Adipocyte metabolism and obesity

Alan D. Attie1,* and Philipp E. Scherer†
Department of Biochemistry,* University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706; and Touchstone Diabetes Center,† Department of Internal Medicine, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390-8549

Abstract  Adipose tissue metabolism exerts a profound impact on whole-body metabolism. We review how fuel partitioning between adipocytes and other tissues affects insulin signaling pathways. We discuss the role of adipose tissue inflammation in adipocyte metabolism and whole-body insulin sensitivity. Finally, we mention the role of adipokines in autocrine and paracrine signaling.
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Obesity is associated with changes in adipocyte gene expression spanning many pathways. These changes alter fuel partitioning between adipose tissue and other tissues. In addition, they alter the hormonal milieu by changing the relative expression of adipose hormones, adipokines. Finally, many metabolites act as signaling molecules; thus, their redistribution alters signaling pathways in adipose and other tissues.

The role of inflammation in obesity has gained attention, beginning with the discovery that the macrophage content of adipose tissue in obese humans and rodents increases dramatically [reviewed in (1)]. Thus, in evaluating gene expression from adipose tissue, one has to consider the change in tissue composition and the role of macrophage-derived molecules in the alteration of adipocyte gene expression.

In the context of overnutrition, most of the attention has been directed to dietary fat and carbohydrates. However, high-calorie diets are often associated with increased protein intake. Of particular interest in relation to extraphepatic tissues are the branched chain amino acids (BCAAs). BCAA levels are increased in the bloodstream of obese humans and in animal models of obesity [reviewed in (2)]. Owing to the absence of the mitochondrial branched chain amino transferase in the liver, BCAAs bypass the liver and are selectively metabolized in extraphepatic tissues. BCAAs modulate food intake through hypothalamic signaling and regulate leptin production in adipose tissue. The increase in BCAAs in obesity appears to be due in part to reduced expression of mitochondrial branched chain amino transferase in adipose tissue (2).

Amino acid excess affects insulin signaling and glucose metabolism. This results in a direct stimulation of glucose synthesis in muscle (4). Through their activation of the mTor/S6 kinase pathway, amino acids stimulate serine phosphorylation of IRS1 and blunt insulin signaling (5).

FUEL PARTITIONING

Although animals go through feeding/fasting cycles, the liver maintains a relatively constant fatty acid flux into triglyceride biosynthesis [reviewed in (6)]. During fasting, the free fatty acid is derived from lipolysis of adipose tissue triglyceride, is transported to the liver, and is re-esterified to form hepatic triglyceride. With a lipogenic diet, the fatty acids are synthesized de novo in liver and adipose tissue. In a healthy animal, hepatocytes are able to maintain a rate of VLDL secretion sufficient to prevent the accumulation of excess triglyceride, a condition termed hepatic steatosis. Hepatic steatosis occurs in >20% of the US population, with some ethnic groups as high as 45% (7). It is especially common in obese individuals. The heritability of hepatic steatosis is quite high, and a candidate susceptibility gene, PNPLA3, a gene expressed in liver and adipose tissue, has recently been identified in a genome-wide association study (8).

Early microarray experiments indicated that genes involved in lipogenesis are downregulated in adipose tissue of obese leptin-deficient mice (9, 10). This also includes the master regulator of these genes, Srebplc. Conversely, these same genes are upregulated in the liver. Interestingly, a comparison hepatic gene expression in diabetes-
resistant (C57BL/6) versus diabetes-susceptible (BTBR) leptin-deficient mice showed that only the nonobese strain exhibited the induction of lipogenic genes in the liver (9). In the livers of obese mice from a diabetes-susceptible strain, Srebp1c and its target genes were not upregulated. The same trend is seen for Pparg, a gene normally not expressed at a high level in the liver, but one that is induced in the liver of obese and lipodystrophic animals (9, 11). The hepatic steatosis phenotype is rescued by ablation of the hepatic Pparg gene (11). This creates an interesting dichotomy between hepatic steatosis and diabetes susceptibility; the diabetes-resistant mouse strain is more susceptible to hepatic steatosis. Similar results have been observed in mice with lipodystrophy when the lipodystrophy mutation is studied in C57BL/6 versus a diabetes-susceptible strain, FVB (11). In short, the relative expression level of at least two key transcription factors, Srebp1c and Pparg, in adipose tissue and liver, plays a role in fuel partitioning between the two tissues. There is reason to believe that several factors in adipose tissue locally have an impact on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ) activity. Such factors include the cytoplasmic lipid binding protein aP2, whose absence leads to increased PPARγ activity (12), as well as the adipokine adiponectin, whose overexpression in adipose tissue causes a net increase in the transcription of PPARγ targets (13).

The partitioning of lipids between adipose tissue and other tissues plays an important role in insulin signaling and cellular viability. Adipose tissue is specialized for triacylglyceride storage and has a very high capacity to accumulate triglycerides. Thus, the enhanced lipolysis and consequent free fatty acid flux from adipose tissue in obesity exposes other tissues to a substantial fatty acid burden (14). These other tissues can accumulate triglycerides, and this is associated with cell pathology and insulin resistance. However, it is important to point out that current evidence argues against triglyceride itself being the culprit in these fatty-acid-mediated actions. Rather, strong evidence supports a role for diacylglycerol in the blunting of insulin signaling. Increased expression of diacylglycerol acyl transferase improves insulin sensitivity (15), whereas inhibition of diacylglycerol kinase suppresses insulin signaling (16). A likely target for diacylglycerol action is protein kinase C-θ (17), which upon stimulation by diacylglycerol, phosphorylates serine residues on insulin receptor substrates, blunting the insulin signal (18).

In addition to diacylglycerol, there is also strong evidence implicating ceramide in the modulation of insulin sensitivity. Ceramide is structurally analogous to diacylglycerol by having two fatty acid moieties attached to a backbone. Instead of glycerol, the backbone is serine, and instead of giving rise to glycerolipids, ceramide is an intermediate in sphingolipid synthesis. Like diacylglycerols, ceramides are signaling molecules. They activate protein phosphatase 2A, which dephosphorylates and thus inactivates Akt/PKB, a key arm of the insulin signaling pathway (19). In addition, ceramides inhibit the translocation of Akt/PKB to the plasma membrane (20).

LEPTIN AND ADIPONECTIN

Leptin also plays a profound role in fuel partitioning. Diet-induced obesity simultaneously leads to increased leptin secretion and a blunting of the autocrine leptin signal in adipocytes. The leptin signal tends to be anti-adipogenic, a conclusion that emerged from the protection from obesity afforded to transgenic mice overexpressing the leptin receptor in adipocytes (21). This illustrates the point that adipose tissue expansion during a positive energy balance (i.e., overeating) is an integral component of the maintenance of energy homeostasis. These mice are deficient in both adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia, resulting in an inability to expand adipose tissue mass under these conditions. Functionally, the net result is comparable to states of partial or complete lipodystrophy; for example, excess triglycerides accumulate ectopically in tissues such as liver, muscle, and β-cells, where they contribute significantly toward the lipotoxic effects of lipids, as discussed above.

On the other end of the spectrum, we find a recently described mouse obesity model that overexpresses the adipocyte-derived circulating factor adiponectin (13). In the context of a challenge with the Leptinob/obob mutation, these mice retain adiponectin levels at concentrations found in a lean mouse. Under these conditions, the mice further expand their adipose tissue mass quite dramatically, far beyond the excess adiposity conventionally seen in the Leptinob/obob model. Surprisingly, despite the Leptinob/obob mutation and the huge excess of adipose tissue, these mice have a fairly good metabolic profile and retain near normal insulin sensitivity, a normalized lipid profile, and hallmarks of a significant improvement in adipose tissue histology. An increased number of smaller fat cells is apparent, with a reduced infiltration of macrophages. Hepatic steatosis, which is conventionally seen at high levels in the Leptinob/obob mouse, is reduced. This is an example in which the ectopic accumulation of lipids is reduced, presumably because excess lipids are neutralized by storage in subcutaneous fat pads. As a result, insulin sensitivity is preserved.

These results suggest that adipose tissue can play a protective and a detrimental role in maintaining a favorable metabolic profile. This was quite elegantly demonstrated in a fat transplantation study that followed a 2 × 2 experimental design in which subcutaneous and visceral fat was transplanted into either a subcutaneous or visceral adipose site (22). Transplantation of subcutaneous fat into a visceral site produced significant improvement in insulin sensitivity and a drop in plasma glucose and insulin levels. Surprisingly, the animals also experienced a drop in leptin and adiponectin, suggesting that other fat-derived factors play a role in the improved metabolic profile. Since transplantation into the subcutaneous site did not alter the metabolic profile, there appear to be both donor and recipient site-specific factors required for these metabolic changes.

This raises the important question as to what the critical initial events are that prevent adipose tissue from assuming its appropriate physiological role as a triglyceride storage compartment, leading to the forced accumulation of ec-
ADIPOSE TISSUE INFLAMMATION

The obesity-associated increased infiltration of immune cells, especially macrophages, is well established at this stage (23). The infiltration of macrophages per se can trigger increased local and systemic inflammation, which is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity (Fig. 1). For instance, transgenic overexpression of monocyte chemotactic protein-1 in adipose tissue (24) is necessary and sufficient to trigger increased infiltration of macrophages. However, it is not clear whether under normal physiological conditions increased macrophage infiltration and subsequent inflammation is the result of a sophisticated chemokine-based signaling mechanism or simply the result of an increased incidence of necrotic adipocytes, frequently seen during rapid tissue expansion. Independent of its origin, a reduction of local inflammation in adipose tissue, either through pharmacological intervention or through genetic manipulation of pro-inflammatory pathways, is invariably associated with improvements in local and systemic insulin sensitivity. While inflammatory pathways are the ultimate mediators of insulin resistance, other events in adipose tissue may precede the initiation of inflammation-induced adipose tissue dysfunction. In addition, since the macrophage-induced inflammation is preceded by the activation of the classical inflammatory transcription program in the macrophages (the “M1” activation pathway (25)), there may be critical factors in adipose tissue that elicit this program in adipose tissue macrophages.

An attractive model that is supported by limited data is that adipocyte growth (both hypertrophy and hyperplasia) places demands for increased vascularization and tissue remodeling. If these two processes lag behind the expansion of adipose mass, hypoxic conditions emerge, which then stimulate a specific program of gene expression and may also lead to the recruitment of macrophages to the adipose tissue. Gene expression changes consistent with this model have been observed in a comparison of responsive versus nonresponsive (in terms of weight gain) mice of a single strain fed a high-fat diet (26).

Hypoxia has been directly detected by immunohistochemistry and by measuring the perfusion of adipose tissue with radiolabeled microspheres in genetically obese [KKAy (27) or leptin-deficient (28)] mice. These changes are associated with reduced adiponectin expression and an increase in the expression of genes associated with hypoxia, Hif-1α, Glut1, and Pdk1, and inflammation, TNFα, IL-1, IL-6, and TGF-β (28). The latter genes were induced in both adipocytes and macrophages.

In many other tissues, hypoxia is associated with an increased level of fibrosis through an upregulation of many extracellular matrix proteins [reviewed in (29)]. As such, it is likely that the prevailing hypoxia in expanding adipose tissue may also be associated with an increased degree of extracellular matrix deposition in adipose tissue. It is not clear whether this increased density of extracellular support structures in adipose tissue contributes directly toward an increased rate of cell death observed in expanding adipose tissue. Genetic and pharmacological studies will be required to see if the upregulation of these extracellular matrix components in adipose tissue is a simple epiphenomenon associated with expanding adipose tissue or if it presents a worthwhile area to interfere with in the context of an increased fibrotic content in adipose tissue.

THE ADIPOCYTE: DO ENDOPASMIV RETICULUM STRESS AND THE UNFOLDED PROTEIN RESPONSE PLAY A ROLE?

Endoplasmic reticulum stress and the associated unfolded protein response (UPR) have been associated with cellular dysfunction and cell death in a number of different cell types relevant for metabolic homeostasis. This is particularly relevant for cell types with a very active secretory pathway. The pancreatic β-cell, with its high-level production of insulin, is highly prone to stress in the endoplasmic reticulum. The rate of protein secretion from adipocytes is frequently underestimated. Whereas the role of the adipocyte as an endocrine cell is widely appreciated, many of the adipokines that the fat cell produces have relatively short half-lives but circulate at rather high levels (e.g., adiponectin, several complement factors, and acute phase reactants). This imposes major challenges for the proper folding and assembly of some of these factors, which in some instances need to form highly complex quaternary structures. Thus, the UPR may play an important role in the cellular homeostasis of the adipocyte in the lean as well as in the obese state.

All three “classical” pathways of the UPR (such as the PERK, IRE-1, and the ATF-6 pathways) are present and can be activated in the adipocyte [reviewed in (30)]. Even in human adipose tissue, these pathways are highly relevant, and the degree of activation correlates positively with overall adiposity within an individual (31). However, in this study, the activation state of the pathway did not correlate...
with systemic insulin resistance. This is surprising in light of the fact that endoplasmic reticulum stress can trigger activation of the jun kinase pathway and NF-κB, while at the same time, local inflammation in adipose tissue can trigger the UPR. The connection between the UPR and inflammation is a reflection of crosstalk at multiple levels, including the increased production of reactive oxygen species that are generated as a result of the activation of the UPR. These questions will need to be further studied, particularly because a recent article implicated Xbp1, an important downstream mediator of the UPR, as a master regulator of lipogenesis in the liver; deletion of Xbp1 in the liver caused hypocholesterolemia and reduced triglyceride accumulation as a result of decreased lipogenesis (32). Whether Xbp1 exerts similar functions on lipogenesis and/or lipid storage in adipocytes may indicate that the differential activation of the UPR in liver and adipose tissue plays a role in the fuel partitioning of lipids between these two tissues.

**CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK**

Adipose tissue and the liver constitute an interesting organ pair that is in constant communication with each other via adipokines, lipid factors, and lipoprotein particles. The adipohepatic axis affects lipid and carbohydrate usage and flux. Dysregulation in either of the two tissues is detrimental to the other and ultimately for the entire system. One of the first organs to be affected when adipose
tissue becomes dysfunctional and inflamed is the liver. Secondary to that, changes in free fatty acid concentration and flux affect other cell types, such as muscle cells and pancreatic β-cells, which are susceptible to fatty-acid-induced lipotoxicity. The associated insulin resistance imposes increased demands on the secretory capacity of β-cells, which under these conditions, is vulnerable to UPR-induced cell death.

Many new avenues of research are currently opening up that will allow for further study of the complex relationship between proper adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia and downstream events such as inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and lipid accumulation in secondary organs such as the liver, muscle, and β-cells.14
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