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Abstract: Synthetic protocols and circular dichroism (CD) spectra are reported for a series of oligomers of (R,R)-trans-2-aminocyclopentanecarboxylic acid (trans-ACPC). The two longest oligomers, a hexamer and an octamer, have also been examined crystallographically. Both crystal structures show that the β-peptide backbone adopts a regular helix that is defined by a series of interwoven 12-membered ring hydrogen bonds (“12-helix”). Each hydrogen bond links a carbonyl oxygen to an amide proton three residues toward the C-terminus. CD data suggest that the conformational preference of trans-ACPC oligomers in methanol is strongly length-dependent, which implies that 12-helix formation is a cooperative process, as seen for the α-helix formed by conventional peptides. Previous work has established that oligomers and polymers of β-amino acids can adopt helical conformations, but the 12-helix is an unprecedented β-peptide secondary structure.

Unnatural oligomers that adopt well-defined conformations, similar to those displayed by proteins and RNA, are a subject of increasing interest to chemists. We use the term “foldamers” to describe this subset of oligomers, because most oligomers do not have strong conformational preferences. Creation of new foldamers tests and refines our ability to understand how networks of noncovalent interactions promote
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We have shown that different \( \beta \)-peptide helical conformations can be induced by use of cycloalkyl restraints. The \( \beta \)-aminocyclohexanecarboxylic acid (\( \beta \)-ACHC) residue leads to 14-helix formation, while, as reported in a preliminary account, the \( \beta \)-aminocyclopentanecarboxylic acid (\( \beta \)-ACPC) residue leads to 12-helix formation. The hydrogen bonds that define these two helices (Figure 1) are oriented in opposite directions relative to the backbone; in contrast, the hydrogen bonds that define the two helices observed in proteins (\( \alpha \)-helix and 3\(_{10}\)-helix) are oriented in the same direction. Here we describe the synthesis and structural characterization (crystallography and circular dichroism (CD)) of \( \beta \)-ACPC \( \beta \)-peptides. The solid-state structures suggest strategies for design of helical bundle tertiary structures.

### Results and Discussion

#### Synthesis.

All \( \beta \)-peptides were prepared from (\( R,R \))-\( \beta \)-ACPC. Synthesis of the optically pure, doubly protected monomer, tert-butoxycarbonyl-\( \beta \)-ACPC ethyl ester (Boc-\( \beta \)-ACPC-OEt), has been reported. This route begins with ethyl (\( R,Z \))-2-hydroxycyclopentanecarboxylate, which we generated via the reported baker’s yeast reduction of racemic ethyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate. The hydroxyl group is stereospecifically converted into an amino group via Mitsunobu reaction with HN\(_3\), followed by reduction. We were unable to purify the intermediate azide from the alkenyl byproduct of the Mitsunobu reaction, so reduction was carried out with the mixture, followed by Boc protection of the amino group. Boc-ACPC-OEt was then purified by chromatography. After deprotection, amide bond formation between Boc-ACPC-OH and H\(_2\)N-ACPC-OEt was accomplished using (\( N,N \)-dimethylaminoo)propyl-3-ethylcarbodiimide (as the hydrochloride salt (EDCI-HCl)) and 4-\((\text{N,N-dimethylamino})\)pyridine (DMAP), in DMF solution, to yield Boc-ACPC-ACPC-OEt. At this point, the ethyl ester was replaced by a benzyl ester, and the synthesis of longer \( \beta \)-peptides was accomplished by coupling dimer or longer fragments as described above. The benzyl esters were more crystalline than analogous ethyl esters.

#### Circular Dichroism.

Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) data are commonly used to analyze the secondary structure of conventional peptides. In this spectral region, CD signals arise largely from the amide groups in the peptide backbone. Regular secondary structures (helices, sheets, reverse turns) give rise to characteristic far-UV CD signatures.

---

The pattern observed for represents molar ellipticity per residue. The data for 2–5 suggest that the 12-helical conformation becomes more highly populated, i.e., more stable, with additional trans-ACPC residues. This trend suggests cooperativity in 12-helix formation, which parallels the well-established cooperativity in α-helix formation by conventional peptides. The 12-helical conformation becomes increasingly stable relative to other conformations as the trans-ACPC oligomer grows longer, presumably because the favorable energy of adding residues to the 12-helix out-weighs the energetic cost of helix initiation.

**Solid-State Structures.** Crystal structures were obtained of both hexamer 5 and octamer 6. In each crystal, there is only one molecule in the asymmetric unit. Both oligomers display 12-helical conformations in the solid state (Figure 3), with the maximum number of 12-membered ring hydrogen bonds in each case (four for 5 and six for 6). One molecule of methanol per β-peptide was included in the crystal of 5. Both methanol and water were included in the crystal of 6.

The molecules of hexamer 5 are all parallel to one another in the crystal (i.e., the helix axes are parallel, and all molecules display the same orientation of N- and C-terminals). This β-peptide is organized into intermolecularly hydrogen-bonded columns in the solid state (Figure 4a). The included methanol molecule participates in this hydrogen-bonding pattern.

Lateral interactions between β-peptide helices are of interest with regard to creating β-peptide tertiary structure. Figure 5 illustrates the two types of side-to-side interactions in the crystal of 5. Interface 1 involves relatively little contact between cyclopentane rings (Figure 6); much of the contact involves the terminal tert-butyl and phenyl groups interacting with cyclopentane rings. Interface 2 has extensive intermolecular contact between cyclopentyl rings (Figure 7). Particularly intriguing is the way in which the cyclopentyl ring of residue 3 on one molecule interdigitates between the cyclopentyl rings of residues 2 and 4 on the neighbor (Figure 7a). Each hexamer engages in this type of tight interaction with two neighboring molecules, on opposite sides, which results in infinite strips of tightly packed helices in the crystal.

A spacing-filling view of interface 2, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis (Figure 7b), highlights the extensive and intimate contact between the cyclopentyl rings on neighboring β-peptides. This pairing causes burial of 371 Å² of molecular surface from solvent exposure, as estimated using the program MacroModel 6.0 and a probe radius of 1.4 Å (approximating a water molecule; an isolated molecule of 5 in the solid-state conformation displays a solvent-accessible surface area of 1090 Å²). Such extensive burial of predominantly nonpolar surface could

---

provide a significant hydrophobic driving force for helix–helix interaction in aqueous solution. If the helices were part of a single β-peptide, then the helix–helix interaction would constitute tertiary structure formation.

In the crystal of octamer 6, there are both parallel and antiparallel neighbor relationships (i.e., all helix axes are parallel, but neighbors display both identical and opposite orientations of N- and C-termini), in contrast to the exclusively parallel packing of hexamer 5. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding in 6 occurs only between β-peptide molecules with parallel orientation. The end-to-end intermolecular hydrogen-bonding pattern for octamer 6 is illustrated in Figure 4b. The solvent molecules included in the crystal of 6 do not appear to hydrogen bond to the β-peptide.

The packing pattern of octamer 6 is more complex than the packing pattern of hexamer 5. Figure 8 provides a schematic representation of the octamer packing pattern viewed along the 12-helix axis. Individual octamer molecules are represented by squares with N- and C-termini labeled. Disordered solvent molecules (water and methanol) lie along one side of each octamer; the solvent molecules and the C-terminal benzyl groups occupy the apparent gaps in Figure 8.

In the crystalline form of octamer 6 there are two types of side-to-side interactions between β-peptide helices that involve extensive contact between cyclopentyl rings. The tighter of these two lateral interactions occurs between antiparallel neighbors

Figure 4. Schematic view of the intra- and intermolecular hydrogen-bonding patterns in trans-ACPC oligomer crystals. (a) Hexamer 5, showing the involvement of a methanol molecule in hydrogen bonds at the helix termini. (b) Octamer 6, showing that the termini of each molecule form hydrogen bonds to four other molecules.

Figure 5. Solid-state packing pattern of hexamer 5, viewed along the 12-helical axes. Lines indicate bonds between non-hydrogen atoms. See text for detailed discussion.

Figure 6. Ball-and-stick view of the lateral interaction between adjacent molecules of hexamer 5 in the solid state (interface 1 in Figure 5).

of 652 Å² of molecular surface from solvent exposure (1.4 Å N-terminal Boc group. This antiparallel pairing causes burial of residues 3, 5, and 8 and the N-terminal β-peptide helices in crystalline 5 (Figure 7), although the relative orientations of the helices are not identical. This parallel pairing of molecules of 6 causes burial of 325 Å² (1.4 Å probe radius).

Conclusions. The crystallographic and CD data presented here show that short β-peptides comprised of (R.R)-trans-ACPC residues adopt a well-defined helical secondary structure. This helix, involving a series of interlocking 12-membered ring hydrogen bonds between backbone amide groups, is distinct from other secondary structures that have been observed for short β-peptides. Seebach et al. 2a-c and we3b,e,f,21 have previously reported different types of β-peptide oligomers that adopt a 14-helical conformation. Poly[(α-isobutyl-L-aspartate] and related β-peptide homopolymers have been proposed to adopt the 14-helix; interestingly, 16-, 18-, and 20-helical conformations, but not the 12-helix, have also been proposed for these polymers.27

A major long-range goal of our β-peptide studies is to create protein-like tertiary structures. The solid-state packing patterns observed for hexamer 5 and octamer 6 suggest that it will be possible to achieve this goal with trans-ACPC-based β-peptides. The helical bundle is one of the simplest tertiary packing motifs in proteins: α-helical segments pack side-to-side, with short loops connecting the helices.20 The helix surfaces that contact one another are typically dominated by nonpolar residues, and burial of the hydrophobic surface provides a driving force for tertiary folding in aqueous solution. Relatively short conventional peptides designed to adopt amphiphilic α-helical conformations (i.e., α-helices that display discrete hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces) have been shown to self-assemble into conventional helical bundles in solution and in the solid state.29 Incorporation of several amphiphilic α-helical segments into a longer polypeptide allows de novo creation of protein tertiary structures.30 This

![Figure 7. Lateral interactions between adjacent molecules of hexamer 5 in the solid state (interface 2 in Figure 5). (a) Ball-and-stick view, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis. (b) Space-filling view, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis (same perspective as in panel a). These and other space-filling views were generated with the program Molscript.31 (These are not stereoviews.)](image)
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The interface is defined by residues 1, 3, and 6 on one molecule and residues 2, 5, and 7 on the other. This lateral interaction is analogous to but looser than the parallel lateral interaction between hexamers in crystalline 5 (Figure 7), although the relative orientations of the helices are not identical. This parallel pairing of molecules of 6 causes burial of 325 Å² (1.4 Å probe radius).

Conclusions. The crystallographic and CD data presented here show that short β-peptides comprised of (R,R)-trans-ACPC residues adopt a well-defined helical secondary structure. This helix, involving a series of interlocking 12-membered ring hydrogen bonds between backbone amide groups, is distinct from other secondary structures that have been observed for short β-peptides. Seebach et al. 2a-c and we3b,e,f,21 have previously reported different types of β-peptide oligomers that adopt a 14-helical conformation. Poly[(α-isobutyl-L-aspartate] and related β-peptide homopolymers have been proposed to adopt the 14-helix; interestingly, 16-, 18-, and 20-helical conformations, but not the 12-helix, have also been proposed for these polymers.27

A major long-range goal of our β-peptide studies is to create protein-like tertiary structures. The solid-state packing patterns observed for hexamer 5 and octamer 6 suggest that it will be possible to achieve this goal with trans-ACPC-based β-peptides. The helical bundle is one of the simplest tertiary packing motifs in proteins: α-helical segments pack side-to-side, with short loops connecting the helices.20 The helix surfaces that contact one another are typically dominated by nonpolar residues, and burial of the hydrophobic surface provides a driving force for tertiary folding in aqueous solution. Relatively short conventional peptides designed to adopt amphiphilic α-helical conformations (i.e., α-helices that display discrete hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces) have been shown to self-assemble into conventional helical bundles in solution and in the solid state.29 Incorporation of several amphiphilic α-helical segments into a longer polypeptide allows de novo creation of protein tertiary structures.30 This

![Figure 8. Solid-state packing pattern of octamer 6 viewed along the 12-helix axis, which corresponds approximately to the crystallographic b axis. N and C represent the amino and carboxyl termini of the β-peptide molecules. See text for detailed discussion.](image)
strategy should be transferable to β-peptides if we can prepare polar analogues of trans-ACPC. The lateral packing patterns observed in the crystals of 5 and 6 suggest that properly spaced trans-ACPC residues can be used to create complementary hydrophobic surfaces on 12-helical β-peptides; we are currently testing this hypothesis.

Figure 9. Close packing between antiparallel pairs of octamer 6 in the solid state (interface 1 in Figure 8). (a) Ball-and-stick view, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis. (b) Space-filling view, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis (same perspective as in panel a).

Figure 10. Close packing between parallel pairs of octamer 6 in the solid state (interface 2 in Figure 8). (a) Ball-and-stick view, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis. (b) Space-filling view, perpendicular to the 12-helix axis (same perspective as in panel a). (These are not stereoviews.)
Experimental Section

General Procedures. Melting points (mp) were obtained on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (IR) were obtained on a Mattson Polaris FT-IR spectrophotometer or a Nicolet 740 FT-IR spectrometer. Absorption maxima are reported in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹) and are standardized to the 1601 cm⁻¹ reference peak of polystyrene. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were recorded in deuterated solvents on a Bruker AC-300 (300 MHz) or Bruker AMX (500 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm, δ) relative to tetramethylsilane (δ 0.00). If tetramethylsilane was not present in the deuterated solvent, residual proto solvent is referenced. ¹H NMR splitting patterns are designated as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), or quartet (q). All first-order splitting patterns are based on the basis of the appearance of the multiplet. Splitting patterns that could not be interpreted or easily visualized are designated as multiplet (m) or broad (br). Coupling constants are reported in hertz (Hz). Carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to the central line of the CDCl₃ triplet (δ 77.0) or the CDOD septet (δ 49.0). Carbon resonances were assigned using distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) spectra obtained with a phase angle of 135°: (C) not observed; (CH) positive; (CH₂) negative; (CH₃) positive. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained using a Kratos MS-80 mass spectrometer with a magnetic sector and direct probe when electron impact (EI, 70 eV) was the ionization method. Fast-atom bombardment (FAB) spectra were obtained on a Micromass Autospec (Cs ion gun) with a Micromass AutoSpec spectrometer with a magnetic sector and direct probe using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as the ionization matrix. DMF was distilled from ninhydrin under vacuum at 25 °C and stored over activated 3-A molecular sieves, under N₂, at 4 °C. Unless otherwise noted, all other commercially available reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification, except for 4 N HCl in dioxane, which was purchased from Pierce. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on Whatman TLC plates precoated with silica gel 60 (250 µm layer thickness). Visualization was accomplished using either a UV lamp, potassium permanganate stain (2 g of KMnO₄, 13.3 g of K₂CO₃, 3.3 mL of 5% (w/w) NaOH, 200 mL of H₂O), or ninhydrin stain (0.5 g of ninhydrin, 150 mL of n-butanol, 5 mL of glacial acetic acid). Column chromatography was performed on EM Science silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Solvent mixtures used for TLC and column chromatography are reported in v/v ratios.

Boc-trans-ACPC-OEt was prepared by a procedure similar to that of Tilley and co-workers.22b (CAUTION: HN₃ is very toxic and a volatile gas!) NaN₃ (4 g, 0.06 mol) was suspended in water (4 mL) and the mixture was heated to 65 °C. To this mixture, 3% HCl (2 mL) and benzene (2 mL) were added and cooled to 10 °C. The solution was adjusted to pH 2 with 3 N HCl, and then the solvent was removed under a stream of N₂ and the residue further dried under vacuum to give HN₃-trans-ACPC-OEt. Boc-trans-ACPC-OH was obtained by vapor diffusion of polystyrene. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane.
mL total). The organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, concentrated, and dried under vacuum. The product was purified by SiO₂ column chromatography, eluting with 20:1 CHCl₃/acetone to give 0.21 g (85% yield) of Boc-(trans-ACPC)₂-OBn as a white solid. Fluffy crystals were obtained by vapor diffusion of n-heptane into a solution of Boc-(trans-ACPC)₂-OBn in 1,2-dichloroethane: mp 142 °C dec; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 7.79 (br, 1H, NH), 7.38–7.28 (m, 4H, ArH), 5.16 (AB quartet, J = 12 Hz, 1H, ArCH₂), 5.08 (AB quartet, J = 12 Hz, 1H, ArCH₂), 4.59 (br, 1H, NH), 4.44 (quintet, J = 7 Hz, 1H, CONHC₂H), 3.85 (quintet, J = 7 Hz, 1H, CONHC₂H), 2.75 (q, J = 7 Hz, 1H, NHCOCH), 2.56 (m, 1H, NHCOCH), 2.16–1.26 (m, 21H), 1.44 (s, CH₃); FAB-MS m/z 453.4 (M⁺).

Boc-(trans-ACPC)₃-OBn (3), Boc-(trans-ACPC)₄-OBn (4), Boc-(trans-ACPC)₆-OBn (5), and Boc-(trans-ACPC)₈-OBn (6) were prepared by coupling smaller fragments, using procedures analogous to those described for the synthesis of dimer 2. Characterization data for these oligomers may be found in the Supporting Information.

Crystallography. The X-ray diffraction data were measured using a Bruker SMART CCD area detector on a four-circle P4 diffractometer equipped with Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.710 73 Å). Data for both compounds were collected as a series of φ scan frames, each with a width of 0.3°/frame. The exposure times were 30 s/frame for 5 and 60 s/frame for 6. Empirical absorption corrections for both compounds were based on equivalent measured intensities. Both structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares, minimizing Δ² (ref 30). For both compounds, the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The positions for hydrogen atoms were calculated from model geometry. For compound 6, disorder was observed in two of the five-membered rings (via ring flips), the phenyl end of the peptide, and the two methanol sites. Restraints for the positional and displacement parameters of the disordered atoms were required in order to achieve convergence.

Circular Dichroism. Dry peptide samples were weighed on a Cahn microanalytical balance and dissolved in an appropriate amount of HPLC grade methanol. Sample cells of 1- and 5-mm path length were used. Data were collected on a Jasco J-715 spectrometer at 20 °C. Baseline spectra were recorded with only solvent in the cell. Baseline spectra were subtracted from the raw data. Data were converted to ellipticity (deg cm² dmol⁻¹) according to the following equation:

\[ \Theta = \psi M/100lc \]

where ψ is the CD signal in degrees, M is the molecular weight divided by the number of chromophores, l is the path length in decimeters, and c is the concentration in grams per milliliter.
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