 200 µL of medium containing K-562 cells ($1.25 \times 10^6$ cells/mL). Cells were allowed to incubate at 37 °C for 3 h. To quench internalization, cells were placed on ice, collected by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C, washed with 750 µL of PBS, and collected again by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C. The cell pellets were suspended gently in ice-cold PBS (250 µL). Samples remained on ice until analyzed by flow cytometry.

Fluorescence was detected through a 530/30-nm band-pass filter. Cell viability was determined by staining with propidium iodide, which was detected through a 660-nm long-pass filter. The mean channel fluorescence intensity of 20,000 viable cells was determined for each sample using CellQuest software and used for subsequent analysis. Data are the average of three measurements for each concentration, and the entire experiment was repeated in triplicate.
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4.8 Additions/Corrections

The thermostability of RNase A with dendrimers was reanalyzed with regards to error and number of digits. Specifically, the values changed for the \( T_m \) (°C) of RNase A with no dendrimer to 62.73 ± 0.03 from 62.7 ± 0.1 and for RNase A with 1 µM dendrimer to 62.8 ± 0.2 from 62.8 ± 0.1. The effect of \( T_m \) of 10 µM dendrimer with RNase A compared to no dendrimer with RNase A rounded to a \( p \) value of 0.05 instead of > 0.05 for one t-test in Excel (1 tail, 2 sample equal variance (homoscedastic)), though it should be noted that the \( T_m \) increased, not decreased, so the dendrimer still does not seem to be significantly destabilizing RNase A.
The activity assay data of RNase A with dendrimers was re-analyzed. For 10 μM dendrimer, a slight activity was seen before addition of RNase A for 2 of the 3 replicates. If this activity was not accounted for, then the activity of RNase A in the presence of 10 μM dendrimer was \((2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) versus the \((2.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) value that was published (and is significant for only 1 tailed t-test, paired). If the activity was corrected for, the activity of RNase A in the presence of 10 μM dendrimer was \((2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (and is significant for 1 tailed t-test, paired and equals 0.052 for 2 tailed t-test, paired).

Further, there was less substrate range for the dendrimer-treated samples than non-treated samples. This could be due to dendrimer keeping the extra RNase A from degrading all the substrate, the dendrimer affecting the assay conditions (e.g. light scattering, pH), or something else. If the substrate range for RNase A alone is used for calculating the activity of RNase A in the presence of dendrimer (and the activity is corrected for dendrimer activity for the 10 μM sample), then the values become: in the presence of 1 μM dendrimer, \((3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (significant for 1 tailed t-test, paired) and in the presence of 10 μM dendrimer, \((2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\) (significant for both 1 and 2 tailed t-test, paired). This becomes somewhat significant inhibition of RNase A, especially in the presence of 10 μM dendrimer: more than arginine-grafted RNase A (Fuchs, et al., 2007), about the same or more than sulfated RNase A (Futami, et al., 2001) or nonaarginine-tagged RNase A (Fuchs and Raines, 2005), and less than ethylenediamine- or PEI-cationized RNase A (Futami, et al., 2001; Futami, et al., 2002; Futami, et al., 2005).
The internalization was analyzed using the geometrical mean in the publication; the values as analyzed by the mean are: 145 ± 9, 139 ± 2, and 125 ± 8, for none, 1 µM, and 10 µM dendrimer, respectively. The p value is still > 0.05.

For cytotoxicity, the cytotoxicity was measured as all three replicates together in GraphPad, but the error was miscalculated. To calculate the correct error, the IC₅₀ value for each replicate was independently determined, and then averaged and the standard error determined. The published IC₅₀ values of G88R RNase A with no, 1 µM, and 10 µM dendrimer were (8 ± 1) µM, (1.7 ± 0.1) µM, and (1.6 ± 0.1) µM, respectively. The published IC₅₀ values of DRNG RNase A with no, 1 µM, and 10 µM dendrimer were (0.14 ± 0.01) µM, (0.030 ± 0.002) µM, and (0.025 ± 0.001) µM, respectively. The new IC₅₀ values of G88R RNase A with no, 1 µM, and 10 µM dendrimer were (9 ± 2) µM, (1.7 ± 0.3) µM, and (1.7 ± 0.3) µM, respectively. The new IC₅₀ values of DRNG RNase A with no, 1 µM, and 10 µM dendrimer were (0.14 ± 0.03) µM, (0.028 ± 0.005) µM, and (0.026 ± 0.003) µM, respectively.
Table 4.1  Effect of dendrimers on RNase A thermostability, catalytic activity, and cellular
internalization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>$T_m$ $^a$ (°C)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_M$ $^b$ ($10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Internalization (counts)$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>62.73 ± 0.03$^a$</td>
<td>33 ± 5</td>
<td>129 ± 9$^g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 1 μM Dendrimer</td>
<td>62.8 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
<td>34 ± 4$^c$</td>
<td>125 ± 1$^g$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 10 μM Dendrimer</td>
<td>63.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>26 ± 4$^{c, i}$</td>
<td>113 ± 7$^g$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Values of $T_m$ (± SE) were determined in PBS by UV spectrometry.

$^b$ Values of $k_{cat}/K_M$ (± SE) were determined for catalysis of 6-FAM-dArUdAdA-6-TAMRA cleavage.

$^c$ Values of internalization represent the mean (± SE) from three separate experiments performed in triplicate in which K-562 cells were incubated with an esterase-activatable fluorogenic label for 3 h, and internalization was assessed with flow cytometry.

$^d$ Published values were 62.7 ± 0.1 for none and 62.8 ± 0.1 for 1 μM dendrimer.

$^e$ See section 4.8 for additions/corrections to these values, to as low as (30 ± 4) and (22 ± 4) $\times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for + 1 and + 10 μM dendrimer, respectively.

$^f$ Published value was 28 ± 2.

$^g$ Values calculated using geometrical means. Values calculated using means are 145 ± 9, 139 ± 2, 125 ± 8 for none, + 1 μM dendrimer, and + 10 μM dendrimer, respectively.

The effect of dendrimers was published as not significant ($p > 0.05$), but some of the additional/corrected values are significant.
Figure 4.1  The toxicity of a cationic ribonuclease for cancer cells is increased by co-treatment with a cationic dendrimer.
Figure 4.2 Electrostatic potential map of the RNase A surface with positively charged surface in blue, negatively charged surface in red, and neutral surface in white. Images were created with PDB entry 7rsa (Wlodawer, et al., 1988) and the program PYMOL (DeLano Scientific, San Francisco, CA, USA).
Figure 4.3  Structure of the generation 2 poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimer. The 30 amino nitrogens are in blue.
Binding of the ribonuclease inhibitor protein to the generation 2 PAMAM dendrimer. The value of $K_d = 3.1 \pm 0.5 \mu M$ for the RI-dendrimer complex (circles) was determined by disrupting the interaction of RI with DEF-labeled A19C G88R RNase A in PBS. The value of $K_d = 0.79 \pm 0.06 \text{nM}$ for the RI-G88R complex (squares) for control was analyzed the same way, though this value is less than that reported for the RI-G88R complex (1.2–1.3 nM) (Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Lavis, et al., 2007). Data are the mean (± SE) from three separate experiments.
Figure 4.5  Effect of generation 2 PAMAM dendrimer on the inhibition of cancer cell proliferation by ribonucleases. The proliferation of K-562 cells was measured by the incorporation of $[^{3}H]$thymidine. Data points represent the mean (±SE) of three separate experiments performed in triplicate. The IC$_{50}$ values of G88R RNase A with no, 1 $\mu$M, and 10 $\mu$M dendrimer were (9 ± 2) $\mu$M, (1.7 ± 0.3) $\mu$M, and (1.7 ± 0.3) $\mu$M, respectively. The IC$_{50}$ values of DRNG RNase A with no, 1 $\mu$M, and 10 $\mu$M dendrimer were (0.14 ± 0.03) $\mu$M, (0.028 ± 0.005) $\mu$M, and (0.026 ± 0.003) $\mu$M, respectively.
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CHAPTER 5

Future Directions
5.1 Expanding the Repertoire and Use of Ribonucleotide Pro-moiety Timed-released Prodrugs

In CHAPTER 2, we demonstrated the attachment of the ribonucleoside uridine 3’-phosphate as a timed-release pro-moiety onto 4-hydroxytamoxifen and this prodrug’s activation both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} by human pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase 1).

This proof-of-concept research was crucial to lay the foundation for future research projects exploring the expanded use of this technology. First, the synthesis and characterization of timed-release prodrugs using all of the natural ribonucleoside 3’-phosphates as pro-moieties would define the ability to tune release kinetics. Second, the use of other drugs attached to these pro-moieties would demonstrate the breadth of this technology. Third, modifying the 5’-end of the pro-moiety by alkylation or PEGylation would demonstrate the ability to adjust the pharmokinetics of the prodrug. Fourth, investigating these prodrugs in serum or \textit{in vivo}, while technically challenging, would add further characterization to this technology, especially after the synthesis of a library of timed-release prodrugs. Finally, eosinophils are known to accumulate near tumor cells, indicating that the ribonucleases eosinophil-derived neurotoxin (RNase 2; EDN) and eosinophil cationic protein (RNase 3; ECP) may be at alleviated levels near tumors (Munitz and Levi-Schaffer, 2004; Cormier, \textit{et al.}, 2006; Lotfi, \textit{et al.}, 2007; Sorrentino, 2010). While ECP did not show any cleavage of the uridine 3’-(4-hydroxytamoxifen phosphate) prodrug, EDN was not tested. Testing whether these prodrugs were activated by EDN (assuming EDN is at a high enough level in tumors to significantly activate the prodrug compared to RNase 1 in serum) would indicate if this prodrug technology also imparts improved cancer specificity for modified chemotherapeutics.
5.2 Further Investigations of Boronate-mediated Drug Delivery

In CHAPTER 3, we demonstrated the use of pendant boronic acids to mediate the delivery of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A) into mammalian cells. This research was the first demonstration of using boronic acids as an alternative to increased cationicity or attachment of ligands of cell-surface receptors to proteins as a means to deliver proteins into cells.

Investigating other means of conjugating boronic acids onto proteins is a next crucial step for using this technology on a broad range of proteins. Not all proteins will be amenable to attachment of pendant boronic acids by condensation onto multiple carboxyl groups using carbodiimide chemistry. Therefore, akin to nonaarginine and HIV-TAT tags, a peptidic tag of boronic acids attached site-specifically to a protein could facilitate internalization with only one point of modification to the protein. This would also lead to a more homogenous protein population, in comparison to random coupling to carboxyl groups. Further, the use of an esterase- or acid-cleavable linker attaching the boronic acid tag to the protein could release the native protein after endocytosis. This may be beneficial for the therapeutic activity of some proteins and may be a cleaner system for studying the cytosolic effects of native proteins. Other experiments on this system that warrant future investigation include studying the pharmokinetic properties of a boronated protein in vivo.

5.3 Further Investigations of Poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) Dendrimers

In CHAPTER 4, we demonstrated the use of PAMAM dendrimers in trans to potentiate the cytotoxicity of RNase A variants, possibly by increasing their translocation into the cytosol following endocytosis.
This research emphasized a major bottleneck in ribonuclease cytotoxicity: the inefficient translocation from endosomes into the cytosol. Currently, this translocation stage is difficult to measure. Some research in the Raines lab is dedicated to developing probes that are specifically activated in the cytosol but not in endosomes. When these probes are developed, future studies will investigate the mechanism of this fairly undefined stage. At that point, future studies can more clearly define the role that dendrimers play in potentiating ribonuclease cytotoxicity.

5.4 Future Ribonuclease-specific Investigations

In APPENDIX 1, we demonstrate the identification of a small molecule antagonist, triprolidine, of the interaction between a RNase A variant and the ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI); in APPENDIX 2, we demonstrate the creation of a ribonuclease zymogen activated by urokinase. Both of these projects necessitate further engineering before realizing the full results of the research. Further characterization of triprolidine as an antagonist to the RNase A–RI interaction, including studies of the structure-activity relationships of triprolidine in this system, may lead to a more potent antagonist. However, the relative initial weakness of this antagonist will make these studies technically challenging. Alternate methods for producing a ribonuclease zymogen activated by urokinase (such as the small molecule approach discussed in APPENDIX 2), or the use of synergistic drugs, may result in a system which shows toxicity to cancer cells. Further, if a ribonuclease zymogen activated by urokinase that is toxic and selectively activated near cancer cells is developed, it may be used in synergy with ribonucleoside 3'-phosphate promoiety prodrugs (see above and CHAPTER 2). The ribonuclease zymogen would be activated
near cancer cells, and could then activate the prodrug near these cancer cells, leading to selective toxicity for the prodrug.

Further investigations of ribonucleases as biologic chemotherapeutics can extend in multiple directions. The investigation of the pharmokinetics and pharmacodynamics of mammalian ribonucleases in vivo, such as through PET imaging or radioactive tracers, could answer several questions regarding how to improve the therapeutic index of these biologic chemotherapeutics. Specifically, is the efficacy of ribonucleases limited by retention time, as was suggested with the use of PEGylated ribonucleases (Rutkoski, et al., 2011)? Are side effects (such as renal toxicity by Onconase (Costanzi, et al., 2005; Pavlakis and Vogelzang, 2006; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2008; Beck, et al., 2008)) dependant on ribonucleolytic activity or just the accumulation of large amounts of protein in the kidneys? These future studies, among others, may lead to better understanding and improvements to the ribonuclease chemotherapeutic system.
APPENDIX 1

Efforts Towards a Small Molecule Antagonist of the RI–Ribonuclease Interaction
Abstract

Protein–protein interactions (PPIs) regulate many cellular processes, from signal transduction to cell growth. Among the tightest of all known PPIs are the interactions between human ribonuclease inhibitor (RI) and its ribonuclease ligands, which bind with femtomolar affinity even though these ribonucleases share only 20–68% protein sequence identity. Due to this high affinity, identifying antagonists of this interaction would be a novel advancement in the area of PPI inhibition.

The high affinity of RI for ribonucleases is thought to protect the cell from RNA degradation by invading ribonucleases. Ribonucleases that evade RI are selectively toxic to cancer cells, and the lack of affinity for RI is considered a primary determinant of ribonuclease toxicity. Therefore, it was hypothesized that inhibiting the RI–ribonuclease interaction with antagonists would potentiate the cytotoxic activity of modestly RI-evasive ribonucleases, possibly leading to extremely potent chemotherapeutics. Towards this goal, we optimized a high-throughput screening assay for identifying inhibitors of the RI–ribonuclease interaction and screened two compound libraries (4,160 compound Known Bioactives Library; 16,000 compound Chembridge Library). Initial hits were analyzed and screened in a secondary assay. While these compounds did not show utility in cellulo, future development of these hits could lead to more potent antagonists.

Introduction

Signal transduction, immune response, cell–cell recognition, cell division, and many other cellular processes depend upon protein–protein interactions (PPIs) (Toogood, 2002; Sidhu, et al.,
Antagonists of these interactions can aid in the elucidation of their biological significance and lead to human therapeutics (Sidhu, et al., 2003; Arkin and Wells, 2004). In contrast to enzyme inhibitors, PPI antagonists must overcome large buried surface areas (750–1,500 Å²/side), high affinities between protein partners, and the typically flat interfaces involved (Arkin and Wells, 2004). Despite these challenges, antagonists have been successfully developed against PPIs, partly due to the existence of small patches of residues, or “hot spots”, vital to the binding affinity of the interaction that provide smaller targets for antagonists to bind (Clackson and Wells, 1995; Arkin and Wells, 2004). Further, antagonists often do not need to completely inhibit PPIs to have significant biological effects (Toogood, 2002).

In addition to the use of PPI antagonists as biological tools, the inhibition of PPIs is also of therapeutic value. While vinca alkaloids were not known to inhibit tubulin polymerization until 1996, they have been effective anticancer treatments for nearly half a century (Gadek and Nicholas, 2003). In addition, the anticoagulant small-molecule tirofiban (Aggrastat) and peptide eptifibatide (Integrilin), which inhibit fibrinogen binding to its receptor on platelets, have been approved for patients undergoing angioplasty (Gadek and Nicholas, 2003). These prior successes portend the identification of additional antagonists of PPIs, demonstrate their usefulness to further understand PPIs, and make accessible a myriad of novel druggable targets.

We chose to investigate the PPI of bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A) with human ribonuclease inhibitor (RI). RNase A is a 13.7-kDa protein that catalyzes the degradation of RNA (Raines, 1998). RNase A is internalized by human cells but then is bound tightly and inhibited by RI. RI is found at a concentration of 4 μM in the cytosol of all mammalian cells studied, and binds to and inhibits both RNase A and human pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase 1) in
1:1 stoichiometric interactions (Dickson, et al., 2005; Johnson, et al., 2007b; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008). These interactions are among the tightest natural PPIs known, with femtomolar equilibrium dissociation constants ($K_d$) (Kobe and Deisenhofer, 1995; Haigis, et al., 2003; Dickson, et al., 2005; Johnson, et al., 2007b). A homologue of RNase A from *Rana pipiens*, Onconase® (ONC), is not highly inhibited by RI, allowing it to degrade cellular RNA which results in cytostatic and cytotoxic effects (Boix, et al., 1996; D’Alessio and Riordan, 1997; Costanzi, et al., 2005; Ardelt, et al., 2008; Beck, et al., 2008; Turcotte and Raines, 2008b; Ardelt, et al., 2009). This toxicity led to the investigation of ONC in clinical trials, progressing to a Phase IIIb trial for unresectable malignant mesothelioma. Unfortunately, this trial resulted in mixed results (no improvement in the primary endpoint of survival), though ONC continues to be investigated for other indications (Alfacell, 2009). This limited clinical success was due in part to dose-limiting renal toxicity and a low catalytic activity that hinders its effectiveness (Costanzi, et al., 2005; Pavlakis and Vogelzang, 2006; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2008; Beck, et al., 2008). RI-evasive variants of RNase A have been developed to overcome these disadvantages, since the renal retention of RNase A is 50–100 fold less than ONC and RNase A variants are considerably more active ($10^2$–$10^5$ fold) (Vasandani, et al., 1996; Rutkoski and Raines, 2008; Ardelt, et al., 2008). RI-evasive variants of RNase 1 have also been constructed (Leland, et al., 2001; Johnson, et al., 2007b; Johnson, et al., 2007a), and one RNase 1 variant, QBI-139, is currently in a Phase I clinical trial for patients having advanced, refractory, solid tumors.

We hypothesized that small molecule antagonists of the RI–ribonuclease interaction, identified through a high-throughput screen (HTS), would potentiate the cytotoxicity of modestly
RI-evasive ribonucleases. These small molecule RI antagonists could augment the cytotoxicity of moderately RI-evasive RNase A or RNase 1 variants possessing desirable attributes for ribonuclease cytotoxicity, such as high stability (Klink and Raines, 2000) or enhanced ability to internalize into cells (Johnson, et al., 2007a) (Figure A1.1). In addition to cytotoxicity, RNase A homologues have been implicated in other important biological processes, including neovascularization (ANG) and host defense (EDN) (D'Alessio and Riordan, 1997; Rosenberg, 1998; Dickson, et al., 2005). Inhibitors of the RI–ribonuclease interaction could be used to probe the biological activities of these ribonucleases, and the role that RI plays in these processes. The identification of molecules that bind or inhibit RI is predicated by work demonstrating the affinity of immobilized kanamycin for RI (Wang, et al., 2005b) and the inhibition of the angiogenin–RI interaction by basic proteins and protamines (Moenner, et al., 1999). Herein, we optimized and performed a primary HTS assay for identifying inhibitors of the RI–ribonuclease interaction with two compound libraries totaling > 20,000 compounds. Secondary assays were performed that eliminated false hits. While the lead compound identified from these screens, triprolidine, did not show utility in cellulo, future development of this compound could lead to more potent antagonists.

A1.3 Results and Discussion

A fluorescence intensity-based assay was previously developed in the Raines lab that can accurately and rapidly measure RI–RNase A binding (Abel, et al., 2002). This assay measures the fluorescence decrease that occurs when fluorescein-labeled RNase A binds RI (~15%). Although this first generation assay could not be used to screen large libraries of compounds, as
its dynamic range was relatively small and the assay requires large volumes (2 mL/cuvette), it was chosen as the starting point for development of an assay format amenable to high-throughput screening (Abel, et al., 2002).

The second generation of this assay utilizes 2',7'-diethylfluorescein-5-iodoacetamide (DEFIA; DEF post-conjugation) as a label for A19C/G88R RNase A (Lavis, et al., 2007). The phenolic pKₐ of DEFIA was tuned so that when labeled, cationic RNase A is bound by anionic RI, the pKₐ of DEFIA increases, favoring protonation and lowering the brightness of the dye by 38%, approximately twice as much as in the previous assay (Lavis, et al., 2007). When unlabeled ribonuclease competitively displaces the labeled RNase A, fluorescence is restored (Lavis, et al., 2007). Due to this greater dynamic range, this second generation assay was successfully converted to a 96-well format. Addition of excess wild-type RNase A resulted in complete displacement of labeled RNase A and served as the positive control, and the negative control was addition of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Lavis, et al., 2007). To validate this assay the Z'-factor was determined, which takes into account both the dynamic range between positive and negative controls and the data variation of both controls of the assay (Zhang, et al., 1999). A negative Z'-factor indicates no significant separation between controls, a positive Z'-factor of 0–0.5 indicates a feasible, small separation, and a Z'-factor of 0.5–1 indicates a large separation with low data variation of the controls (with 1 being ideal) (Zhang, et al., 1999). The Z'-factor of this assay was determined to be 0.69, designating the assay as excellent to measure RNase A binding to RI (Lavis, et al., 2007).

This assay was further optimized for high-throughput screening of small molecules by labeling the RNase A variant with one of the lowest affinities for RI, minimizing the assay to a
384-well microplate, and increasing its dynamic range. Due to the high affinity of RI for binding its ribonuclease ligands, identifying initial antagonists potent enough to disrupt a femtomolar affinity (wild-type RNase A) or even low nanomolar affinity (G88R RNase A, a RI-evasive RNase A variant with $K_d = 1.2$ nM) (Rutkoski, et al., 2005) interaction would be extremely unlikely. Therefore, to start with a more feasible target, K31A/D38R/R39D/N67R/G88R RNase A (KDRNG RNase A) was chosen because it has one of the lowest known affinities of a RNase A variant for RI ($K_d = 2.5$ μM; >2000-fold lower affinity than G88R RNase A) (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Also, the location of DEFIA attachment was shifted from Ala19, outside the RI–RNase A interface, to Gly88. Dye attachment to a cysteine substitution at position Gly88 places the dye directly at the RI–RNase A interface. By placing the DEFIA label closer to the anionic surface of RI, the DEFIA phenolic $pK_a$ would shift, substantially increasing the dynamic range. However, it was not known if replacing the G88R substitution, which is important for the RI-evasion of KDRNG RNase A, with G88C-DEF would hinder RI-evasion.

A competition binding assay was performed to verify that the affinity of DEFIA-labeled KDRNG88C RNase A (herein KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A) for RI was still relatively low ($K_d = 320 \pm 30$ nM, Figure A1.2), indicating that this assay was suitable for screening. Importantly, by moving the label the dynamic range of the assay in a microplate increased to 45%, which increased the accuracy of the assay and facilitated its miniaturization to a 384-well format. Further optimizations were required for high-throughput screening, including using a higher concentration of RI in the assay so that 80-90% of the labeled RNase A was bound. This concentration of RI was required to dramatically decrease the signal at the steepest region of the binding curve for compounds with weak inhibition. Also, a high concentration of DTT (10–15
mM) was necessary to combat the oxidative activity of DMSO, which is used as the vehicle for library compounds, to keep RI reduced and active (Figure A1.3).

The Small Molecule Screening Facility (SMSF) at the Keck-University of Wisconsin Comprehensive Cancer Center (UWCCC) enables high-throughput screening (HTS) of multiple chemical libraries with sophisticated robotics (Keck-UWCCC, 2011). Using the optimized assay we first screened a library of 4,160 molecules which included FDA approved drugs and other compounds with known biological activity (Keck-UWCCC, 2011). Each compound was screened at a concentration of 83 µM and positive (RNase A) and negative (no addition) controls were included on each microplate. The best 24 compounds from each library microplate (7.5% of the library) were re-screened with controls. This re-screen resulted in a Z'-factor of 0.72, indicating that the assay was accurate. Data were analyzed as the percent of regained fluorescence, which reflects the ability of compounds to displace KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A from RI as compared to excess wild-type RNase A. There were two compounds identified with ≥ 50% of regained fluorescence, eight compounds with ≥ 25% regained fluorescence, and twenty-five compounds with ≥ 12.5% of regained fluorescence. The results of the assay and compounds with ≥ 25% of regained fluorescence, or “hits”, are identified in Figure A1.4.

One limitation of the primary screening assay is that small molecules with inherent fluorescence similar to that of diethylfluorescein (λex/em = 501 nm/520 nm) will increase the fluorescence signal. These potential false positives could even be of relatively low fluorescence intensity, since compounds are added in large excess over labeled RNase A. To identify these false positives, we developed secondary assays similar to the primary assay but instead using different fluorophores. The first secondary assay developed used the pH-sensitive label 4-
carboxyresorufin-C₂-maleimide (CRCM; synthesized by L.D. Lavis). The excitation (570 nm) and emission (585 nm) maxima of CRCM are red-shifted to reduce fluorescence overlap of the false positives from the primary assay. KDRNG88C RNase A was labeled with CRCM (herein KDRNG88C-CR RNase A). The second secondary assay developed combined the idea of using a resorufin dye with the known benefits of modulating the pKₐ of fluorescein through alkylation as seen for DEFIA (Lavis, et al., 2007). Therefore, an alkylated derivative of carboxyresorufin, carboxyethylresorufin maleimide (CERM), was synthesized (under the guidance and collaboration of L.D. Lavis) in an effort to increase the pKₐ of carboxyresorufin (resorufin pKₐ ≈ 6.5) (Towne, et al., 2004) and attached to KDRNG88C (herein KDRNG88C-CER). Finally, another secondary assay that was developed for some hits used dansyl attached to D38R/R39D/N67R/G88C RNase A (DRNG88C RNase A; labeled herein DRNG88C-dansyl RNase A) instead. This assay relied on Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) between the tryptophans of RI and the dansyl dye.

Hits with ≥ 25% regained fluorescence from the primary screen were assessed in the CR-RNase A secondary assay and the Z' factor for this assay was determined to be 0.30. Importantly, after reading the microplate at carboxyresorufin maxima (λₑₓ/ₑₘ = 570 nm/585 nm) and verifying positive hits, the microplate was read again at diethylfluorescein maxima (λₑₓ/ₑₘ = 501 nm/520 nm), which allowed for identification of compounds intrinsically fluorescent at this wavelength. The best compounds were defined as those resulting in a ≥ 25% of regained fluorescence for both the primary (KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A) and secondary (KDRNG88C-CR RNase A) assays, and those that were not fluorescent at the diethylfluorescein maxima.
Triprolidine·HCl was selected for further investigation as it was a hit in both assays, inexpensive, and commercially available in large quantities. To quantify the affinity of this antagonist, the second generation 96-well competitive binding assay described previously (Lavis, et al., 2007) was performed; however, KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A was used to enhance the detection of low affinity antagonists as previously discussed. Using this assay, the estimated $K_d$ value of triprolidine·HCl bound to RI was determined to be 210 $\mu$M (Figure A1.5, single replicate). Note that preliminary experiments with A19C-DEF G88R RNase A were unable to confirm this, either due to the increased affinity of this variant for RI or perhaps ribonuclease variant specificity of the ability of triprolidine to inhibit the RI–ribonuclease interaction.

After identifying triprolidine as a initial hit, we applied for and received funding for primary and secondary screening of the ChemBridge Library (16,000 compounds) through the Lead Discovery Initiative (LDI) sponsored by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF). The primary assay resulted in a $Z'$-factor of 0.38. It is unclear why this $Z'$-factor was lower than before. Two 384-well plates of the best hits were rescreened 3 times using the primary assay, the secondary assay with KDRNG88C-CER RNase A, and the secondary assay with DRNG88C-dansyl RNase A. A synergy cytotoxicity assay was performed by the SMSF, in which moderately RI-evasive G88R RNase A was added with co-treatment of 19 separate hits to test whether these hits inhibited cellular RI and potentiated the toxicity of the ribonuclease. Determination of the $K_d$ values for the best compounds was also attempted. Unfortunately, no hits were verified from this library. Problems with the library compounds included that some were naturally highly fluorescent, some were non-polar and therefore had poor solubility, and
some had a charge that modified the pH of the assay solution, thereby affecting this assay which is highly dependent on pH.

Therefore, triprolidine was chosen as the lead compound. Synergy cytotoxicity experiments were performed with triprolidine, even though it is a relatively weak inhibitor ($K_d$ value of 210 μM). Since DRNG RNase A and KDRNG RNase A are highly RI-evasive, they are thought to be at the upper limit of effective RI-evasiveness; in other words, inhibition of RI is not expected to increase their cytotoxicity (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Therefore, G88R RNase A, which is more moderately RI evasive, was used for synergy cytotoxicity assays, as was done with the ChemBridge library synergy cytotoxicity assay. Previously, RNAi-mediated of RI by ~55% was shown to increase the sensitivity of several cancer cell lines to G88R RNase A toxicity by more than 75% (Dickson and Raines, 2009); therefore, if triprolidine is as effective at knocking down RI activity, a similar increase in G88R RNase A toxicity could be expected. Cell proliferation effects were measured against K-562 cells. Triprolidine alone had an approximate IC$_{50}$ value of 126 μM (singlet), and G88R RNase A alone had an approximate IC$_{50}$ value of 4.4 ± 0.4 μM (duplicate).

The toxicity curves of G88R RNase A with and without triprolidine overlap, making interpretation of any synergistic effect difficult; however, it appears that any synergy of triprolidine for G88R RNase A cytotoxicity is weak (Figure A1.6). At most, it appears that the addition of 75 μM triprolidine, which causes significant toxicity alone (70% cell proliferation), only increases the IC$_{50}$ value of G88R RNase A approximately 2.5-fold (to 1.6 ± 0.3 μM). A similar effect is seen with DRNG RNase A, which would be unexpected if triprolidine was...
working through a mechanism of inhibiting RI. Given the toxicity of triprolidine alone (IC$_{50} < K_0$), the ability of triprolidine to inhibit RI in cellulo is inconclusive.

A1.4 Conclusions

Over 20,000 compounds were screened in an attempt to find inhibitors of the RI–RNase A interaction. The best inhibitor, triprolidine, had a fairly high $K_d$ value with RI of approximately 210 $\mu$M. Therefore, while triprolidine can inhibit the interaction of RI with modest to high RI-evasive RNase A variants, it is unlikely to do so with non to low RI-evasive variants (or wild-type RNase A) except at very high concentrations, possibly above its solubility limits. Synergy cytotoxicity experiments with G88R RNase A were inconclusive.

While the effectiveness of triprolidine for inhibiting the RI–RNase A interaction was disappointing, it is notable that an inhibitor was identified in this screen. PPI antagonists are notoriously difficult to identify, and the RI–RNase A interaction, with its large buried surface area, could be considered a very difficult target. Future modifications to triprolidine may increase its potency of inhibition. If so, then this compound may show future benefit for investigating the cellular interaction of ribonucleases with RI.

A1.5 Experimental Procedures

A1.5.1 Materials

*Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3) cells were from Novagen (Madison, WI). K-562 cells, which were derived from a continuous human chronic myelogenous leukemia line, were from the
American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Cell culture medium and supplements, as well as Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). [methyl-$^3$H]Thymidine (6.7 Ci/mmol) was from Perkin–Elmer (Boston, MA). Protein purification columns were from GE Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). Wild-type RNase A, tripolidine, and 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) were from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). MES buffer was purified by anion-exchange chromatography to remove trace amounts of oligomeric vinylsulfonic acid (Smith, et al., 2003). Ribonuclease substrate 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–6-TAMRA was from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Non-binding surface (NBS) 96- and 384- well plates were from Corning (Corning, NY). All other chemicals used were of commercial reagent grade or better, and were used without further purification. Terrific Broth (TB) was from Research Products International (Mt. Prospect, IL), or was made by dissolving tryptone (12 g), yeast extract (24 g), glycerol (4 mL), KH$_2$PO$_4$ (2.31 g), and K$_2$HPO$_4$ (12.54 g) in H$_2$O (1.00 L).

11.5.2 Analytical instruments and statistical calculations

The incorporation of [methyl-$^3$H]thymidine into the genomic DNA of K-562 cells was quantified by scintillation counting using a Microbeta TriLux liquid scintillation and luminescence counter (Perkin–Elmer, Wellesley, MA). Molecular mass was determined by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI–TOF) mass spectrometry using a Voyager-DE-PRO Biospectrometry Workstation (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at the campus Biophysics Instrumentation Facility. Fluorescent measurements for $K_d$ determination were made on an Envision plate reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). All
equipment for high-throughput screening, including robotics and plate readers, was from the
Small Molecule Screening Facility (SMSF) at the Keck-University of Wisconsin Comprehensive
Cancer Center (UWCCC).

A1.5.3 High-throughput screening libraries

The Known Bioactives Library consisted of 880 compounds from Prestwick Chemical, 2000
compounds from the Spectrum Chemical Collection, and 1280 compounds from Sigma, LOPAC
(for 4,160 compounds total). The ChemBridge library compounds totaled 16,000 compounds.
All compound libraries were from and maintained by the SMSF.

A1.5.4 Protein production

RNase A variants were produced as described previously (Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Lavis, et
al., 2007; Ellis, et al., 2011). Protein concentration was determined by UV spectroscopy using an
extinction coefficient at 278 nm of 0.72 (mg/ml)$^{-1}$·cm$^{-1}$ for RNase A and its variants or typically
with a bicinchoninic acid assay kit from Pierce (Rockford, IL) for fluorescently-labeled proteins.
Variants with a cysteine residue at position 19 were protected by reaction with 5,5'$'$-dithio-bis(2-
nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) to form a mixed disulfide. Labeling of proteins was done similarly as
described previously (Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Lavis, et al., 2007). Human ribonuclease inhibitor
(RI) was prepared in E. coli as described previously (Rutkoski, et al., 2005; Lavis, et al., 2007).
A1.5.5  High-throughput screening assay

The high-throughput screening assay was based on previous assays (Abel, et al., 2002; Lavis, et al., 2007). All high-throughput screening was done at the SMSF. A master mix was made by first adding 10 mM DTT and 20 μg/mL BSA (final concentrations) to DPBS. Then, to this was added 50 nM KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A and 1.5 μM RI final. For screening the Known Bioactives Library, the robot dispensed 15.5 μL then 14 μL (29.5 μL total), then paused for manual addition of the positive control to positive control wells (5 μM wild-type RNase A final), and then the robot dispensed 0.5 μL of 5 mM compound (83 μM final) in DMSO over time. The plate was quickly read at 501/520 nm, to minimize oxidation of RI by DMSO. For the ChemBridge library screen, only 28.5 μL of master mix was used, and the concentration of DTT was increased to 15 mM. Secondary assays were performed similarly. To quantify hits, the percent regained fluorescence was quantitated. This was determined from the fluorescence of compound wells compared to the range between negative and positive controls.

A1.5.6  K_d value determination

The determinations for $K_d$ values were done similar to those described previously (Lavis, et al., 2007). A serial dilution (2×) of triprolidine or DRNG RNase A in DPBS was prepared. Importantly, the stock of triprolidine for serial dilutions was pH adjusted very close to the pH of the DPBS to minimize pH effects on the assay. A stock solution of KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A (100 nM; 2×), RI (3 μM; 2×), DTT (10 mM; 2×), and BSA (0.1 mg/mL; 2×) was made, and 50-μL aliquots of this stock solution were added to the wells of a 96-well NBS plate. Aliquots of the serially-diluted solution of triprolidine or DRNG RNase A were then added to the wells. One negative control contained no ribonuclease (PBS), another negative control contained extra RI
(5–6.5 μM final including RI from master mix, to bind ~ 100% of KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A) and the positive control contained excess RNase A (10 μM; 2×). The fluorescence intensity was then measured. The fraction bound at each concentration of competitor was determined by (the fluorescence of the competitor well minus the fluorescence of the RNase A positive control) divided by (the fluorescence of the extra RI negative control minus the fluorescence of the RNase A positive control). This is different from the linear regression approach used previously (Lavis, et al., 2007). The data was then fit to an equation for competitive binding (Roehrl, et al., 2004). For triprolidine $K_d$ determination, the assay was duplicated in the same plate with master mix not containing RI to identify any trends in changes in fluorescence with triprolidine concentration (there should be none). This was done to certify that the pH of the solution was not changing upon addition of increasing amounts of triprolidine, thereby biasing the assay. The triprolidine $K_d$ determination data were the average of three measurements but only one experiment. The KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A $K_d$ determination data (using DRNG RNase A as a competitor) were the average of three measurements, and the entire experiment was repeated in triplicate.

A1.5.7 Cell-proliferation assay

The effect of RNase A, its variants, triprolidine, and co-treatment of triprolidine with G88R RNase A on the proliferation of K-562 cells was assayed as described previously (Leland, et al., 1998; Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Briefly, for assays with a single sample, 5 μL of a serially diluted solution of ribonuclease, triprolidine, or PBS was added to 95 μL of media containing K-562 cells ($5.0 \times 10^4$ cells/mL). For co-treatments, 10 μL of a serially-diluted solution of G88R RNase
A (2×) was premixed with 10 μL of a solution of triprolidine (2×, 75 μM final in wells). Then, 5 μL of this solution was added to 95 μL of media containing K-562 cells (5.0 × 10^4 cells/mL).

After 44 h, the cells were treated with [methyl-^3H]thymidine for 4 h, and the incorporation of radioactive thymidine into cellular DNA was quantified by liquid scintillation counting. Results were reported as the percentage of [methyl-^3H]thymidine incorporated relative to control cells that had been treated with PBS. Each experiment consisted of three wells (measurements) for each condition which were averaged, and this mean was used as one replicate when calculating IC_{50}s and errors. The triprolidine alone experiment was done once, the G88R RNase A alone experiment was repeated in duplicate, and the triprolidine co-treatment with G88R RNase A was repeated in triplicate. Values for IC_{50} were calculated by fitting the curves by nonlinear regression with equation A1.1, in which \( y \) is the total DNA synthesis following the [methyl-^3H]thymidine pulse, and \( h \) is the slope of the curve.

\[
y = \frac{100\%}{1 + 10^{(\log(\text{IC}_{50}) - \log(\text{nuclease}))} h}
\]
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Figure A1.1  Putative mechanism of ribonuclease cytotoxicity. A) Ribonucleases reversibly bind to the anionic cell surface. This binding is needed to facilitate B) endocytosis. Following endocytosis, ribonucleases C) translocate from endosomes into the cytosol where they D) encounter RI. Ribonucleases are either E) bound and inhibited by RI, maintaining cell status, or F) able to evade RI and degrade cellular RNA, causing cell death. The figure is partially adapted from a previous publication (Rutkoski and Raines, 2008).
Figure A1.2  Determination of the $K_d$ value of KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A for RI.

KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A was mostly bound by RI, then competed away from RI with DRNG RNase A, which has a known $K_d$ for RI of 510 nM (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). The $K_d$ of KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A for RI was determined to be $320 \pm 30$ nM. Data points represent the mean from triplicate experiments ± standard error of the mean.
$K_d = 320 \pm 30 \text{ nM}$
Figure A1.3 Development of a high-throughput RI–RNase A binding assay. The RI–RNase A competitive binding assay was optimized from previous assays (Abel, et al., 2002; Lavis, et al., 2007) for increased signal window (SW) and high-throughput screening conditions.
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Figure A1.4  Results of the Known Bioactives Library screen. Results from the assay indicate a good separation between controls. Five compounds (>> pos control*) were overly fluorescent and later determined to be fluorescent dyes, likely false positives. Structures, names, percent of regained fluorescence in the primary assay, and known biological activities of compounds ≥ 25% of regained fluorescence are designed as preliminary “hits.” Triprolidine and tobramycin were determined to be the most likely true hits after secondary assays.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Fluorescence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hits ≥ 50%:**

1. Idarubicin, 140% (antineoplastic)
2. Harmanol-HCl, 97%, 63% (antihelminitic)

**Hits ≥ 25%:**

3. SU 5416, 50% (VEGFR PTK inhibitor)
4. SB 216763, 39% (GSK-3 inhibitor)
5. Rotenonic acid, methyl ether, 38% (antineoplastic)
6. Sulfanilamide, 34% (antibacterial)
7. Thiabendazole, 34% (antihelminitic)
8. Triprolidine-HCl, 30%, 12% (antihistamine)
9. Cetylpyridinium-Chloride, 28% (topical antinfective)
10. Tobramycin, 27% (antibacterial)
Figure A1.5  Determination of $K_d$ of triprolidine for inhibiting the interaction of KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A with RI. KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A was mostly bound by RI and then competed away from RI with triprolidine. The $K_d$ of triprolidine for inhibiting the interaction of KDRNG88C-DEF RNase A with RI was determined to be 210 $\mu$M. Data points represent a single experiment.
$K_d = 210 \, \mu M$
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Figure A1.6  Investigations of whether triprolidine and G88R RNase A are synergistically cytotoxic. The cytotoxicity of triprolidine alone (singlet), G88R RNase A alone (duplicate), and G88R RNase A with 75 μM triprolidine (triplicate) was measured for K-562 cells. The IC₅₀ values were 126 μM for triprolidine alone, 4.4 ± 0.4 μM for G88R alone, and 1.6 ± 0.3 μM for triprolidine and G88R RNase A. However, at 75 μM, triprolidine was toxic itself, with 70% cell proliferation. Further, the curves overlap of G88R and triprolidine toxicity. Therefore, the data is inconclusive. Error is represented as standard error of the mean.
APPENDIX 2

Engineering a Ribonuclease Zymogen Activated by Urokinase
for Selective Toxicity
A2.1 Abstract

Cells often express proteases as inactive zymogens to protect them from their proteolytic activity. Herein, we use a similar method to create a RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase. Urokinase is a protease overexpressed and secreted by many cancer cells and this overexpression is a marker of potential malignancy and poor prognosis. The RNase A zymogen constructed herein carries mutations which should endow it with evasiveness to a cellular ribonuclease inhibitor protein. Therefore, upon activation, this RNase A zymogen would hypothetically be able to enter cells and degrade RNA, ultimately leading to preferential cell death of cancer cells. A RNase A zymogen was produced that is activated 26-fold by urokinase. While this zymogen was not toxic to cancer cells, additional engineering of it or other zymogen constructs may lead to augmented selective killing of cancer cells with ribonucleases.

A2.2 Introduction

A crucial issue for the body in the design of proteases is how to produce them without significant off-target activity leading to toxicity. One evolved solution is the use of zymogens: precursor enzymes that are inactive until a region is cleaved by another protease, activating the enzyme at its needed site of action (Khan and James, 1998). Typically, this region extends from the N-terminus and works by either orchestrating a peptide loop to form and block the active site or by mimicking the substrate and binding into the active site to inhibit the enzyme (Khan and James, 1998).

Nature’s use of zymogens was the basis for the creation of three classes of engineered zymogens using bovine pancreatic ribonuclease (RNase A) by the Raines laboratory (Plainkum,
These zymogens were engineered using circular permutation, where new N- and C- termini were created and a peptide linker spanned the old N- and C- terminus, blocking the active site. The RNase A zymogens were relatively inactive until the linker regions were cleaved by disease-associated proteases: plasmepsin II from *Plasmodium falciparum*, the organism responsible for most cases of malaria; NS3 protease from the hepatitis C virus; or the HIV-1 protease (Plainkum, *et al*., 2003; Johnson, *et al*., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). RNase A variants that have been engineered to evade a cellular ribonuclease inhibitor protein (RI) are toxic to cells. Therefore, zymogens of RI-evasive ribonuclease variants could be used as biologic therapeutics for multiple diseases; all cells would internalize the ribonuclease zymogen, but only in those cells harboring the disease protease would the ribonuclease zymogen be activated, leading to the degradation of cellular RNA and consequently cell death.

While ribonuclease zymogens produced by the Raines Lab have been effective *in vitro*, none have been effective *in cellulo* (Raines, R.T., personal communication). This could be for a number of reasons. First, the thermostability of these RNase A zymogens is considerably lower than wild-type RNase A (Plainkum, *et al*., 2003; Johnson, *et al*., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a), and as stability has been shown to be a determinate of cytotoxicity (Klink and Raines, 2000), this could hinder potency. Second, even after activation by the protease, these RNase A zymogens do not regain 100% of wild-type ribonucleolytic activity (Plainkum, *et al*., 2003; Johnson, *et al*., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). Third, these RNase A zymogens are notoriously difficult to fold, leading to low yields and making optimization of cellular conditions for toxicity difficult (R.J. Johnson, R.F. Turcotte, and R.T. Raines, personal communication).
Finally, developing and optimizing cellular models for the disease states, and their associated proteases, is difficult (R.F. Turcotte, personal communication).

In this study we chose to engineer a novel RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA or urokinase). Urokinase is a serine protease secreted by cells as a zymogen itself (pro-uPA) (Blasi and Carmeliet, 2002; Sidenius and Blasi, 2003; Huai, et al., 2006). Following secretion, it binds its cell-surface receptor (uPAR) with nanomolar affinity. The urokinase zymogen is proteolytically activated by many proteases, including plasmin (Andreasen, et al., 1997; Huai, et al., 2006). Urokinase, bound to its receptor, proteolytically activates plasminogen into plasmin, thereby completing a putative positive-feedback loop (Andreasen, et al., 1997; Nozaki, et al., 2006; Smith and Marshall, 2010). After activation, plasmin activates growth factors and zymogen matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) (Figure A2.1) (Sidenius and Blasi, 2003; Nozaki, et al., 2006; Smith and Marshall, 2010). This cascade, along with signaling from uPAR, results in the degradation of the extracellular matrix and increased cellular migration (Sidenius and Blasi, 2003; Nozaki, et al., 2006). Importantly, both urokinase and uPAR are overexpressed in many cancer cells, and their overexpression is linked to malignancy (i.e. metastasis) and poor prognosis (Andreasen, et al., 1997; Blasi and Carmeliet, 2002; Sidenius and Blasi, 2003; Nozaki, et al., 2006; Smith and Marshall, 2010). Therefore, it was hypothesized that a RI-evasive RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase would more preferentially kill cancer cells over normal cells.

Using urokinase to activate cytotoxins is predicated by previous systems (Liu, et al., 2001; Abi-Habib, et al., 2004; Chung and Kratz, 2006). One of the most similar systems is based on anthrax toxin (Liu, et al., 2001). Anthrax toxin is composed of protective antigen, lethal factor,
and edema factor (Liu, et al., 2001). Protective antigen binds to the cell surface and upon cleavage by a furin-like protease, facilitates the internalization of lethal factor and edema factor, which kill the cell (Liu, et al., 2001). The protective antigen was engineered so that the furin cleavage site was replaced by a urokinase-specific cleavage site (Liu, et al., 2001). Therefore, only when the engineered protective antigen is activated by urokinase are edema factor and lethal factor internalized, causing cell death (Liu, et al., 2001). This system showed specific toxicity to cancer cells overexpressing urokinase/pro-uPA and uPAR (Liu, et al., 2001; Abi-Habib, et al., 2006). Additionally, this system has shown specificity for cancer cells in mouse models (Liu, et al., 2003; Rono, et al., 2006; Su, et al., 2007).

In the current study, RNase A zymogens activated by urokinase were engineered to have the urokinase-cleavable site SGR\textsubscript{1}SA. This site has been shown through phage display to be readily and specifically cleaved by urokinase ($k_{\text{cat}}/K_M = 1200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Ke, et al., 1997). This sequence was also used for the urokinase-activated anthrax toxin system (Ke, et al., 1997; Liu, et al., 2001; Liu, et al., 2003; Rono, et al., 2006; Abi-Habib, et al., 2006; Su, et al., 2007). A circular-permutated RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase was produced and analyzed both in vitro and in cellulo. While the zymogen was activated by urokinase in vitro, it did not demonstrate in cellulo toxicity. Two other methods novel to the Raines laboratory of producing a RNase A zymogen were also attempted, and while they did not produce any viable zymogen, they are discussed as future options in section A2.5. Hopefully, additional engineering will lead to a zymogen that is specifically toxic to cancer cells overexpressing urokinase.
A2.3 Results and Discussion

A RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase was designed based on previous successful RNase A zymogens (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). The zymogen had new N- and C-termini at positions 89 and 88, respectively, and a linker was placed between the previous N- and C-termini with two urokinase cleavage sites: GSGR↓SAGMSGR↓SAG (Figure A2.2). Four residues, including the new N- and C-termini, were mutated to cysteines (A4C, G88C, S89C, V118C; wild-type numbering) to form additional disulfide bonds for increased stability (Plainkum, et al., 2003). Two residues were mutated (R38D, D39R) to endow the zymogen with RI evasion so that the activated zymogen could potentially kill cancer cells (Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Production of the zymogen resulted in two main groups of fractions from the cation exchange column, herein denoted low-salt and high-salt zymogen.

The RNase A zymogen (15 kDa) should be cleaved in the presence of urokinase, and under denaturing and reducing conditions should dissociate into two main fragments of 10 kDa and 4.3 kDa, and a smaller 0.7 kDa fragment from the linker (assuming the linker is cleaved twice). The two purified fractions of the traditional zymogen, low-salt and high-salt, were incubated with urokinase (1 unit/μL, 100 units total) in PBS buffer at 37 °C. Cleavage was detected by a shift of the zymogen band to a lower molecule weight (the 10 kDa fragment) on a SDS-PAGE gel. Gratifyingly, most of the zymogen was cleaved quickly by urokinase (Figure A2.3). Cleavage was not tested with other proteins to ensure specificity, though some cleavage may have occurred with cells detached by trypsin treatment (data not shown).
One of the most important aspects of a zymogen is that it is less catalytically inactive until cleaved. To test this in our system, the zymogen was incubated with 100 units of urokinase in PBS at 37 °C for 1 h. This reaction was diluted into RNase A activity buffer, and the activity of the activated and unactivated zymogen was compared. The activity of low-salt zymogen was increased by 26-fold after activation with urokinase. The activity of high-salt zymogen, however, was only increased by 3-fold (Table A2.1). Notably, the activity of the activated zymogens was still low compared to wild-type RNase A. The 26-fold activation of the low-salt zymogen indicates that this zymogen does work successfully, so it was chosen for further investigation.

A critical issue for ribonuclease zymogens produced in the Raines laboratory has been activation and toxicity in cellulo. To test activation, the low-salt zymogen was incubated with the prostate cancer cell line DU-145. DU-145 cells are known to express both uPAR and urokinase, and this cell line was able to unleash the cytotoxicity of the engineered urokinase-activated anthrax toxin (Abi-Habib, et al., 2006). The ribonuclease zymogen was incubated with DU-145 cells for 0, 24, and 48 hours. Media was removed from cells, followed by removal of cells from plates. Zymogen activation was analyzed by running samples on a SDS-PAGE gel. It did not appear that much zymogen, if any, was activated in cellulo (Figure A2.4). This corresponded with a lack of significant cytotoxicity of the zymogen against DU-145 cells (data not shown).

### A2.4 Conclusions

A RNase A zymogen was produced that is activated by urokinase in vitro, with a 26-fold change in activity. This is slightly below but on-par with previous RNase A zymogens (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). Two zymogen
constructs novel to the Raines laboratory were also constructed but did not yield usable protein. The urokinase system remains an attractive target for ribonuclease-based zymogens. Hopefully, with further engineering of RNase A zymogens activated by urokinase, a cytotoxic ribonuclease can be produced.

A2.5 Ribonuclease Constructs Necessitating Additional Engineering

In addition to the ribonuclease zymogen studied here, two additional RNase A zymogen methods novel to the Raines laboratory were engineered to be activated by urokinase. DNA sequences for these zymogens were constructed, but neither produced viable protein. First, a RNase A zymogen (alternate frame zymogen) was designed to have a false partial active site before a urokinase cleavage site, and a long, flexible linker attached to a true partial active site was constructed on the opposite side of the enzyme (Figure A2.5). Hypothetically, when urokinase cleaved the false partial active site, this region would dissociate, allowing the linker and true partial active site to loop around, fit into the now empty space, and reconstitute the active enzyme. In an attempt to accomplish this, a loop region of RNase A from residues 18–22 was mutated to a urokinase cleavage site (SGR‡SA). An active site residue between the N-terminus and this cleavage site, H12, was mutated to alanine, which is known to significantly reduce the activity of RNase A (Raines, 1998). A flexible peptide linker was added to the C-terminus, and residues 1–15 were added to the end of this linker, with a wild-type His12 (wild-type numbering) (Figure A2.5). Unfortunately, after production of this zymogen, mass spectrometry analysis indicated a mass that corresponded to the zymogen minus residues 1–13. Upon further analysis, after mutation of H12A, a site similar to the pelB cleavage site (Ala-Met-
Asp) was produced; this *E. Coli* protease is used often in ribonuclease synthesis to remove a leader sequence. Presumably, this protease was cleaving away residues 1–13 during production in *E. Coli*. Concurrently, a report from the Loh laboratory described a similar system with the bacterial ribonuclease barnase, although they used opposite ends of the enzyme (Mitrea, *et al.*, 2010). This report also indicated that the zymogen was not highly stable (Mitrea, *et al.*, 2010). Given that this was a problem for previous Raines Lab zymogens (Plainkum, *et al.*, 2003; Johnson, *et al.*, 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a), the alternate frame zymogen method was abandoned.

Second, a RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase (inhibitor zymogen) was designed to have a flexible linker attached to the C-terminus, followed by a urokinase cleavage site, followed by part of a known peptide inhibitor of RNase A (Figure A2.5) (Smith and Raines, 2008). This inhibitor should loop around to bind and inactivate the enzyme tightly due to intramolecular interactions until urokinase cleaves the protein, at which point the inhibitor should dissociate, allowing for a more active RNase A / less inhibition (intermolecular interaction). Unfortunately, this construct did not produce any detectable protein at the correct mass, and the inhibitor zymogen method was abandoned.

Other constructs for ribonucleases activated by urokinase or proteases that are activated by urokinase, such as matrix metalloproteases (MMP), are possible. One construct that was briefly investigated was based on the activatable cell-penetrating peptide system (Jiang, *et al.*, 2004). Briefly, a cell-penetrating peptide, Arg₉, which has been shown to increase the internalization and cytotoxicity of RI-evasive RNase A variants (Fuchs and Raines, 2005), was appended onto the C-terminus of an RI-evasive RNase A variant (D38R/R39D/G88R RNase A). To the end of
this was added a MMP cleavage site. Attached to the end of this was Glu$_9$, which is thought to fold over, bind, and inactivate the Arg$_9$ tag (Jiang, et al., 2004), eliminating the beneficial effect for ribonuclease cytotoxicity. When the MMP site was cleaved, Glu$_9$ would dissociate, allowing Arg$_9$ to help internalize ribonuclease into the cell (Figure A2.5). Unfortunately, preliminary attempts to produce usable protein from this construct failed.

Finally, a zymogen may be produced using a chemical biology approach. Specifically, a peptide attached to a self-immolative linker (Dubowchik, et al., 2002) that mimics diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) may be able to be attached semi-specifically to a RNase A active-site histidine, if the conjugation is done at the correct pH. Upon cleavage of the peptide, the self-immolative linker would cleave from the histidine, reconstituting an active ribonuclease. This system may need to be thoroughly engineered with differ length linkers to ensure that the protease can cleave the peptide.

A2.6 Experimental Procedures

A2.6.1 Materials

*Escherichia coli* strain BL21(DE3) cells were from Novagen (Madison, WI). DU-145 prostate cells were from a Raines laboratory stock presumably originally from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Cell culture medium and supplements, as well as Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). [methyl-$^3$H]Thymidine (6.7 Ci/mmol) was from Perkin–Elmer (Boston, MA). Protein purification columns were from GE Biosciences (Piscataway, NJ). Wild-type RNase A was from Sigma--
Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). MES buffer was purified by anion-exchange chromatography to remove trace amounts of oligomeric vinylsulfonic acid (Smith, et al., 2003). Ribonuclease substrate 6-FAM–dArUdAdA–6-TAMRA was from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). All other chemicals used were of commercial reagent grade or better, and were used without further purification. Terrific Broth (TB) was from Research Products International (Mt. Prospect, IL), or was made by dissolving Tryptone (12 g), yeast extract (24 g), glycerol (4 mL), KH$_2$PO$_4$ (2.31 g), and K$_2$HPO$_4$ (12.54 g) in H$_2$O (1.00 L).

A2.6.2 Analytical instruments and statistical calculations

The incorporation of [methyl-$^3$H]thymidine into the genomic DNA of K-562 cells was quantified by scintillation counting using a Microbeta TriLux liquid scintillation and luminescence counter (Perkin-Elmer, Wellesley, MA). Molecular mass was determined by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry using a Voyager-DE-PRO Biospectrometry Workstation (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) at the campus Biophysics Instrumentation Facility. Fluorescent measurements for activity assays were made on a QuantaMaster1 photon-counting fluorometer (Photon Technology International) equipped with sample stirring.

A2.6.3 Design of zymogens

The RNase A zymogen investigated most was designed similar to previously described (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). The plasmid used was previously used for the NS3 protease zymogen, which contained the mutations described
above and in Figure A2.2 (Johnson, et al., 2006). The RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase
construct was made using overlapping PCR.

The other two zymogen constructs and the ACPP construct were also made using
overlapping PCR. The sequences for these constructs are described in Figure A2.5.

A2.6.4 Protein production

RNase A zymogen was produced as described previously (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et
al., 2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a) and some preps may have contained phenylmethane
sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Protein concentration was determined with a bicinchoninic acid assay
kit from Pierce (Rockford, IL) for RNase A zymogens.

A2.6.5 Activation of RNase A zymogen by urokinase

The activation of RNase A zymogen by urokinase was measured as described previously for
other RNase A zymogens using a SDS-PAGE gel assay (Plainkum, et al., 2003; Johnson, et al.,
2006; Turcotte and Raines, 2008a). Briefly, separate tubes of zymogen alone, urokinase alone, or
zymogen with urokinase were incubated at 37 °C. The concentration of zymogen was 10 μM, the
concentration of urokinase was 1 unit / μL (100 units total), and both were in DPBS. At various
timepoints, some of the reaction was removed and placed into sample loading buffer at a lower
temperature to stop cleavage. Samples were run on a SDS-PAGE gel. The RNase A zymogen is
approximately 15 kDa, and the cleaved products should be approximately 10 kDa, 4.3 kDa, and
0.7 kDa, with the 10 kDa fragment being retained on the gel. Urokinase is larger (52 kDa) (Blasi
and Carmeliet, 2002; Sidenius and Blasi, 2003; Huai, et al., 2006) and therefore runs as a light
band above ribonucleases. Cleavage was measured by the disappearance of the band corresponding to the intact RNase A zymogen standard, and the appearance of a lower molecular weight band.

For *in cellulo* activation assays, the same technique was used to measure cleavage. RNase A zymogen was incubated with DU-145 cells for the indicated times. Importantly, DU-145 cells were initially transferred either with EDTA, or were washed with media after being transferred and adhered with media, to eliminate any trypsin (initial experiments may have indicated cleavage due to trypsin). Following RNase A zymogen incubation, media was removed and run as “media” samples. Cells were detached and run as “cell” samples. Both were frozen at -20 °C until the gel was run.

**A2.6.6 Ribonucleolytic activity assay**

The ribonucleolytic activity of the activated and unactivated RNase A zymogen was determined by quantitating its ability to cleave 6-FAM-dArUdAdA-6-TAMRA, as described previously for wild-type RNase A (Kelemen, *et al.*, 1999). Briefly, assays were carried out at ambient temperature in 2.00 mL of 0.10 M MES-NaOH buffer (pH 6.0) containing NaCl (0.10 M). Fluorescence data were fitted to equation A2.1, in which $\Delta I/\Delta t$ is the initial reaction velocity, $I_0$ is the fluorescence intensity before addition of ribonuclease, $I_f$ is the fluorescence intensity after complete substrate hydrolysis, and [E] is the total ribonuclease concentration. For measuring the activity of activated zymogen, the zymogen was activated with 100 units of urokinase in PBS at 37 °C for 1 h. This reaction was diluted into RNase A activity buffer, and
the activity measured. Data are the average of triplicate measurements in three experiments for
low-salt zymogen, and triplicate measurements in two experiments for high-salt zymogen.

\[
k_{\text{cat}} / K_M = \frac{\Delta I / \Delta t}{(I_f - I_0)[E]} \quad (A2.1)
\]

A2.6.7 Cell-proliferation assay

The effect of RNase A and RNase A zymogen on the proliferation of DU-145 cells was
assayed similarly to previously described for measuring cell proliferation of K-562 cells after
treatment with RNase A variants (Leland, et al., 1998; Rutkoski, et al., 2005). Briefly, 5 µL of a
serially-diluted solution of RNase A, RNase A zymogen, or PBS was added to 95 µL of media
containing DU-145 cells. After 44 h, the cells were treated with [methyl-\(^3\)H]thymidine for 4 h,
and the incorporation of radioactive thymidine into cellular DNA was quantified by liquid
scintillation counting. Results were reported as the percentage of [methyl-\(^3\)H]thymidine
incorporated relative to control cells that had been treated with PBS. Values for IC\(_{50}\) were
calculated by fitting the curves by nonlinear regression with equation A2.2, in which \(y\) is the total
DNA synthesis following the [methyl-\(^3\)H]thymidine pulse, and \(h\) is the slope of the curve.

\[
y = \frac{100\%}{1 + 10^{(\log(\text{IC}_{50}) - \log(\text{ribonuclease}))h}} \quad (A2.2)
\]
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Table A2.1  Catalytic efficiency of RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zymogen</th>
<th>( (k_{cat}/K_M)_{unactivated} ) ( \times 10^4 ) M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Percent wt activity</th>
<th>( (k_{cat}/K_M)_{activated} ) ( \times 10^4 ) M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Percent wt activity</th>
<th>( (k_{cat}/K_M)_{activated} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-salt</td>
<td>1.8 +/- 0.1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>47 +/- 2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-salt</td>
<td>47 +/- 2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>130 +/- 20</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A2.1  Urokinase and uPAR pathway. After secretion, pro-urokinase (pro-uPA) binds its receptor, uPAR, and is proteolytically activated by plasmin into urokinase (uPA). Urokinase proteolytically activates plasminogen into plasmin, completing the putative positive-feedback loop. Plasmin can activate pro-matrix metalloproteases, and these active enzymes can then degrade the extracellular matrix. Plasmin can also activate growth factors (GFs). Integrins are also thought to play a role in uPAR signaling. Both urokinase and uPAR are overexpressed in many tumors, and together, this pathway helps facilitate cellular migration and cancer metastasis (Nozaki, et al., 2006). Figure is from a previous publication (Nozaki, et al., 2006).
Figure A2.2  Construction of RNase A zymogen activated by urokinase. The zymogen was constructed based on the RNase A sequence (top). Underlines are similar/same sequences in a different position. Light blue represents mutations. Bold red letters indicate urokinase cleavage sites. A cartoon model of the zymogen is depicted (below). Black represents the linker, red represents the cleavage sites, and magenta represents the histidine active site residues.
Sequences of wild-type RNase A and zymogen

RNase A Zymogen Activated by Urokinase

bridge with cleavage sites (red) over active site histidines (magenta)
Figure A2.3  Activation of RNase A zymogen by urokinase in vitro. Both low-salt and high-salt zymogen at 10 μM were activated when incubated with 100 units of urokinase (1 unit/μL final concentration) in PBS at 37 °C, and afterwards reactions were run on SDS-PAGE gel. “L” indicates ladder lane, “U” indicates 100 units of urokinase only, “Z” indicates 10 μM zymogen only, and numbers 0–60 indicate the number of minutes zymogen was incubated with urokinase.
Figure A2.4  Extent of Activation of RNase A zymogen by urokinase *in vitro* and *in cellulo*. Low-salt zymogen activation *in vitro* was run again for comparison to *in cellulo* activation. Low-salt zymogen was incubated with urokinase and afterwards reactions were run on SDS-PAGE gel. “L” indicates ladder lane, “Z” indicates 10 μM zymogen only, and numbers 0–120 indicate the number of minutes zymogen was incubated with urokinase. Low-salt zymogen was also incubated with DU-145 cells, and samples were loaded onto the gel of the cells “cells” or the media incubated with cells “media”. “C” indicates DU-145 cells only. Numbers 0–48 indicate hours of zymogen incubation *in cellulo*. It does not appear that significant zymogen activation occurred *in cellulo*. 
Unactivated zymogen
Activated zymogen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buffer (min)</th>
<th>Cells (h)</th>
<th>Media (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C L Z 0 15 30 45 60 120 0 24 48 0 24 48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure A2.5** Construction of genes for other RNase A zymogens and ACPP RNase A. The zymogens and activatable cell-penetrating peptide (ACPP) RNase A were constructed based on the RNase A sequence (top). Underlines are similar/same sequences in a different position. Light blue represents mutations. Bold red letters indicate cleavage sites. Cartoon models of the zymogens are depicted (below). Black represents linkers, red represents cleavage sites, orange represents an inhibitor peptide, dark blue represents cationic and anionic tags, and magenta represents the histidine active site residues.
Sequences of RNase A, zymogens, and ACPP RNase A

- Alternate Frame Zymogen
  - False partial active site with cleavage site (red) with pendant true partial active site

- Inhibitor Zymogen
  - Pendant active site peptide inhibitor (orange) attached by cleavage site (red)

- ACPP RNase A
  - Pendant cationic and anionic tags (blue) linked by cleavage site (red)
REFERENCES

List of References


Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission.


